

NEAL R. GROSS & CO., INC.

RPTS SHIPLE

HIF079020

THE DRUG ENFORCEMENT ADMINISTRATION'S ROLE

IN COMBATING THE OPIOID EPIDEMIC

TUESDAY, MARCH 20, 2018

House of Representatives

Subcommittee on Oversight and Investigations

Committee on Energy and Commerce

Washington, D.C.

The subcommittee met, pursuant to call, at 10:00 a.m., in Room 2322 Rayburn House Office Building, Hon. Gregg Harper [chairman of the subcommittee] presiding.

Members present: Representatives Harper, Griffith, Burgess, Brooks, Collins, Barton, Walberg, Walters, Costello, Carter, Walden (ex officio), DeGette, Schakowsky, Castor, Tonko, Clarke, Ruiz, Peters, and Pallone (ex officio).

Also present: Representative McKinley

Staff present: Jennifer Barblan, Chief Counsel, Oversight and Investigations; Mike Bloomquist, Staff Director; Ali Fulling,

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

26 Legislative Clerk, Oversight and Investigations, Digital
27 Commerce and Consumer Protection; Brittany Havens, Professional
28 Staff, Oversight and Investigations; Christopher Santini,
29 Counsel, Oversight and Investigations; Jennifer Sherman, Press
30 Secretary; Alan Slobodin, Chief Investigative Counsel, Oversight
31 and Investigations; Austin Stonebraker, Press Assistant; Hamlin
32 Wade, Special Advisor, External Affairs; Christina Calce,
33 Minority Counsel; Tiffany Guarascio, Minority Deputy Staff
34 Director and Chief Health Advisor; Chris Knauer, Minority
35 Oversight Staff Director; Miles Lichtman, Minority Policy
36 Analyst; Kevin McAloon, Minority Professional Staff Member; and
37 C.J. Young, Minority Press Secretary.

38 Mr. Harper. We will call to order the hearing today on the
39 Drug Enforcement Administration's role in combating the opioid
40 epidemic.

41 Today, the Subcommittee on Oversight and Investigations
42 convenes a hearing on the DEA's role in combating the opioid
43 epidemic. This crisis is a top priority of the nation and
44 certainly of this committee and subcommittee.

45 Opioid-related overdoses killed more than 42,000 people in
46 2016. That's an average of 115 deaths each day. An estimated
47 2.1 million people have an opioid use disorder.

48 Since our earliest hearing in 2012, this subcommittee has
49 been investigating various aspects of this epidemic.

50 In May 2017, the committee opened a bipartisan investigation
51 into allegations of "opioid-dumping," a term to describe
52 inordinate volumes of opioids shipped by wholesale drug
53 distributors to pharmacies located in rural communities, such as
54 those in West Virginia.

55 From press reports and this investigation, we have learned
56 of opioid shipments in West Virginia that shock the conscience.
57 Over 10 years, 20.8 million opioids were shipped to pharmacies
58 in the town of Williamson, home to approximately 3,000 people.

59 Another 9 million opioids were distributed in just two years
60 to a single pharmacy in Kermit, West Virginia, with a population
61 of 406.

62 Between 2007 and 2012, drug distributors shipped more than

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

63 780 million hydrocodone and oxycodone pills in West Virginia.

64 These troubling examples raise serious questions about
65 compliance with the Controlled Substances Act, administered by
66 the DEA. The CSA was enacted through this committee in 1970.

67 This law established schedules of controlled substances and
68 provided the authority for the DEA to register entities engaged
69 in the manufacture, distribution, or dispensation of controlled
70 substances.

71 The CSA was designed to combat diversion by providing for
72 a closed system of drug distribution in which all legitimate
73 handlers of controlled substances must maintain a DEA
74 registration, and as a condition of maintaining such registration
75 must take reasonable steps to ensure their registration is not
76 being used as a source of diversion.

77 The DEA regulations specifically require all distributors
78 to report suspicious orders of controlled substances in addition
79 to the statutory responsibility to exercise due diligence to avoid
80 filling suspicious orders.

81 This hearing has two goals. First, the subcommittee seeks
82 to determine how the DEA could have done better to detect and
83 investigate suspicious orders of opioids, such as the massive
84 amounts shipped to West Virginia.

85 The DEA has acknowledged to the committee that it could have
86 done better in spotting and investigating suspicious opioid
87 shipments.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

88 What were the deficiencies and has DEA addressed them? DEA
89 has a comprehensive electronic database containing specific
90 information at the pharmacy level.

91 Could DEA use that database more effectively to investigate
92 diversion and to facilitate compliance for the regulated
93 industry?

94 The second goal is to find out whether the current DEA law
95 enforcement approach is adequately protecting public safety.
96 DEA statistics reveal a sharp decline since 2012 in certain DEA
97 enforcement actions, immediate suspension orders, or ISOs, and
98 orders to show cause.

99 The number of ISOs issued by the DEA plummeted from 65 in
100 2011 to just six last year. Former DEA officials alleged in the
101 Washington Post and on CBS' "60 Minutes" that the DEA's Office
102 of Chief Counsel imposed evidentiary obstacles and delays for ISO
103 and for orders to show cause submissions from the DEA field.

104 The conflict between the DEA lawyers and the DEA
105 investigators allegedly resulted in experienced DEA personnel
106 leaving the agency and a loss of morale.

107 The goal of laws regulating controlled substances is to
108 strike the right balance between the public interest in legitimate
109 patients obtaining medications in a timely manner against another
110 weighty public interest in preventing the illegal diversion of
111 prescription drugs, particularly given the rampant and deadly
112 opioid epidemic throughout the nation.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

113 Our investigation is intended to assist the committee's
114 continuing legislative effort to strike the right balance. It
115 is unfortunate that it's been a battle to get information out of
116 the DEA.

117 We have made recent progress with the DEA, but at this time
118 our investigation still does not have the full picture. DEA has
119 made some commitments that should hopefully help the committee
120 gain the information it needs, and we expect the DEA to honor those
121 commitments.

122 And I welcome today's witness, DEA Acting Administrator
123 Robert Patterson. We have serious concerns about policy that we
124 need to discuss today. But we are steadfast in our support and
125 certainly want to salute the dedicated workforce at the DEA. We
126 need an effective DEA in this crisis.

127 I want to thank the minority for their participation and hard
128 work in this investigation, and I now yield to my friend, the
129 ranking member, Ms. DeGette.

130 Ms. DeGette. Thank you so much, Mr. Chairman.

131 And I am happy to kick off the whole series of hearings with
132 the Energy and Commerce Committee this week with this oversight
133 and investigations hearing.

134 Opioid overdose is now the number-one cause of unintentional
135 death in the United States. Every day we hear reports of
136 Americans dying and leaving loved ones, often children, to pick
137 up the pieces, and these reports are heartbreaking.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

138 The crisis has also had an economic toll. Estimates are that
139 it's cost this country a trillion dollars since 2001, and here's
140 the point at my opening statement where I show that Congress can
141 still be bipartisan because today I want to talk, as the chairman
142 did, about our committee investigation, examining exactly how the
143 opioid epidemic developed.

144 Our investigation, as the chairman said, focused on West
145 Virginia, which has the highest opioid death toll in the nation.
146 The numbers that we are seeing coming out are simply shocking.

147 A major 2016 news investigation, for example, reported that
148 distributors shipped 780 million opioids to this state between
149 2007 and 2012.

150 Again, in five years, they shipped 780 million opioids to
151 this small state of West Virginia. Now, we focus on West Virginia
152 but I am hoping that the lessons we learned will apply nationwide,
153 including in my home state of Colorado.

154 Administrator Patterson, I join the chairman in welcoming
155 you here. We have a lot of questions and we'd like to know what
156 you think failed us in West Virginia and, more importantly, what
157 we can do to avoid this again.

158 We know something had to have gone wrong. For example, in
159 DEA's own court filings, in 2008 the distributor shipped one
160 pharmacy in West Virginia 22,500 hydrocodone pills per month.
161 But our investigation also found that a number of pharmacies were
162 sent even many times more that amount.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

163 For example, the chairman talked about Kermit, West
164 Virginia. We looked at one pharmacy in Kermit, which has a few
165 hundred people. Drug distributors supplied this pharmacy with
166 more than 4.3 million doses of opioids, more than 350,000 per month
167 in a single year, and then the next year 4 million doses of opioids.

168 What on earth were people thinking? Now, when the DEA finally
169 shut down this pharmacy and took its owner to court, the owner
170 admitted at its height the pharmacy filled one prescription per
171 minute. I mean, who could think that this was a legitimate use?

172 News reports from the time describe pharmacy workers
173 throwing bags of opioids, quote, "over a divider and onto a counter
174 to keep pace."

175 One law enforcement agent noticed a cash drawer, quote, "so
176 full the clerk could not get it to close properly." And this was
177 not the only pharmacy to receive such massive quantities of
178 opioids.

179 In another example, between 2006 and 2016, distributors
180 shipped over 20 million doses of opioids to two pharmacies in one
181 town of 3,000 people.

182 I want to know if the DEA thinks that this amount of pills
183 sent to these pharmacies was excessive. In addition, the
184 Controlled Substances Act and applicable regulations required the
185 distributor to tell DEA how many pills that distributor sold and
186 to what pharmacies.

187 DEA compiles this information into a database called the

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

188 Automation of Reports and Consolidated Orders System. It's
189 called ARCOS.

190 I want to know how the DEA made use of ARCOS data from 2006
191 on and whether it relied on that data to monitor the number of
192 pills that distributors sent to West Virginia.

193 Did the DEA perform analytic assessments of the pills the
194 pharmacies received? Did it look at how many pills distributors
195 sent to a town or region as a whole? And if so, I want to know
196 why the DEA didn't act to stop these shipments.

197 I want to know whether the distributors themselves exercised
198 appropriate due diligence before sending millions of pills to
199 pharmacies.

200 For example, in a letter sent to all drug distributors in
201 2006 and 2007, the DEA gave them a list of circumstances that might
202 be indicative of diversion, all of which plainly require
203 distributors to know their customers before shipping them any
204 opioids at all.

205 I want to know if the drug distributors met this standard
206 when they shipped those pills to tiny West Virginia and,
207 similarly, did the distributors comply with their obligations.

208 And I want to know also what the DEA is doing right now to
209 stop painkillers from flooding our communities today.

210 We have had a lot of hearings on this, Mr. Chairman, but this
211 is the first one to look in a hard way at this crisis developed.

212 We spend billions of dollars -- we spend countless hours of

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

213 law enforcement time trying to stop illegal drugs from coming into
214 this country and here we are, sending millions of doses of opioids
215 to tiny little towns in West Virginia, all of this supposedly
216 legally.

217 I think I can speak for the whole committee to say this needs
218 to stop, it needs to stop now, and we need to figure out how we
219 are going to protect our constituents and our citizens.

220 I yield back.

221 Mr. Harper. The gentlewoman yields back.

222 The chair will now recognize the chairman of the full
223 committee, Chairman Walden, for purposes of an opening statement.

224 The Chairman. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank you for
225 your leadership on this very important issue to the people we
226 represent.

227 For nearly a year, this committee has been investigating how
228 inordinate numbers of pills were shipped to pharmacies in rural
229 West Virginia. The numbers that we have seen thus far, as you've
230 heard, Mr. Patterson, are nothing short of staggering -- more than
231 20 million prescription opioids shipped to a West Virginia town
232 with a population of fewer than 3,000 people.

233 Another West Virginia pharmacy, in a town with a population
234 of fewer than 2,000 people, received an average of 5,600
235 prescription opioids a day during a single year.

236 As part of our investigation, we have also looked at the
237 Sav-Rite pharmacies in Kermit, West Virginia, a town with a

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

238 population of about 400.

239 During last October's full committee hearing, I asked your
240 colleague at the DEA a very straightforward question: which
241 companies provided the Sav-Rite number one pharmacy with so many
242 opioids that it ranked 22nd in the entire United States of America
243 for the number of hydrocodone pills received in 2006?

244 After an extended and unnecessary delay, we finally received
245 the DEA data and now know the answer to that question. But this
246 isn't the end of the matter, however.

247 We have learned that in 2008, a second Sav-Rite location
248 opened just two miles away from the original pharmacy. However,
249 the second Sav-Rite was forced to close and surrender its DEA
250 registration after it was raided by federal agents in March 2009.

251 Now, in most instances, this would be a success story. But
252 in this case, the original Sav-Rite pharmacy -- the one that had
253 received 9 million pills in just two years -- stayed open for
254 another two years, and in those two years, Sav-Rite number one
255 dispensed about 1.5 million pills into the community.

256 So the question is, how did that happen? How is it possible?

257 The raid on Sav-Rite two was based on observations made
258 during undercover investigations conducted at both Sav-Rite
259 locations as well as a pill mill medical practice.

260 As part of the undercover operation, federal investigators
261 saw pharmacy customers sharing drugs with one another in the
262 parking lot, and as you've heard, a cash drawer so full the clerk

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

263 could not close it, and learned that the owner of the Sav-Rite
264 pharmacies apparently developed a quote, unquote,
265 "get-rich-quick scheme" with a pill mill medical practice.

266 This scheme may have filled their cash drawers, but it was
267 devastating to the community. It doesn't make any sense as to
268 why the DEA did not shut down both pharmacies at the same time.

269 They were owned by the same person. They were part of the
270 same criminal scheme. DEA has acknowledged that breakdowns
271 occurred and lessons were learned, in this case and in others.

272 We need to make sure DEA has fixed its own problems so that
273 an effective DEA is part of the many solutions needed to combat
274 the opioid crisis.

275 As you know, people are dying. Lives are being ruined. We
276 must be united in our efforts to end this horrible epidemic.

277 That is why myself and this entire committee have
278 been so frustrated that it has taken so long to obtain DEA's full
279 cooperation in this investigation.

280 And while progress is being made in DEA's efforts -- and I
281 appreciated our meeting on Friday -- we still have plenty of
282 unanswered questions coming in to today's hearing.

283 So I am hopeful we can learn the answers to those questions
284 today and I am also pleased with the commitments DEA has made to
285 fulfill our remaining requests in this investigation.

286 And I expect those commitments to be honored, period. If
287 they are not, we'll be back talking again soon. Our most pressing

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

288 questions are intended to get DEA on a better path.

289 Every one of us on this dais and in this room supports a strong
290 and effective DEA. We know you have an enormous and important
291 job to do with dedicated agents and we are grateful to all those
292 in law enforcement and personnel at your agency.

293 Quite simply, we want you to have the tools and the resources
294 you need to help us combat this epidemic, among the other many
295 duties you have at DEA.

296 So I want to thank you for again being with us today, Acting
297 Administrator Patterson, and we look forward to your candor.

298 And I would like to yield the balance of my time to the
299 gentleman from Virginia, Mr. Griffith. Before I do that, I would
300 remind the committee we will have two full days of hearings
301 starting tomorrow and Thursday reviewing 25 pieces of legislation
302 on the opioids epidemic, and we hope and expect everyone on the
303 committee to attend those hearings.

304 With that, I yield to the gentleman from Virginia.

305 Mr. Griffith. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

306 We have an implied constitutional responsibility to conduct
307 oversight and ensure that the Controlled Substances Act strikes
308 the correct balance between the public interest in legitimate
309 patients obtaining medications against the weighty public
310 interest in preventing the illegal diversion of prescription
311 drugs.

312 A key issue is whether the DEA is adequately protecting

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

313 public safety. DEA statistics reveal a sharp decline and
314 immediate suspension orders -- ISOs -- since 2012.

315 ISOs are a DEA administrative tool not to punish but to
316 protect the public from rogue doctors or pharmacists who would
317 continue to provide opioids to drug abusers unless their
318 registration was immediately suspended.

319 Former DEA officials alleged in the Washington Post and on
320 CBS "60 Minutes" that the DEA's office of chief counsel, starting
321 around 2013, changed its evidentiary requirements for ISO
322 submissions from the DEA field. DEA documents provided to the
323 committee seem to substantiate this allegation.

324 Now, ISOs remind me of DUI cases in Virginia. When a police
325 officer gets a driver off the road who's been drinking, their
326 license to drive is administratively suspended in order to protect
327 the public.

328 Trial on the merits is delayed, but not public safety. It's
329 a similar principle here. Immediately suspend the rogue operator
330 and protect the public.

331 I yield back.

332 Mr. Harper. The gentleman yields back.

333 The chair will now recognize the ranking member of the full
334 committee, Mr. Pallone, for five minutes.

335 Mr. Pallone. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

336 The opioid epidemic continues to devastate communities and
337 families in every part of America, and every day 115 Americans

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

338 lose their lives in an opioid overdose.

339 We must do more to help those struggling with addiction, and
340 I am committed to working with all of my colleagues to advance
341 meaningful legislation and resources to help combat this crisis.

342 Families all across this nation are looking to us for help,
343 and it is my hope that DEA will work cooperatively with us on this
344 effort.

345 In addition to advancing efforts to respond to this crisis,
346 Congress also has a responsibility to figure out what went wrong
347 and how it went wrong and how to make sure something like this
348 never happens again.

349 And that is why this committee has been engaged in a
350 bipartisan investigation into the role both DEA and drug
351 distributors have in addressing the ongoing opioid crisis and what
352 systems failed to protect the communities that have been so
353 overwhelmed by this epidemic.

354 So I hope that the lessons we learn will help us address this
355 urgent problem throughout the country, from New Jersey to West
356 Virginia and beyond. Clearly, something went wrong.

357 The safeguards designed to prevent opioids from being
358 diverted into the wrong hands simply did not work and our
359 committee's investigation has found that drug distributors
360 shipped millions of pills to multiple small-town pharmacies in
361 West Virginia every year.

362 For example, a pharmacy in a town of 2,000 people received

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

363 16.5 million doses of opioids over a 10-year period and there were
364 other pharmacies in that area as well.

365 There is simply no way that there was an actual medical need
366 for this incredible volume of opioids in this rural
367 sparsely-populated area and I would hope that DEA can tell us what
368 broke down in the safeguards that should have protected
369 communities from these abusive practices.

370 These include failures by both the distributors and the DEA.
371 For example, I have questions about the data that DEA collects
372 and why they did not use it more aggressively to prevent the
373 oversupply of opioids in certain -- in certain cases.

374 We know that distributors are required to tell DEA how many
375 pills they ship each month and where those pills go. It is not
376 clear, however, that DEA has used this data in the past, and if
377 DEA is using this data now to help it curtail excessive pill
378 distribution.

379 Distributors are also required to alert DEA when a pharmacy
380 places an order for what appears to be a suspiciously large
381 quantity of pills.

382 It appears that distributors have not always alerted DEA of
383 those suspicious orders and may not even have had adequate systems
384 in place to identify inappropriately large orders.

385 But at the same time, it is also not clear that DEA has always
386 done enough with the suspicious orders they receive from
387 distributors to alert the agency to possible anomalous shipments,

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

388 and I hope we can get answers to both of these questions.

389 And when multiple distributors ship to a single pharmacy,
390 possibly causing an oversupply, it is not clear that DEA has had
391 an adequate system to identify and flag to the distributors that
392 an oversupply problem may be unfolding.

393 Unlike DEA, who has access to comprehensive distribution
394 data, distributors can only see what they supply to an individual
395 pharmacy. Yet, if DEA is not flagging when multiple distributors
396 are at risk of collectively oversupplying a pharmacy, then the
397 result is another example of a system failure that can lead to
398 diversion.

399 So it seems likely that failing to report suspicious orders
400 by distributors has hurt DEA's ability to monitor the distribution
401 of controlled substances and I hope that we will hear that this
402 is no longer an issue today, and if it is, I'd like to know what
403 tools DEA needs to help it to enforce this requirement.

404 At the same time, I do hope that DEA is making full use of
405 suspicious orders when they are reported to their field offices.

406 Finally, Mr. Chairman, while our investigation has focused
407 on what went wrong in West Virginia, I also want to know how DEA
408 is monitoring distributors across the country now.

409 Addictive drugs are still abundant in our communities and
410 now new opioids are also being introduced to the market. So I
411 hope that DEA is actively or proactively analyzing shipments of
412 these pills and, where appropriate, stepping in and stopping the

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

413 over-distribution of these drugs.

414 So I just want to thank Administrator Patterson for appearing
415 before us. This issue is extraordinarily important and no entity
416 can address it alone.

417 DEA and Congress must be allies in combating the opioid
418 crisis and only by understanding what went wrong can we fix this
419 system for the future.

420 So just, again, I know you're in the hot seat today but this
421 is something that we need to work on together.

422 Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

423 Mr. Harper. The gentleman yields back.

424 I ask unanimous consent that the members' written opening
425 statements be made part of the record. Without objection, it will
426 be entered into the record.

427 Additionally, I ask unanimous consent that Energy and
428 Commerce members not on the Subcommittee on Oversight and
429 Investigations be permitted to participate in today's hearing.

430 Without objection, so ordered.

431 I would now like to introduce our witness for today's
432 hearing. Today, we have Mr. Robert Patterson, the acting
433 administrator for the Drug Enforcement Administration.

434 We appreciate you being here with us today, Mr. Patterson,
435 and you are aware that the committee is holding an investigative
436 hearing and when so doing it has been our practice of taking
437 testimony under oath.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

438 Do you have any objection to testifying under oath?

439 Mr. Patterson. I do not.

440 Mr. Harper. Witness has anticipated no -- his response is
441 no.

442 The chair then advises you that under the rules of the House
443 and the rules of the committee, you're entitled to be accompanied
444 by counsel. Do you desire to be accompanied by counsel during
445 your testimony today?

446 Mr. Patterson. I do not.

447 Mr. Harper. Responds that he does not. In that case, I
448 would ask that you rise and please raise your right hand and I
449 will swear you in.

450 [Witness sworn.]

451 You are now under oath and subject to the penalties set forth
452 in Title 18 Section 1001 of the United States Code. You may now
453 give a five-minute summary of your written statement.

454 You can hit the button on the mic and you have five minutes
455 to summarize your testimony.

456 Thank you again for being here, Mr. Patterson.

TESTIMONY OF ROBERT W. PATTERSON, ACTING ADMINISTRATOR, DRUG
ENFORCEMENT ADMINISTRATION

Mr. Patterson. Thank you, and good morning.

Committee Chairman Walden, Subcommittee Chairman Harper,
Ranking Members Pallone and DeGette, and distinguished members
of the subcommittee, thank you for the opportunity to be here today
to discuss the opioid epidemic and DEA's role in combating this
crisis.

Over the past 15 years, our nation has been increasingly
devastated by opioid abuse, an epidemic fueled for a significant
period of time by the over prescribing of potent prescription
opioids for acute and chronic pain.

This indiscriminate practice created a generation of opioid
abusers, presently estimated at more than 3 million Americans.

Over the past few years, we have begun to see a dramatic and
disturbing shift. As a result of the increased awareness of the
opioid epidemic, prescriptions for opioids have started to
decline -- obviously, somewhat a success.

But organizations, in particular the well-positioned -- in
particular, the well-positioned Mexican drug cartels have filled
this void by producing and distributing cheap powdered heroin,
often mixed with illicit fentanyl and other fentanyl-related
substances and selling it to users in both traditional powder form
and, in some cases, pressed into counterfeit pills made to

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

482 resemble illicit pharmaceuticals.

483 There are two central elements DEA is addressing as part of
484 this administration's collective efforts to turn this tide, with
485 a third piece that must also be addressed.

486 First and foremost is enforcement. Based on our
487 investigations, actions are undertaken every day using our
488 criminal, civil, or administrative tools to attack the traffic
489 in illicit drugs and the diversion of the licit supply.

490 Second is education. I strongly believe there is a real
491 value and a natural fit for the DEA in this space and look whenever
492 possible to partner with leaders in prevention and education.

493 The third element is treatment. The DEA is committed to
494 doing what we can to improve access to drug treatment and recovery
495 services, working alongside our partners at the Department of
496 Health and Human Services, to utilize evidence-based strategies
497 that minimize the risk of diversion during this public health
498 emergency.

499 Ultimately, the only way to fundamentally change this
500 epidemic is to decrease demand for these substances and address
501 the global licit and illicit supplies -- illicit supply concerns
502 through the efforts of DEA and all of its partners.

503 The action of DEA's Diversion Control Division are critical
504 with respect to addressing the licit supply. Diversion of
505 prescription opioids by a few has a disproportionate impact on
506 the availability of prescription opioids.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

507 The fact remains that a majority of new heroin users stated
508 that they started their cycle of addiction on prescription
509 opioids.

510 As a result, we are constantly evaluating ways to improve
511 our effectiveness to ensure that our more than 1.7 million
512 registrants comply with the law.

513 Our use of administrative tools and legislation that changed
514 our authorities in this area has been the subject of numerous media
515 reports. Let me address that issue up front.

516 DEA has continued to revoke approximately 1,000
517 registrations each year through administrative tools such as
518 orders to show cause, immediate suspension orders, and surrenders
519 for cause.

520 We have and will continue to use all of these tools to protect
521 the public from the very small percentage of registrants who
522 exploit human frailty for profit.

523 Where a licensed revocation is not necessary we have
524 aggressively pursued civil actions and MOUs designed to ensure
525 compliance.

526 Over the last decade, DEA has levied fines totally nearly
527 \$390 million against opioid distributors nationwide and entered
528 into MOUs with each. DEA has also reprioritized a portion of its
529 criminal investigators and embedded them in with diversion
530 investigators and enforcement groups, referred to as tactical
531 diversion squads.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

532 We currently have 77 of these groups nationwide who are
533 solely dedicated to investigating, disrupting, and dismantling
534 individuals and organizations involved in diversion schemes.

535 DEA's Diversion Control Division has simultaneously worked
536 to improve communication and cooperation with the registrant
537 community.

538 As an example of this outreach, DEA offers year-round
539 training free of charge to pharmacists, distributors, importers,
540 and manufacturers.

541 DEA just completed training more than 13,000 pharmacists and
542 pharmacy technicians on the important role they play in ensuring
543 they only fill valid prescriptions.

544 In May, DEA will initiate a similar nationwide effort to
545 provide training on the vital role that prescribers play in
546 curbing this epidemic.

547 This effort will start with specific focus on states where
548 we have seen little decrease or, in some increases, an increase
549 in opioid prescribing rates.

550 Administrative action, civil fines, and criminal cases are
551 all important steps. Where we have fallen short in the past it
552 is by not proactively leveraging the data that has been available
553 to us.

554 Although I am happy to discuss what happened in the past,
555 I focus my time on moving our agency forward and appreciate the
556 opportunity to update you on where we are today and where we intend

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

557 to go.

558 For example, in January we utilized ARCOS data overlaid with
559 data from HHS and, when available, state PMP programs. The result
560 was approximately 400 targeted leads that DEA was able to send
561 to its 22 field divisions nationwide for further investigation.

562 While we are working with all the federal agencies in this
563 space -- I am sorry -- we are working all the federal agencies
564 in the space while we continue to work well with our colleagues
565 at ONDCP, CCD, NIDA. The mutual issues that we face today have
566 created stronger and critical partnerships with FDA and HHS.

567 I'll finish up by saying I'd like to recognize the Health
568 Subcommittee's efforts to hold a legislative hearing starting
569 tomorrow on more than 25 pieces of legislation.

570 That effort not only underscores the unprecedented nature
571 and complexity of the opioid crisis but also demonstrates that
572 we must all take action to address this threat together.

573 Thank you for this opportunity and I look forward to your
574 questions. [The prepared testimony of Mr. Patterson follows:]

575
576 *****INSERT 1*****

577 Mr. Harper. Thank you, Mr. Patterson. It'll now be the
578 opportunity for members to ask you questions regarding your
579 statement and look for solutions to the problems that we have and
580 I will begin by recognize myself for five minutes for questioning.

581 Over the past year, this committee has been investigating
582 opioid dumping and as part of this probe the committee found some
583 disturbing examples, and I will share a couple of these, some that
584 we have touched on.

585 A single pharmacy in Mount Gay-Shamrock, West Virginia,
586 population 1,779, received over 16.5 million hydrocodone and
587 oxycodone pills between 2006 and 2016.

588 Distributors sent 20.8 million opioid pills to Williamson,
589 West Virginia, population 2,900, during the same period, and in
590 2006 a pharmacy located in Kermit, West Virginia, population 406,
591 ranked 22nd in the entire country in the overall number of
592 hydrocodone pills it received with a single distributor supplying
593 76 percent of hydrocodone pills that year.

594 Would you agree that, on its face, these distribution figures
595 represent inordinate amounts of opioids shipped to such rural
596 markets?

597 Mr. Patterson. I would.

598 Mr. Harper. Distributors are required to file reports of
599 shipment amounts on certain controlled substances to the DEA
600 database called the Automated Reports and Consolidated Ordering
601 System, or ARCOS. These reports are filed monthly. Is that

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

602 correct?

603 Mr. Patterson. Sir, either monthly or quarterly.

604 Mr. Harper. What's the distinction between when one is done
605 quarterly or monthly? Who makes that determination?

606 Mr. Patterson. It is done by, I believe, the distributor
607 or -- not by the distributor -- whether it's a distributor or a
608 manufacturer.

609 Mr. Harper. Okay. Ten years ago, would the ARCOS database
610 have been able to flag DEA diversion investigators about unusual
611 patterns such as the stunning monthly increases of shipment
612 amounts or disproportionate volume of controlled substance sales
613 at a pharmacy?

614 Mr. Patterson. Ten years ago, I think that would be
615 doubtful.

616 Mr. Harper. Okay. Did the DEA attempt to leverage the data
617 in ARCOS to help support DEA investigations of opioid diversion
618 in West Virginia?

619 Mr. Patterson. Back at that time frame?

620 Mr. Harper. Just tell me when. When did they start
621 utilizing that?

622 Mr. Patterson. Sir, so ARCOS data I think pre probably 2010
623 was an extremely manual process. As that system has gotten more
624 robust and, certainly, through the last handful of years we've
625 used that in a much more proactive manner.

626 Mr. Harper. Would the DEA ARCOS database be able to flag

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

627 such signals of opioid diversion today? Your answer is,
628 obviously, a yes.

629 In 2006 and 2007, DEA sent at least there letters to wholesale
630 drug distributors regarding their compliance obligations under
631 the Controlled Substances Act.

632 The letters reminded the companies of their duties to monitor
633 and report suspicious orders of opioids. Yet, during this time,
634 according to DEA enforcement actions, drug distributors failed
635 to maintain effective controls against diversion.

636 Why did the DEA communications with industry fail to prevent
637 the kinds of major breakdowns apparent in West Virginia?

638 Mr. Patterson. I think when you go back to that time frame
639 on the suspicious orders reports, there was two major failures.
640 One was either a lack of information contained therein or not
641 filing them in this instance that they had.

642 I think that started the problem, quite frankly and a lot
643 of the frustration came from chasing down the registrants and
644 ultimately reminding them of their responsibility in this
645 regulated area.

646 Mr. Harper. Over the last 10 years, the DEA reached
647 settlements with drug distributors for failing to maintain
648 effective controls against diversion of opioids or failing to
649 report suspicious orders.

650 Yet, after these settlements, drug distributors continued
651 to fail to comply with the regulatory requirements.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

652 Why were these initial settlements not effective in
653 achieving compliance from these distributors?

654 Mr. Patterson. And again, this goes back to the frustration
655 of the day, and I know that the folks that were in diversion back
656 in 2010 and 2012 struggled with the fact that these MOUs or MOAs
657 have been put in place with these companies and they blatantly
658 violated them again.

659 Mr. Harper. So how is DEA using -- utilizing ARCOS today?
660 Is it effective today?

661 Mr. Patterson. So, sir, ARCOS as a stand-alone database is
662 a good pointer. I think, as I said in my opening statement, ARCOS
663 data and what we have learned, combined with state PMP HHS data,
664 gives you a much better outlier problem.

665 In some of the cases that we have looked at, depending on
666 the situation, ARCOS data would not have found those particular
667 issues, right.

668 If it's a smaller level or a single place. So the reality
669 is is what we need is all of these data sets essentially working
670 in conjunction with each other.

671 Mr. Harper. Are there movements to improve ARCOS? Is that
672 constantly monitored and updated and refined?

673 Mr. Patterson. So we are -- we are constantly working with
674 this data now in a very proactive way. We've joined with two state
675 coalitions of states' attorneys-general to work with data sharing
676 in this space, especially with the PMP data as well as our

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

677 counterparts at HHS.

678 Mr. Harper. Thank you, Mr. Patterson.

679 The chair now recognizes the ranking member, Ms. DeGette from
680 Colorado, for five minutes.

681 Ms. DeGette. Thank you so much, Mr. Chairman, and I agree
682 that we -- Mr. Patterson, that we do need to look forward how we
683 can improve things. But I don't think we can do it without
684 examining the past, and this ARCOS system is the perfect example.

685 I want to spend a few minutes following up on what the
686 chairman was asking you, because you said -- my understanding is
687 ARCOS was in place during this whole time period, 2006 to 2016,
688 correct?

689 Mr. Patterson. That's correct, ma'am.

690 Ms. DeGette. And but -- and so what was happening the data
691 was just being reported in but nothing was really being done with
692 it. Isn't that correct?

693 Mr. Patterson. I would say it was used in a very reactive
694 way.

695 Ms. DeGette. Right. So -- so you said that a lot of times
696 you wouldn't have been able to tell this from ARCOS.

697 I am going to assume, though, if we had been analyzing this
698 data we would have found the 184,000 pills per month that McKesson
699 was sending to Kermit if someone had looked at it. Wouldn't you
700 think so?

701 Mr. Patterson. I do agree with that.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

702 Ms. DeGette. Yes. And wouldn't you -- wouldn't you agree
703 that in Kermit -- I think you said yes when the chairman said this
704 -- it was 2.2 million pills in a year in Kermit.

705 All you'd have to do is look at that raw data and see that,
706 wouldn't you?

707 Mr. Patterson. That's correct.

708 Ms. DeGette. And so really the fact -- well, let me -- let
709 me ask you another question. The Controlled Substances Act and
710 the applicable regulations require the distributors to know their
711 customer.

712 So distributors are supposed to report orders of unusual
713 size, orders deviating substantially from a normal pattern, and
714 orders of unusual frequency to the DEA.

715 Isn't that correct?

716 Mr. Patterson. It is, ma'am.

717 Ms. DeGette. So it's not just the DEA that has a burden to
718 analyze the ARCOS data and to identify problems. But even before
719 that, the distributors have a burden, right?

720 Mr. Patterson. The key burden is actual on the distributor.

721 Ms. DeGette. Right. Exactly. So do you -- do you think
722 that if you were McKesson Corporation and you were looking at all
723 these prescriptions in Kermit that you would think that -- would
724 you think they knew those customers?

725 Mr. Patterson. Well, one, the obligation was there to know
726 their customers.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

727 Ms. DeGette. Right. Do you think that you possibly could
728 know the customers when you're sending that many prescriptions
729 in there?

730 Mr. Patterson. I think McKesson's answer would be that, you
731 know, they did their part on this.

732 Ms. DeGette. Well, what's your answer?

733 Mr. Patterson. Obviously, I think they should have done
734 more.

735 Ms. DeGette. Well, I would think so. I mean, do you think
736 that orders of this -- of this magnitude -- 2.2 million doses of
737 hydrocodone to one Sav-Rite pharmacy -- do you think that that's
738 an order of an unusual size?

739 Mr. Patterson. I do, ma'am.

740 Ms. DeGette. And do you think that it deviates from a normal
741 pattern?

742 Mr. Patterson. I do.

743 Ms. DeGette. Okay. Let me -- let me ask you another
744 question.

745 Now, looking back on this case, do you think that the
746 distributors in all of these situations that the chairman and I
747 have been talking about -- do you think that they -- that they
748 failed to adequately exercise good due diligence over what they
749 were doing?

750 Mr. Patterson. Certainly, on the appearance of it. I can't
751 tell you what their due diligence was. But --

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

752 Ms. DeGette. Oh, we are going to ask them that. Don't
753 worry. You're not here to represent them.

754 Now, in December, the Washington Post and "60 Minutes"
755 reported that McKesson distributed large volumes of opioids from
756 its Aurora, Colorado distribution facility in 2012.

757 On pharmacy that received these shipments reportedly sold
758 as many as 2,000 opioids per day. Have you retroactively applied
759 ARCOS data to the Colorado situation to see if there were
760 distribution patterns similar to what we saw in Kermit, West
761 Virginia?

762 Mr. Patterson. I believe that's the case, ma'am, that
763 ultimately the DEA litigated and received a settlement. I don't
764 know if we went back currently and have looked at that same number.

765 Ms. DeGette. And what was the settlement?

766 Mr. Patterson. It was \$150 million.

767 Ms. DeGette. From McKesson to --

768 Mr. Patterson. The U.S. government.

769 Ms. DeGette. The U.S. government. As a result of
770 McKesson's failure to adequately follow the law on distributing
771 those opioids. Is that right?

772 Mr. Patterson. That's correct.

773 Ms. DeGette. And so what do you think Congress can do so
774 that we don't have a total slip-up like we did in all of these
775 cases in West Virginia and around the country, really?

776 Mr. Patterson. Well, I think -- look, the fundamental

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

777 change that we have already made is our recognition of how we can
778 use the various data sets and paying attention to what we are
779 doing.

780 I mean, the outreach to industry -- and I think this is a
781 topic that I assume will come up at some point -- we have to work
782 with the industry and the industry, obviously, has their
783 responsibility.

784 But we have 1,500 people to monitor 1.73 million registrants.

785 Ms. DeGette. So, really, you think the initial burden to
786 assess this is on the industry. But then the DEA has an important
787 enforcement?

788 Mr. Patterson. Oversight.

789 Ms. DeGette. Yes, thank you.

790 Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

791 Mr. Harper. Gentlewoman yields back.

792 The chair will now recognize the chairman of the full
793 committee, Mr. Walden, for five minutes for questions.

794 The Chairman. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

795 Mr. Patterson, we need to find out whether DEA is really
796 addressing the lessons you say DEA has learned.

797 Case in point is the one I raised, the questionable
798 enforcement approach regarding the two Sav-Rite pharmacies in
799 Kermit, West Virginia that I mentioned in my opening statement.

800 Sav-Rite number two was shut down in April of 2009, correct?

801 Mr. Patterson. I don't know the specific dates. I know

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

802 there was two pharmacies. One was shut down and one wanted
803 criminal --

804 The Chairman. Yes, it was -- our data show April of 2009
805 Sav-Rite two was shut down. Sav-Rite one was not shut down until
806 over two years later when the owner of the pharmacy entered a
807 guilty plea to charges that he illegally issued prescriptions,
808 correct?

809 Mr. Patterson. That's correct.

810 The Chairman. And in April 1st of 2009, an article in the
811 local Herald Dispatch reported that the two Sav-Rite pharmacies
812 and a local pain clinic were under federal investigation for
813 operating a drug operation.

814 The article reported an affidavit from federal investigators
815 who stated there were two overdose deaths linked to this network.

816 So my question is why did DEA shut down Sav-Rite number two
817 but not Sav-Rite number one in April of 2009 if both pharmacies
818 were part of a network linked to deaths?

819 Mr. Patterson. Sir, I would have to get back to you on that
820 one particular issue and I will you the reason why. It's my
821 understanding it was -- it was part of the criminal process in
822 that case and I don't know the answer for why that was. But I
823 would be happy to get that back to you.

824 The Chairman. Thank you.

825 So why would the DEA even consider such an arrangement when
826 it knew the owner operated the pharmacies two miles apart, one

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

827 of which the DEA claimed to be the prime reception location for
828 the flood of pills -- that's a direct quote -- being sent to the
829 area and linked to overdose deaths? Same owner, same operator,
830 two miles apart?

831 Mr. Patterson. I agree with you, and it's something I will
832 get back to you on.

833 The Chairman. During the time the DEA allowed Sav-Rite
834 number one to remain in operation, this pharmacy received
835 somewhere between 1 and 2 million hydrocodone and oxycodone pills.

836 Allowing Sav-Rite one to continue to dispense such a volume
837 of opioids posed a continuing risk to public health and safety.
838 Isn't that right?

839 Mr. Patterson. I would agree.

840 The Chairman. So, Mr. Patterson, what's the biggest
841 priority? Protecting public safety or deferring to an ongoing
842 criminal investigation?

843 Mr. Patterson. It should have been to protect public
844 safety.

845 The Chairman. So in this case, the government originally
846 entered a plea agreement with the pharmacy owner that didn't even
847 call for any prison time.

848 The lack of any prison time troubled the judge and eventually
849 the defendant was sentenced to six months -- six months in prison.

850 What kinds of evidentiary challenges would have been
851 involved in such a case and would putting an immediate suspension

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

852 order on hold really help solve these challenges?

853 Mr. Patterson. So putting an immediate suspension order on
854 hold, like, again, I don't know the particular facts of that
855 criminal case and I would be happy to get back to you.

856 I will tell you that I have a very strong opinion and this
857 has been relayed throughout our agency that whether it's an
858 immediate suspension or whether a surrender for cause, that if
859 we are having harm issues that that suspension needs to occur even
860 in lieu of a criminal prosecution.

861 The Chairman. And have you gone back and looked? Are there
862 any records in your possession that would speak to this issue of
863 why that decision was made?

864 Mr. Patterson. I would be happy to go back and look, sir.

865 The Chairman. And will you provide those to us unredacted?

866 Mr. Patterson. I would be happy to take that back and take
867 a look at it for you.

868 The Chairman. That wasn't the answer I was looking for.

869 Mr. Patterson. I don't want to commit to the department's
870 files. But I would be happy to take that back and I will take
871 your concern back about getting them unredacted.

872 The Chairman. Yes. I mean, we've had this discussion in
873 private. We'll have it in public. We'll have it in private.

874 The long and short of it is we just want to find out what
875 was going on, what was the thinking, why the change in operation.
876 People died and things were not -- we don't want to see your agency

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

877 repeat that.

878 We are beholden to the constituents we represent and I think
879 the public has a right to know, don't you?

880 Mr. Patterson. I fully understand your concern and I agree
881 with you.

882 The Chairman. Would this happen again today?

883 Mr. Patterson. Certainly, I think with our mentality, the
884 answer would be no. Like I said, I mean, what we wish to do, sir,
885 is stop public harm. I've had this conversation with U.S.
886 attorneys' population, states' attorneys' population.

887 I see in too many instances on ISOs, current ones that I sign
888 off on, where there has been a delay that I don't find appropriate.

889 The Chairman. So how do you weigh when to proceed with an
890 ISO versus a criminal case?

891 Mr. Patterson. I would take it, quite frankly, no different
892 than what we would do in a criminal case in the field, and in this
893 case, I find that, you know, we have the ability.

894 So we have certain protocols where we evaluate risk of
895 ongoing criminal activity in traditional criminal cases. In this
896 case, because the person has a registration, we can immediately
897 stop that harm.

898 The Chairman. And how long -- what's immediate? Is that
899 90 days? Twenty-five days? Tomorrow?

900 Mr. Patterson. I think the frustration in this is it takes
901 time to build even that ISO charge, which is the reason why, in

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

902 a lot of cases, we've gone to surrenders for cause or a voluntary
903 surrender in which we go in and try and remove that registration.

904 The Chairman. So the ISO -- how long are we talking about
905 to build that case?

906 Mr. Patterson. I think probably, in an efficient manner,
907 45 to 90 days.

908 The Chairman. So during that period, they can continue to
909 dispense these drugs?

910 Mr. Patterson. The same way an illicit person would be out
911 on the street as we gather the evidence we needed to present the
912 charge.

913 That's why, sir, I go back to my point on surrender for cause,
914 or a voluntary surrender. If I can walk in and lay out to that
915 person why they need to surrender that and I can do it in a day
916 and that's the method that we have actually been using much more
917 aggressively than the ISO process, then we are going to do that.

918 The Chairman. What's the average time to go to a voluntary
919 surrender?

920 Mr. Patterson. It depends. I mean, with very aggressive
921 people it happens relatively quickly. There's always a quick
922 balance with a criminal case and then evidence that they need to
923 look at for that.

924 And, like I said, again, our conversations with prosecutors
925 in the field have been that decision has to get made quickly.

926 The Chairman. All right. I know my time has expired.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

927 I would imagine Mr. Griffith is going to have a comment or
928 two on this as well.

929 With that, Mr. Chairman, I yield back, and thank you again.
930 Mr. Harper. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

931 The chair now recognizes the ranking member of the full
932 committee, Mr. Pallone, for five minutes.

933 Mr. Pallone. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

934 Mr. Patterson, I want to ask you about another pharmacy in
935 West Virginia so I can better understand why DEA was not able to
936 stop the distributors from oversupplying certain pharmacies.

937 This one is the Family Discount Pharmacy in Mount
938 Gay-Shamrock, West Virginia. Mount Gay-Shamrock has a
939 population of just under 2,000.

940 DEA's data shows that distributors shipped 16.5 million
941 opioid pills to this pharmacy between 2006 and 2016, including
942 2 million pills in three consecutive years.

943 By contrast, the Rite-Aid Pharmacy down the street received
944 a total of about 2 million pills during this entire 11-year period.

945 So do you agree that over 16 million pills is an excessive
946 amount of opioids for Family Discount Pharmacy to have received
947 relative to the size of the town it served?

948 Mr. Patterson. Especially when you compare it to the other
949 pharmacy. Correct.

950 Mr. Pallone. I thank you.

951 One distributor has provided evidence suggesting that

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

952 between May 2008 and May 2009 they sent DEA 105 suspicious order
953 reports stating that this pharmacy regularly ordered high volumes
954 of pills.

955 For example, this distributor apparently told DEA that
956 Family Discount ordered 25 500-count hydrocodone bottles on June
957 16th, 2008, and that's 12,500 pills just in the one day.

958 On October 10th, Family Discount ordered 32 500-count
959 hydrocodone pills -- bottles, I should say -- or 16,000 pills in
960 a single day, again, for a town of only 2,000 people.

961 Now, merely reporting these suspicious orders does not
962 absolve the distributor of its additional responsibilities. Is
963 that correct?

964 Mr. Patterson. That's correct.

965 Mr. Pallone. So distributors still have to actually refuse
966 shipments to suspicious pharmacies?

967 Mr. Patterson. They can, yes.

968 Mr. Pallone. Additionally, it appears that distributors
969 continue to ship this pharmacy over a million opioid pills each
970 year in the five years after these reports were made and even the
971 distributor who told us they reported the pharmacy to DEA
972 continued to supply them after submitting those reports.

973 So, Mr. Patterson, it would appear that, again, something
974 broke down to allow so many opioids to be shipped to this pharmacy.

975 I mean, just tell us what happened here. Why are so many
976 opioids sent to this pharmacy at the same time that DEA has

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

977 received a number of suspicious order reports? What do you think
978 happened?

979 Mr. Patterson. Sir, so, again, on any of these
980 individualized cases I am going to have to go back and take a look
981 at the specific instances of what happened.

982 I will give you, I think, the concern I have with the ARCOS
983 -- not just ARCOS data but the suspicious orders, which is that
984 is -- was a decentralized function. It would go out to our
985 division -- those reports.

986 We are now bringing those in as well to our headquarters for
987 proper deconfliction and visibility of what we see. I will take
988 on face value the facts that you just proffered to me and I would
989 be happy to go back and take a look at the Family Discount scenario.
990 As I sit here, I don't have the particulars on the case from that
991 time.

992 Mr. Pallone. Well, I mean, we appreciate your following up.
993 I mean, that's obviously why we are asking the questions. I don't
994 expect you to know everything right off the bat.

995 But let me just say this. Between 2006 and 2010, did the
996 DEA have any data analysts assigned to scrutinize information from
997 distributors about the amount of pills shipped to particular
998 pharmacies? Did you have any kind of data analysts, in that
999 respect?

1000 Mr. Patterson. So my understanding of the people that were
1001 handling the ARCOS data it was a completely manual process,

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1002 meaning everything was coming in on paper or tapes, which would
1003 have to be verified.

1004 So you have this one-month to three-month delay to begin
1005 with. They would have to have errors in their report that would
1006 go back and forth.

1007 So what you found yourself with is a set of data that
1008 sometimes would take a year-plus to get correct, and then in that
1009 time frame, sir, we are using it very much as a reactive tools.

1010 In other words, someone would come in and provide some piece
1011 of information on a pharmacy or a doctor or some other impact --
1012 or some other issue and then they would go and look at the ARCOS
1013 data. It was not done in a --

1014 Mr. Pallone. So does that mean then, if I understand you,
1015 that there wouldn't be -- it would be too long a period of time
1016 before would they realize how excessive this was?

1017 Mr. Patterson. Well, if it was still ongoing, obviously,
1018 it would be an ability to look at that current situation. In a
1019 lot of these cases you see where these problems occurred for either
1020 a year or two and then disappeared or they were ongoing. But --

1021 Mr. Pallone. And is that being -- is that problem being
1022 corrected or what do you suggest we do?

1023 Mr. Patterson. It has been corrected, sir. So, again, I
1024 think that for the committee to understand is ARCOS is an extremely
1025 different tool in 2018 than it was even in 2010 or 2011.

1026 Mr. Pallone. So you feel that you already have the tools

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1027 to correct it -- you don't need anything else?

1028 Mr. Patterson. I feel that tool, with other data, is an
1029 important way for us to look proactively at these issues -- the
1030 very specific issues that we are talking about today.

1031 Mr. Pallone. All right. Thank you.

1032 Mr. Harper. The gentleman yields back.

1033 The chair will now recognize the gentleman from Texas, Mr.
1034 Barton, for five minutes.

1035 Mr. Barton. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

1036 This is a difficult hearing because I think everybody has
1037 the same bottom line. But your agency doesn't appear to be
1038 willing to aggressively try to help us solve this or at least deal
1039 with this crisis.

1040 According to the latest numbers that this committee staff
1041 has, 115 people a day are dying of opioid overdoses and two-thirds
1042 of those are legally prescribed drugs. So about 80 people a day
1043 are dying from taking legally-prescribed prescription drugs.

1044 Now, they may be getting that prescription in an illegal way
1045 -- in other words, they don't really need it. You're the head
1046 of the agency that's supposed to do something about it.

1047 Now, I don't know much about you but, apparently, your
1048 background has been on the illegal side of DEA. Is that correct?

1049 Mr. Patterson. That is correct.

1050 Mr. Barton. Okay. How long have you been in your current
1051 position?

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1052 Mr. Patterson. Since October of 2017.

1053 Mr. Barton. Okay. And I doubt that you volunteered for the
1054 job. I think, you know, you don't have -- we don't have a -- we
1055 still don't have a Trump administration appointee who's been
1056 recommended to the Senate.

1057 So for the foreseeable future in terms of drug enforcement
1058 the buck stops with you, even though you're, as I understand it,
1059 a career civil servant. Is that correct?

1060 Mr. Patterson. That's correct.

1061 Mr. Barton. Okay. Are you familiar with the Washington
1062 Post articles that have been running the last three to four months?
1063 One of them talks about the tension between the field enforcement
1064 offices and the Washington administrative officials?

1065 Mr. Patterson. I have.

1066 Mr. Barton. Okay. Do you agree or disagree with the basic
1067 thrust of those -- of those articles -- that the enforcement people
1068 were very enthusiastic and willing to really go after the
1069 distribution centers and the drug manufacturers and the
1070 pharmacists -- pharmacies and the Washington staff, for lack of
1071 a better term, stonewalled them or toned them down?

1072 Mr. Patterson. So I believe that's an overstatement. I
1073 think you have a number of issues that, quite frankly, play out
1074 in this space, some of which have to do with personalities.

1075 But I don't find that the folks in the field, for the most
1076 part, had this belief that they were shut down. I do think there

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1077 were people that felt that way at headquarters but not necessarily
1078 in the field.

1079 Mr. Barton. Are you familiar with a gentleman named
1080 Clifford Lee Reeves, II?

1081 Mr. Patterson. I am.

1082 Mr. Barton. You don't think he stonewalled them or turned
1083 them down -- toned them down?

1084 Mr. Patterson. Sir, as I've talked about with everybody
1085 I've met on this situation, I will simply explain this. I could
1086 put three people in a room and talk about probable cause and they
1087 could all have different opinions on --

1088 Mr. Barton. Well, let me put it this way. You and your
1089 associates in Washington have stonewalled this committee for the
1090 last six or seven months.

1091 It took a threat of Chairman Walden to subpoena the attorney
1092 general of the United States to finally break loose some
1093 documents. We didn't get those documents, I understand, until
1094 yesterday.

1095 Now, that's not the Washington Post, sir. That's your
1096 people in Washington interacting with Energy and Commerce
1097 Committee staff on a bipartisan basis. That's not hypothetical.
1098 That's real.

1099 Now, we are as much a part of the problem as anybody because
1100 the Congress has not aggressively addressed it. But we are
1101 beginning to, and as long as you're the head of the DEA, I

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1102 personally, as vice chairman of this committee, expect you to work
1103 with us and to tell your people to work with the committee staff.
1104 Can you do that?

1105 Mr. Patterson. Sir, I took over this job in October. I met
1106 with --

1107 Mr. Barton. Okay. I don't -- I want to know will you do
1108 what I just asked you to do? Yes or no. Will you tell your people
1109 to work with committee staff to help address this problem?

1110 Mr. Patterson. Of course, and I have since November and
1111 we've been turning documents over since that time.

1112 Mr. Barton. Well, you didn't turn them over until
1113 yesterday, sir, and some of the documents you turned over were
1114 so redacted that it just looked like black marks on the pages.

1115 Mr. Patterson. Sir, we've been turning documents over since
1116 November to the tune of more than 10,000 pages of documents that
1117 have come over here in the last month.

1118 Mr. Barton. Yes, and how many of those pages do you think
1119 are useable?

1120 Mr. Patterson. Well, we sat down yesterday with staff to
1121 go --

1122 Mr. Barton. Because this hearing was today.

1123 Mr. Patterson. -- the concerns. Sir, I would
1124 respectfully disagree with that.

1125 Mr. Barton. Well, you can -- at least you're respectfully
1126 disagreeing and I appreciate that.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1127 Mr. Patterson. I am fully committed, sir, to working with
1128 this committee and being as transparent as I can be.

1129 Mr. Barton. Well, you just remember, 80 people a day are
1130 dying because of legal prescription drugs that are probably being
1131 illegally prescribed. Remember that.

1132 I yield back.

1133 Mr. Harper. Gentleman yields back.

1134 The chair will now recognize the gentlewoman from Florida,
1135 Ms. Castor, for five minutes.

1136 Ms. Castor. Thank you, Chairman Harper.

1137 Administrator Patterson, I am sure you know about the
1138 multi-district opioid litigation in the Northern District of
1139 Ohio, which consolidates over 400 lawsuits brought by cities and
1140 counties and other states' communities against the drug
1141 distributors, manufacturers, and pharmacy chains.

1142 The most important source of information in that major
1143 lawsuit is going to be most likely the ARCOS data, and I understand
1144 DEA initially resisted providing ARCOS data to the federal judge.

1145 A DEA official testified in response to my question in the
1146 Health Subcommittee hearing last month that the resistance was
1147 based upon a need to protect proprietary information.

1148 But now the court in this case has recently entered a
1149 protective order describing how the parties should treat the
1150 confidential ARCOS data when DEA disclosed it.

1151 It's apparent to me that the ARCOS data will be pivotal in

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1152 appropriately resolving the case and assigning accountability.

1153 Do I understand now that DEA has agreed to provide nine years
1154 of data on opioid sales including the identifies of manufacturers
1155 and distributors that sold 95 percent of opioids in every state
1156 from 2006 to 2014?

1157 Mr. Patterson. That is correct, under the protective order.

1158 Ms. Castor. Under the protective order. So this will not
1159 be the last major challenge to manufacturers and distributors and
1160 others that are responsible.

1161 Will DEA likely cooperate in those cases too? Have you set
1162 up a standard -- is this a decision, going forward, that other
1163 judges and litigants can count on?

1164 Mr. Patterson. I would believe it's under the same
1165 circumstances and conditions that we would comply the same way
1166 with anyone else that came in under those same terms.

1167 Ms. Castor. So when will that data be provided to the
1168 federal court in that -- in the northern Ohio case?

1169 Mr. Patterson. I can get back to you on the date. I think
1170 it's very short term.

1171 Ms. Castor. Okay. The committee's analysis of ARCOS data
1172 has been very concerning. The trends in West Virginia -- I mean,
1173 we've just really -- we've just really skimmed the surface, I
1174 think.

1175 My colleagues have outlined some of these. I am concerned
1176 that there are other regions all across the country where

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1177 distributors may have supplied pharmacies with excessive
1178 quantities of opioid pills and that that information may be
1179 overlooked.

1180 How is DEA currently using the older ARCOS data, say, from
1181 2006 to the present to go back and look at past crimes, and if
1182 you could explain what you're doing now.

1183 Mr. Patterson. No, I appreciate the question and I think
1184 it's an important issue.

1185 So the 400 packages that we just put out are current-day
1186 packages that we want to investigate -- in other words, where harm
1187 is continuing.

1188 I shouldn't say where harm is definitely continuing but where
1189 those outliers are that we want to go back and take a look at,
1190 why is that occurring, right?

1191 Some of these actually end up being reasonable issues. You
1192 know, there's an oncology department there. There's some reason
1193 why there's a higher level of that medication going to that area.

1194 I think the key is is that once we get a handle on current
1195 issues that we are dealing with we want to roll backwards and look
1196 at 2012, 2013, 2014, and 2015 where we still have the ability to
1197 take a look at that data and make it make sense.

1198 I can tell you that there's a number of cases ongoing in DEA
1199 without going into detail on them, looking at just that issue right
1200 now with manufacturers and --

1201 Ms. Castor. And what is the statute of limitations? If you

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1202 go back and we -- the committee has seen some of this in graphical
1203 forms where 2006 it ramped up and then because now the spotlight
1204 is being shined on it that the excessive distribution has scaled
1205 down.

1206 Do you have the ability to go back and hold them accountable
1207 for that peak dangerous distribution of opioids?

1208 Mr. Patterson. So on the criminal side, I believe it would
1209 be five years. On civil, I would have to find out. I am not sure
1210 how far back you can go civilly.

1211 Ms. Castor. So you are --

1212 Mr. Patterson. As long as it is an ongoing issue, then you
1213 fall into that time frame.

1214 Ms. Castor. And there was a lot of criticism by the Pulitzer
1215 Prize-winning Charleston Gazette Mail that the state didn't take
1216 advantage of data at their fingertips. What are -- how are you
1217 cooperating with states in providing that data so they can hold
1218 folks accountable?

1219 Mr. Patterson. So this gets back to the issue, I think, with
1220 PMP which -- and this is why these two data sets are so critical
1221 with each other.

1222 We see the distribution to the pharmacy. PMP data in the
1223 states will then show you the distribution out of the pharmacy,
1224 right. So that whole connection, that's where those other
1225 outliers become very critical for us to take a look at.

1226 Some states, and this is the issue that we have addressed

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1227 throughout the members that we've met through and the states that
1228 we've talked to, some states share this data.

1229 Some states require a subpoena, which is also fine. Some
1230 states don't share. This is a problem that we have and, frankly,
1231 I think an issue that, you know I would hope that someone looks
1232 at on a legislative fix, at a minimum to make the states cooperate
1233 with each other because you have bordering states, in some cases,
1234 that are still not participating and cooperating with each other,
1235 which is exactly how a lot of this diversion happens.

1236 Ms. Castor. Thank you very much. I yield back.

1237 Mr. Harper. Gentlewoman yields back.

1238 Before we proceed, I want to clarify for the record that the
1239 DEA has been producing documents and the vast majority of the,
1240 roughly, 9,700 pages we have received have come in during the last
1241 month.

1242 Those documents had substantial redactions. Staff
1243 identified key documents for you and yesterday the DEA brought
1244 up some of those for us to view in camera. And I will note that
1245 those documents still contain some redactions.

1246 So there's still much work to be done. I wanted to clarify
1247 that for the record, that the bulk of these came in after Chairman
1248 Walden's press conference and we'll continue to work with you in
1249 this effort.

1250 Mr. Patterson. Thank you, sir.

1251 Mr. Harper. Now the chair will recognize the vice chairman

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1252 of the subcommittee, the gentleman from Virginia, Mr. Griffith,
1253 for five minutes.

1254 Mr. Griffith. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

1255 Mr. Patterson, I am going to need -- I am going to need your
1256 assistance on some of this because what I am going to do is ask
1257 a series of questions which require a yes or no answer.

1258 First, if you would take a look at the email before you dated
1259 5/6/2011. I show it to you here, and I would ask unanimous consent
1260 to put that into the record.

1261 Mr. Harper. Without objection.

1262 [The information follows:]

1263

1264 *****COMMITTEE INSERT 2*****

1265 Mr. Griffith. And apparently, secret DEA official wrote,
1266 because his name is blacked out, our first and most prominent
1267 social responsibility as government officials in the DEA is to
1268 protect the public.

1269 I think that trumps all other activities. I think that's
1270 what Congress/citizens would expect us to do. You agree with that
1271 statement, don't you? Yes or no.

1272 Mr. Patterson. Yes.

1273 Mr. Griffith. One of the key tools for DEA to fulfil their
1274 -- this mission is through an immediate suspension order -- I will
1275 henceforth refer to those as ISOs.

1276 This is an administrative tool used as an emergency
1277 intervention to stop a rogue doctor or pharmacist from continuing
1278 to prescribe or dispense opioids that would possibly kill drug
1279 seekers and/or put the public at risk.

1280 You agree with that as well, don't you?

1281 Mr. Patterson. I do.

1282 Mr. Griffith. An essential element for requesting the ISO
1283 is concern about imminent danger to public health or safety. A
1284 pharmacy in Oviedo, Florida received an increase of oxycodone of
1285 almost 2,500 percent compared to one year earlier.

1286 Local police arrested customers in the parking lot of this
1287 pharmacy for selling/trading pills. Police officers were
1288 concerned customers were getting high in the parking lot and
1289 getting on the roads, endangering the public.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1290 The continued dispensing of opioids by this pharmacy with
1291 its parking lot of drug pushers and drug users who get high and
1292 then drive on the public roads would pose an imminent danger to
1293 the public, wouldn't you agree? Yes or no.

1294 Mr. Patterson. Yes.

1295 Mr. Griffith. You would also agree, I assume, that speed
1296 is crucial in issuing imminent suspension orders to protect the
1297 public? Yes or no.

1298 Mr. Patterson. I would.

1299 Mr. Griffith. And 45 -- I will just tell you, 45 to 90 days
1300 that you told the chairman of the full committee is not -- is not
1301 acceptable. Please refer to the -- another email before you and
1302 I ask unanimous consent to put that in the record and this one
1303 is dated August 22nd -- or 20th -- there's two different dates
1304 on it.

1305 Mr. Harper. Without objection.

1306 Mr. Griffith. 2013.

1307 All right. The email chain in August 2013 shows that DEA
1308 lawyers were requiring the DEA field to submit an expert witness
1309 report to describe the expert's assessment of data and documents
1310 prior to submitting either or both request -- either or both
1311 request for an immediate suspension order and orders to show
1312 cause.

1313 Are you aware of this new requirement that was imposed in
1314 2013? Yes or no.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1315 Mr. Patterson. No.

1316 Mr. Griffith. And I expected that.

1317 Regarding medical experts being required, DEA counsel Lee
1318 Reeves wrote, "To be clear, this is not a chief counsel office
1319 requirement policy. This is the requirement of the administrator
1320 and the courts."

1321 Are you aware that the medical experts are required by the
1322 DEA administrator? Yes or no.

1323 Mr. Patterson. No.

1324 Mr. Griffith. Mr. Reeves also wrote that as a general
1325 matter, these cases without expert testimony are the exception
1326 rather than the rule.

1327 So, generally, DEA is requiring medical expert testimony
1328 before the field can submit an ISO to the chief counsel's office
1329 for review. Is this still the policy of the DEA? Yes or no.

1330 Mr. Patterson. It is not a policy, no.

1331 Mr. Griffith. I appreciate that. Thank you.

1332 Mr. Reeves cites the DEA administrator's decision in the
1333 Ruben case for requiring medical experts. However, the Ruben
1334 case is a show cause case, not an ISO.

1335 This decision basically says that if a state doesn't -- if
1336 a state doesn't provide guidance on certain medical standards,
1337 the DEA must use an expert to explain why the doctor's activities
1338 fell below the standard of care.

1339 However, you would not need a medical expert if the state

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1340 had a statute of regulations on prescribing standards. Yes or
1341 no, or I don't know?

1342 Mr. Patterson. I don't know that.

1343 Mr. Griffith. All right. Fair enough.

1344 Let's discuss this policy of requiring experts, and I know
1345 that you're trying to shift from some of that but let's discuss
1346 it.

1347 It would take some time for the DEA field to find a medical
1348 expert, wouldn't you agree?

1349 Mr. Patterson. I would.

1350 Mr. Griffith. And to obtain the services of a medical expert
1351 the DEA would have to issue a sole source contract and the agency
1352 and the expert would have to figure out and reach an agreement
1353 on fee and deliverables. Isn't that true?

1354 Mr. Patterson. I don't necessarily know about the contract
1355 but it would require some type of compensation.

1356 Mr. Griffith. And after all of that, the medical expert
1357 would need to review prescription monitoring program, data
1358 patient files, and other information. It's going to take some
1359 time for the medical expert to review and render an opinion, isn't
1360 it?

1361 Mr. Patterson. It would.

1362 Mr. Griffith. Yes. After the medical expert completes the
1363 review then the chief counsel's office would need additional time
1364 to review the field submission of the request for an immediate

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1365 suspension order. Isn't that true?

1366 Mr. Patterson. Yes.

1367 Mr. Griffith. Realistically -- this scenario assumes no
1368 delays along the way, and realistically this process, in many ISO
1369 cases, will take weeks, won't it?

1370 Mr. Patterson. I would believe so.

1371 Mr. Griffith. And that's where you get your 45 to 90 days.
1372 If the DEA registrant sought a restraining order against the ISO,
1373 the delay in timing getting the medical expert and going through
1374 all the steps we just went through would in fact weaken the DEA's
1375 case in court for immediacy, wouldn't it?

1376 Mr. Patterson. I would believe so.

1377 Mr. Griffith. Yes, it would.

1378 And so in fact, insisting on an expert medical testimony for
1379 the ISO -- I get the trial in cheap, the merits. But to protect
1380 the public, insistent on a medical expert in advance is
1381 endangering the public and endangering your case on the ISO
1382 because it takes away the immediacy factor. Wouldn't you agree?

1383 Mr. Patterson. Yes, and I --

1384 Mr. Griffith. Okay. I got to keep moving because I am
1385 already out of time.

1386 All right. Maybe I can get some more opportunity later.
1387 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I yield back.

1388 Mr. Harper. Gentleman yields back. The chair will now
1389 recognize the gentleman from California, Mr. Ruiz, for five

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1390 minutes.

1391 Mr. Ruiz. Mr. Patterson, thank you for coming. I am a
1392 board-certified emergency physician and I can't tell you how
1393 personally I take whenever a patient comes in overdosed, not
1394 breathing, and blue.

1395 It's not uncommon to see a blue-colored patient being
1396 strolled in in an emergency situation, having been dumped from
1397 a car from friends who found this person overdosed, not breathing.

1398 And as emergency physicians we cut to the chase and we start
1399 resuscitating the patient. We know exactly what to do no matter
1400 if it's from overdose of opiates or any other reason why a patient
1401 is comatose. Whether we start the ABCs -- airway breathing
1402 circulations -- and we bring them back, as much as possible.

1403 So I am going to cut to the chase here and ask you some --
1404 ask you to be very frank and direct.

1405 You screwed up. The DEA knew that there was a lot of opioids
1406 being shipped, an extraordinary amount and not outliers, and when
1407 you said earlier that there's two things that you were going to
1408 do from now on it's very concerning that those two things were
1409 to recognize how to use the data, and two, pay more attention to
1410 what you're doing.

1411 That leaves me to believe that you were collecting data that
1412 you did not know how to use, and two, you weren't paying attention
1413 to your job within the DEA.

1414 So I am going to be very straightforward. What are you doing

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1415 different now that you're going to recognize how to use the data?

1416 Mr. Patterson. Sir, I appreciate the concern and I think
1417 what I've tried to explain is the data -- when we are talking about
1418 a lot of these cases that you have brought up we are talking about
1419 a time period in which this data was --

1420 Mr. Ruiz. Okay. I would rather focus -- be specific on what
1421 are the changes you're going to do now. Not giving me the reasons
1422 why or an excuse. Tell me what are you going to do now that's
1423 different.

1424 Mr. Patterson. So let me give you a handful of the
1425 differences.

1426 Mr. Ruiz. Yes.

1427 Mr. Patterson. On the suspicious orders, we have
1428 regulations that are in the final stretch to deal with that. We
1429 have a website that's now been built for the distributors to
1430 understand their customers better where they can go in and see
1431 partial information on other people that distributed to that
1432 particular pharmacy for the past six months.

1433 We are working with all of our other partners both in the
1434 Health and Human Services side and the states to try and combine
1435 all this data, to look at it in a very proactive manner.

1436 Mr. Ruiz. What are your flags? What numerical equations
1437 have you used to flag something for the pharmacies and for the
1438 distributors?

1439 Mr. Patterson. I would have to get you what the specific

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1440 flags are for them. I mean, they --

1441 Mr. Ruiz. Are they new flags or are they old flags, like
1442 --

1443 Mr. Patterson. No, they're our baselines for any given area
1444 as to traditional, you know, what the prescribing rates have been
1445 in those particular areas and anything that's an anomaly to that
1446 is a flag.

1447 All right. So when we've talked about these issues before
1448 we have a --

1449 Mr. Ruiz. And who's looking at that flags? Who's the one
1450 in your department who's actually putting their eyes on this
1451 computer and reporting these?

1452 Mr. Patterson. A unit within the diversion.

1453 Mr. Ruiz. Okay. And how many people are in that unit?

1454 Mr. Patterson. I would have to get that number for you.

1455 Mr. Ruiz. Okay, because you have --

1456 Mr. Patterson. Again, most of it's generated by computer.

1457 Mr. Ruiz. Okay.

1458 Mr. Patterson. So it's not necessarily a
1459 manpower-intensive endeavor to do.

1460 Mr. Ruiz. Okay. And so when you said that now you're going
1461 to start paying attention to what you're doing, tell me about that.
1462 What are the organizational changes that you have made to start
1463 paying attention to doing your job?

1464 Mr. Patterson. I don't think I said now that we are doing

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1465 it. I think we've been doing it for a period of time.

1466 Mr. Ruiz. Well, you said moving forward that now -- that,
1467 you know, what you have to do is to pay attention to what you're
1468 doing. That means to imply that there was some kind of slip-up
1469 before.

1470 So what exactly are you doing? What are the changes? I want
1471 to -- I want to practice my ABCs for a patient who's coming in.
1472 I want to know what you're doing exactly that you're going to make
1473 sure that this doesn't happen again.

1474 Mr. Patterson. I mean, again, that's some of the issues I
1475 just talked to you about and how we use data, community -- or not
1476 community outreach. Well, community outreach with the
1477 prescribing --

1478 Mr. Ruiz. Have you changed any organizational structure?
1479 Is there any accountability metrics that you have included in your
1480 department? Have you increased the staffing in certain areas?

1481 What are you doing to pay better attention to your job?

1482 Mr. Patterson. Over the past few years, we've increased
1483 staffing and diversion. We have a new head of diversion control
1484 coming in.

1485 He and I have sat down and spent time on this particulars
1486 issue as to other proactive ways we can look at it. I met with
1487 the U.S. attorney and states' attorneys to talk about these issues
1488 of working criminal cases or civil cases and how they impact our
1489 administrative issues for the criminal prosecutions.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1490 They want to continue to gather evidence. If we have some
1491 harm that's being done and we can stop it, then we have to start
1492 to balance this out in a better and more proactive way.

1493 So there -- I mean, there are dozens of things we are doing
1494 differently. This is not just a one issue fix.

1495 Mr. Ruiz. Well, those are the things that I am particularly
1496 concerned and want to know more about because that's what's going
1497 to create the change is by -- is by making changes in your
1498 department in order to use your data more efficiently and also
1499 to start paying attention whether it's through computers or
1500 personnel, because a computer can flag all it wants to flag but
1501 if a human is not taking those warnings and having action based
1502 on what your computer is flagging then it's just going to be a
1503 flashing flagging computer.

1504 Mr. Patterson. Understood.

1505 Mr. Harper. Gentleman yields back.

1506 The chair will now recognize the gentleman from Texas, Dr.
1507 Burgess, for five minutes.

1508 Mr. Burgess. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

1509 And Mr. Patterson, I want to acknowledge that I asked for
1510 you to come to my office and you complied with that, and for that
1511 I am deeply appreciative with the information that you shared with
1512 me.

1513 Obviously, this is something about which many of us feel
1514 very, very strongly. Clearly, we want to get some answers.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1515 The subcommittee has interest in knowing about differences
1516 between voluntary suspension orders and immediate suspension
1517 orders.

1518 I will stipulate that both exist and that we could argue which
1519 is a more propitious path to follow. Are there other tools you
1520 have in your tool box in addition to immediate suspension order
1521 and the voluntary suspension order?

1522 Mr. Patterson. Sure. There's a whole range. There's
1523 letters of admonition, you know, orders to show cause. There's
1524 a host of administrative tools that we have that we can use in
1525 this space, and depending on -- and to go back to an issue that
1526 Mr. Griffith had brought up, depending on, quite frankly, whether
1527 it's a doctor or a pharmacy may be a very different reaction than
1528 what we would do or evidence we would gather against maybe a
1529 distributor.

1530 Mr. Burgess. Let me ask you a question, because I can't take
1531 credit for it -- my staff did this -- but went to your Diversion
1532 Control Division and pulled down a document that's called "Cases
1533 Against Doctors" and this is produced by the U.S. Department of
1534 Justice and Drug Enforcement Administration.

1535 I presume it's your product. It's about a hundred pages
1536 long. It goes back, basically, to 2002 through October 12th of
1537 2017.

1538 It's a hundred pages or about three cases per page, so that's
1539 300 cases against doctors in the last 15 years. Does that sound

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1540 about right?

1541 Mr. Patterson. Sir, I don't know. That's a complete list
1542 of all doctors that cases have been worked or is that -- is it
1543 a guide to help people and where people have gotten into trouble?

1544 Mr. Burgess. Well, I will tell you what concerns me as I
1545 look through this is that most of the dates are pre-2009. So I
1546 guess my question would be where is the data from 2010 onward and
1547 perhaps that's something we can follow up with together because
1548 I do share the provider's perspective on this. We want to be able
1549 to provide pain relief when it's required of us and it's
1550 appropriate.

1551 At the same time, we obviously do not want to be jeopardizing
1552 public safety and the integrity of society the way the opiate
1553 crisis is endangering us currently.

1554 But I think this could be very important information. You
1555 referenced, at the start of your testimony, that over prescribing
1556 is perhaps one of the number-one problems. Well, if that's the
1557 case, then it's this sort of information that is, I think, going
1558 to be very helpful to us as policy makers how do we develop the
1559 correct policy.

1560 Let me just ask you, did I understand this figure correctly?
1561 You referenced \$309 million in fines at the -- at the DEA level.
1562 Is that correct?

1563 Mr. Patterson. In civil fines, \$390 million or \$309
1564 million.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1565 Mr. Burgess. So okay, that ballpark -- \$300 to \$400 million.
1566 We'd appropriated a billion dollars in cures for treatment
1567 of this problem. We are looking at another \$6 billion in the
1568 appropriations bills that are coming through right now. So you
1569 see the disparity there.

1570 Someone, whether it be suppliers prescribers is causing a
1571 problem to exist. You're finding them but it's only minuscule
1572 compared with the amount that it's actually costing society in
1573 trying to save people, salvage people, get people back to
1574 productivity.

1575 That doesn't even address the fact that, again, people are
1576 taken out of -- out of productivity -- out of being productive
1577 citizens when they enter into this type of behavior. Is that
1578 correct?

1579 Mr. Patterson. I agree, sir. And may I just add? I mean,
1580 so these fines come as, again, and you -- some of the members have
1581 already mentioned this balance, right, of ensuring pain medicines
1582 for people.

1583 So I think the fines generally come with, quite frankly, the
1584 heavier piece of that is the memoranda of understanding or
1585 memoranda of agreement of how they'll behave, moving forward.

1586 Mr. Burgess. Correct. I get that.

1587 Let me just ask you this, because I think it was Mr. Barton
1588 referenced 80 people a day who were dying -- was 115 was the total
1589 number but 80 per day are dying because of what you described as

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1590 over prescribing.

1591 And then we've got these lists that in my observation are
1592 not up to date. Do we know how many people were dying a day from
1593 over prescribing in 2007, 2008, 2009 in that time frame? Do you
1594 have a figure?

1595 Mr. Patterson. I don't have it here. I would be happy to
1596 get that stat for you. It still was an alarming number, even back
1597 in that time period, sir.

1598 Mr. Burgess. And then that begs the question. You know,
1599 I mean -- and, again, I appreciate the effort that you're putting
1600 into it now.

1601 But it's been right there in front of us for well over a
1602 decade, decade and a half and, clearly, it requires all hands on
1603 deck in our approach. And, again, I appreciate your being very
1604 forthcoming with my office and I appreciate that.

1605 Mr. Chairman, I will yield back.

1606 Mr. Harper. Gentleman yields back.

1607 The chair will now recognize the gentlewoman from New York,
1608 Ms. Clarke, for five minutes.

1609 Ms. Clarke. I thank you, Mr. Chairman, and I thank our
1610 ranking member.

1611 Mr. Patterson, it's clear in many cases certain drug
1612 distributors supply very large volumes of opioids to some
1613 pharmacies in West Virginia.

1614 But we've also seen from DEA's data that many of these

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1615 pharmacies were buying from multiple distributors. For example,
1616 in 2009, the West Virginia pharmacy, Hurley Drug, received over
1617 2 million opioid pills from six different distributors, including
1618 over 300,000 from one distributor, over 600,000 from a second
1619 distributor, and over 900,000 from a third.

1620 So it's bad enough if one distributor over supplies a
1621 pharmacy. But when you look at the total shipments that Hurley
1622 Drug received from all distributors, it was about 2 million pills,
1623 which is over seven times what a similar pharmacy will be expected
1624 to receive, according to DEA's own data.

1625 So DEA is the only entity that can see the volumes that
1626 multiple distributors are simultaneously sending to a single
1627 pharmacy. Is that correct?

1628 Mr. Patterson. From the distributor level, yes, ma'am.

1629 Ms. Clarke. So, Mr. Patterson, was DEA performing analytics
1630 a decade ago to identify these kinds of patterns at individual
1631 pharmacies?

1632 Mr. Patterson. Again, ma'am, in a reactive manner at that
1633 time.

1634 Ms. Clarke. Okay. So I would like to look at DEA's data
1635 on another pharmacy in West Virginia -- Sav-Rite Pharmacy in the
1636 small town of Kermit received hydrocodone from five different
1637 distributors in 2008.

1638 A few distributors provided relatively normal amounts that
1639 don't seem to raise alarms. However, one distributor shipped 1.2

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1640 million pills and another shipped nearly 2 million.

1641 All told this pharmacy got nearly 4 million pills that year,
1642 which is nearly 15 times what a similar pharmacy would be expected
1643 to receive, according to DEA's data.

1644 Mr. Patterson, if you rely on distributors to report
1645 suspicious orders from pharmacies, how do you flag pharmacies
1646 trying to stay under the radar by buying from multiple
1647 distributors?

1648 Mr. Patterson. So, ma'am, this is where, again, the data
1649 that we use today -- not the data, I shouldn't say the data --
1650 but how we use the data is very different today, and this is also
1651 where the critical nature comes into us working with the states.

1652 Those same pharmacies, that PMP data which show that amount
1653 of distribution from those pharmacies, so we have that distributor
1654 in and then the pharmacy out, depending on the PMP program.

1655 So the key is for us to work together on that and, again,
1656 I can say repeatedly in 2008, 2009, and 2010 we did not use this
1657 data in the way that we are now using it and I think that's the
1658 key.

1659 I get that we have this issue from a decade ago, that we have
1660 to resolve, you know, in terms of how we used it. And, again,
1661 where we fell short in that we'll take responsibility for it. I
1662 think the system is much more robust and used in a much different
1663 way in --

1664 Ms. Clarke. So can you give us a little bit more insight

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1665 into how you're proactively analyzing the data to ensure that
1666 pharmacies are not being over supplied by multiple distributors?
1667 That has not come across clearly to us this morning. How are you
1668 actually doing that disruption?

1669 Mr. Patterson. Again, we are taking this -- so as we talked
1670 about in the opening, we are proactively looking at data not just
1671 across DEA and that ARCOS database that we've talked about but
1672 HHS, PMP programs where we are sharing that information and
1673 looking to proactively target outliers.

1674 Ms. Clarke. So how do you -- what happens once you, you know,
1675 you're flagged in this -- in this regard?

1676 Mr. Patterson. So we --

1677 Ms. Clarke. What exactly happens?

1678 Mr. Patterson. We send that information out to the field
1679 for investigators -- those TDS groups or diversion groups,
1680 depending on how they're being used to go out and work those cases
1681 to find out is it a legitimate amount of prescriptions that are
1682 going there or is there illegitimate diversion occurring in those
1683 areas.

1684 Ms. Clarke. And has that -- has that worked thus far?
1685 Because, you know, you said this was over a decade ago. I am
1686 assuming that you have already begun sort of this new protocol.
1687 What are your findings?

1688 Mr. Patterson. Yes, ma'am. So the interesting thing is of
1689 those 400 packages that went out, a good majority of what we saw

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1690 in that data and the outliers and what they identified were ongoing
1691 cases that we already had, which shows that that data set works
1692 to develop and target those areas where we have problems.

1693 To the extent that we didn't have cases on those other ones
1694 and they were warranted, we've opened cases on those facilities
1695 or doctors or distributors to take a look at that behavior.

1696 Ms. Clarke. Mr. Patterson, I just want to share with you
1697 that, you know, this is an ongoing crisis. Once we are able to
1698 disrupt sort of this supply chain, we know that these supply chains
1699 become supplanted by more nefarious actors.

1700 And so, you know, I really want to impress upon you and your
1701 agency to be as forward leaning in this regard as possible because
1702 once those pills are cut off, we know that that's when the illicit
1703 trade picks up in velocity.

1704 Mr. Patterson. Yes, ma'am. And as we've talked about,
1705 again, in the opening, I think that shift has already occurred.

1706 Ms. Clarke. Thank you. I yield back, Mr. Chairman.

1707 Mr. Harper. Gentlewoman yields back. The chair will now
1708 recognize the gentleman from New York, Mr. Collins, for five
1709 minutes.

1710 Mr. Collins. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank you, Mr.
1711 Patterson for being here.

1712 I think you can tell and your get out of jail free card today,
1713 you have been in this particular job five months. I would hope
1714 five months from now you would not be giving many of the same

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1715 answers.

1716 Following up on what Mr. Ruiz said, I think we are just all
1717 frustrated. There seems to be the bureaucracy mind set in the
1718 DEA today, much like we've seen in the VA.

1719 And, you know, we are finally seeing heads rolling in the
1720 VA. Not as fast as we want. I am just curious, because there's
1721 no doubt there was an abject failure of the DEA, going back the
1722 last 10 years.

1723 Have a lot of heads been chopped off? I mean, have you got
1724 a new team in place?

1725 Mr. Patterson. Sir, so as I said, we have a new head of
1726 Diversion Control. I think the last two people that have done
1727 that job have done and both successful in turning around that
1728 program.

1729 Mr. Collins. Well, I just -- not to interrupt but to
1730 interrupt, you know, I think the right people can turn this around
1731 in 48 hours. I mean, I am a turn around guy. That's what I've
1732 spent my whole life doing.

1733 You bring a new team in and people get called in the office
1734 every day and they walk out saying, somebody just hit me up the
1735 side of the head with a baseball bat. I am either going to get
1736 my act together or I am going to get out of Dodge.

1737 This isn't a time to be polite or nice or let's do better
1738 tomorrow. No, this is an abject failure, and if I go back to --
1739 if I am sitting in that seat and McKesson processed 1.6 million

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1740 orders and only 16 were deemed suspicious, that's absurd.

1741 I mean, I don't know what kind of computers you got but that's
1742 absurd. It means no one was watching.

1743 And you can say well, that was being done in the district
1744 level. But it's indefensible. When we look in West Virginia and
1745 two suspicious orders so, you know, let's, you know, maybe jump
1746 ahead, and in 2008, Cardinal Health was fine \$34 million for not
1747 reporting suspicious orders.

1748 All right. So let's go forward eight years later. They're
1749 still not doing it. You know, two guesses. First -- second one
1750 doesn't count.

1751 How much do you think you fined them eight years later for
1752 the same problem? Thirty-four million dollars, the same amount.
1753 In most places the second offense -- all right, first offense \$34
1754 million, eight years later the same problem, the same fine?
1755 Should have been tenfold. Should have been \$340 million dollars.

1756 What message did you send -- what did your agency do? And
1757 this was a year ago -- year and a half ago. I mean, you guys don't
1758 get it and if you're not -- this committee agrees on a lot.

1759 I don't think we've ever agreed across the board on an issue
1760 as much as we are agreeing your agency needs to be turned upside
1761 down, not just a little shakeup here and there but turned upside
1762 down. It starts with you. If you can't do it, you ought to get
1763 out.

1764 So when I look at some of the things -- so we have

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1765 distributors. We have pharmacies. We have doctors. Well, I
1766 happen to live next door -- literally, next door to one of the
1767 doctors, Dr. Gosy, in Clarence, New York, and I saw his six sports
1768 cars parked out there with all new -- I mean, his name in the
1769 community was Dr. Pain. And this wasn't something new.

1770 So it took -- when I look back, it took the DEA a good seven
1771 years to come after my next door neighbor. By the way, he doesn't
1772 live there anymore.

1773 But he had set up a script line in 2012 where people could
1774 call in and fill scripts with PAs under basically no supervision.

1775 So at what point -- how could you allow a single physician
1776 -- my next door neighbor, literally, in Clarence, New York -- to
1777 write more prescriptions for opioids, millions of them, than any
1778 other doctor or in fact any other hospital in the state of New
1779 York?

1780 There's 20 million people in New York. My particular town
1781 of Clarence has about 50,000 people, and one doctor in the town
1782 of Clarence was writing more prescriptions than any doctor in the
1783 state of 20 million people or any hospital including New York City.

1784 Took you guys five years to figure out there might be
1785 something suspicious? Would you agree, I mean, that's
1786 unacceptable?

1787 Mr. Patterson. Sir, so I wouldn't have any data on a
1788 particular prescriber. DEA doesn't hold that set of data.

1789 Mr. Collins. Well, he's now been indicted. They've seized

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1790 his cars. They've seized his bank accounts.

1791 Mr. Patterson. So at some point, whether that was a DEA case
1792 or a state local case, I don't know what it was that investigated
1793 him and --

1794 Mr. Collins. It was a federal case.

1795 Mr. Patterson. Okay. So at some point we learned of that
1796 and then there was --

1797 Mr. Collins. Yes, but what's going on with your computer
1798 systems and other things? It takes you four or five years. I
1799 mean, I am -- I know how computers work, pretty much. I don't
1800 know how old yours are. I mean, maybe they're XT, you know,
1801 tabletops. I am not sure.

1802 But this kind of data should be instantaneously available.

1803 Mr. Patterson. And, sir, I go back to the states control
1804 prescription monitoring program, not DEA. We control into a
1805 pharmacy. The doctor --

1806 Mr. Collins. Well, maybe you should be kicking some butt
1807 going down the chain. I mean, if I was sitting in your job and
1808 you're on the hot seat right now, and you're telling me now, I
1809 mean, placing the blame on the states, that doesn't cut it in our
1810 world here. We are not looking to place blame. We are looking
1811 for solutions.

1812 My time has expired. We look forward to you coming back in
1813 another four or five months and having a different set of answers.

1814 Thank you, sir.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1815 Mr. Harper. Gentleman yields back.

1816 The chair will now recognize the gentleman from New York,
1817 Mr. Tonko, for five minutes.

1818 Mr. Tonko. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

1819 I want to find out if DEA uses data gathered through its ARCOS
1820 system to game disability into how many opioid pill distributors
1821 send pills that -- distributors send to a town or region as a whole,
1822 even if the distributions are spread out over multiple pharmacies.

1823 Administrator Patterson, one town examined by the committee
1824 was Williamson, West Virginia, population 3,000. Our
1825 committee's investigation focused on two pharmacies in
1826 Williamson. The first is Tug Valley Pharmacy.

1827 Mr. Chair, could I ask that we please show minority exhibit
1828 three on the screen?

1829 Okay. We have here the Tug Valley Pharmacy. According to
1830 DEA's ARCOS data, between 2006 and 2016, Tug Valley Pharmacy
1831 received over 10 million doses of opioids from 13 different
1832 distributors.

1833 This includes over 3 million pills just in 2009. So
1834 Administrator Patterson, this is an unbelievable quantity of
1835 opioids for a pharmacy this size in a town of 3,000. Does DEA
1836 believe the amount of opioids this pharmacy received was
1837 excessive?

1838 Mr. Patterson. In 2009 I would say so, sir.

1839 Mr. Tonko. And, again, Mr. Chair, if we could please put

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1840 minority exhibit four up on the screen. This is the second
1841 pharmacy in Williamson -- Hurley Drug -- that we see on the screen
1842 here.

1843 ARCOS data show that Hurley received over 10.5 million doses
1844 of opioids from 11 different distributors between 2006 and 2016.

1845 This includes over 2 million doses in both 2008 and in 2009.
1846 Mr. Patterson, again, this strikes me as an excessive amount of
1847 opioids for a pharmacy in a town of 3,000 to receive.

1848 Do you agree that this is unreasonable?

1849 Mr. Patterson. I would agree.

1850 Mr. Tonko. I've mentioned that both of these pharmacies are
1851 located in Williamson and, incidentally, both of them are still
1852 in operation today.

1853 I want to show you where they are located. So if we could
1854 please post minority exhibit five on the screen, and combined
1855 distributor shipped over 2,000 -- excuse me, over 20.8 million
1856 doses of opioids to these two pharmacies, which you can see on
1857 our screen, are located only blocks apart and they did that 20.8
1858 million doses of opioids between 2006 and 2016.

1859 Mr. Patterson, between 2006 and 2016, what kind of ARCOS data
1860 analyses did DEA do to alert it when distributors shipped an
1861 unwarranted amount of opioids into a town or region so that it
1862 could stop these excessive distributions?

1863 Mr. Patterson. Again, sir, I would have to go back and look
1864 at that specific example and look at the data set in terms of where

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1865 those periods of time were.

1866 As I already testified previously, we use the data in a very
1867 different way today than we did then. But I would want to go back
1868 and specifically look at the time frame and what was going on and
1869 I can get back to you on that.

1870 Mr. Tonko. If the data were used today, that you have --
1871 you know, as you use it today would it have avoided something like
1872 this?

1873 Mr. Patterson. I would hope so.

1874 Mr. Tonko. Well, can we have a little more of an answer?
1875 I am hoping is good, but --

1876 Mr. Patterson. I would like to -- I would like to -- but
1877 I mean, part of the, I think, the important issue that we are
1878 talking about today is to go back and look at these specific
1879 examples.

1880 Like I said, I have seen examples where on ARCOS data we
1881 actually can't see some of these anomalies. So I think, in taking
1882 these examples back and looking at them and we are using a time
1883 frame of 2006 to 2016, I can't tell you for the last couple of
1884 years what that ARCOS data has been, as I sit here.

1885 Traditionally, what we've seen is very high levels of
1886 distribution into those places between 2008 to 2010 or 2011 when
1887 we started to look at this data in different ways.

1888 Still not nearly as proactively as we do today. But that's
1889 why I would like to take this example back and look and get back

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1890 to you on essentially what's happened with that.

1891 Mr. Tonko. Thank you.

1892 I have been dealing with this issue a great deal in my
1893 district and when I hear of opioids being the gateway to the
1894 illness of addiction, it's very disturbing, and the heartache and
1895 the pain and, unfortunately, the death associated with that
1896 illness is a crisis and we need to -- we need to do something very
1897 valuable here and I would implore that the folks at DEA be smarter
1898 in their approach.

1899 And with that, I yield back, Mr. Chair.

1900 Mr. Harper. Gentleman yields back.

1901 The chair now recognizes the gentleman from Pennsylvania,
1902 Mr. Costello, for five minutes.

1903 Mr. Costello. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

1904 Are you aware that the DEA's chief ALJ authored quarterly
1905 reports describing DEA's declining use of ISOs and noted in June
1906 2014, quote, "an alarming low rate of agency diversion enforcement
1907 activity" on a national level?

1908 Mr. Patterson. I have read those, yes.

1909 Mr. Costello. For the last several years, the chief ALJ has
1910 reported declining number of ISOs to the DEA administrator on a
1911 quarterly basis. This issue had also been raised in the
1912 committee's investigation.

1913 My question -- why has the number of DEA ISOs declined
1914 significantly over the past few years.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1915 Mr. Patterson. I think there's two things when you look at
1916 those statistics.

1917 I think that, although warranted, the statistics were very
1918 high in 2010 and 2011 because of the issue that we were dealing
1919 with in Florida and how those ISOs were being used.

1920 I think during this latter part we have gotten to a point
1921 of in trying to expedite the surrender of registrations we have
1922 much more gone into a posture of trying to get voluntary or
1923 surrender for cause orders.

1924 Mr. Costello. Is there still a need today, as there was in
1925 2011, for the DEA enforcement tool of ISOs?

1926 Mr. Patterson. Yes.

1927 Mr. Costello. A 2013 report by the chief ALJ stated the
1928 DEA's chief counsel had, quote, "instituted a new vetting QA
1929 initiative" that could be slowing the progress of diversion cases.

1930 What was this initiative?

1931 Mr. Patterson. I don't know if it was initiative or if it
1932 was guidance. I think the --

1933 Mr. Costello. What was the guidance? Yeah.

1934 Mr. Patterson. I think the issue at play here was directed
1935 towards distributors, not necessarily directed at doctors and
1936 pharmacies.

1937 Mr. Costello. Do we have -- have you provided that guidance
1938 in full to this committee?

1939 Mr. Patterson. We have not.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1940 Mr. Costello. Will you?

1941 Mr. Patterson. That's a conversation that we've had with
1942 Mr. Walden and we'll continue to work forward on that --

1943 Mr. Costello. When a state revokes the medical license of
1944 a doctor, that doctor is no longer eligible to have a DEA
1945 registration associated with that medical license, correct?

1946 Mr. Patterson. That's correct.

1947 Mr. Costello. When the doctor no longer has state authority
1948 to prescribe does the DEA have to conduct any further
1949 investigation or can DEA execute revocation of DEA registration
1950 by just obtaining the certificate of the medical license
1951 revocation?

1952 Mr. Patterson. We can do an order to show cause.

1953 Mr. Costello. No investigation is needed?

1954 Mr. Patterson. That's correct, because they've lost state
1955 authority.

1956 Mr. Costello. After a state revocation of the doctor's
1957 medical license, how quickly is DEA notified about the revocation
1958 and how long does it take for DEA to revoke the doctor's DEA
1959 registration?

1960 Mr. Patterson. That's where we need to be working with the
1961 states to essentially learn of that -- the state medical boards
1962 to learn of that information. Our field division offices are
1963 responsible for that.

1964 Mr. Costello. Are the vast majority of DEA enforcement

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1965 actions in diversion litigation cases comprised of these no state
1966 authority cases that do not involve DEA investigation?

1967 Mr. Patterson. In terms of the orders to show cause?

1968 Mr. Costello. That's correct.

1969 Mr. Patterson. That's correct.

1970 Mr. Costello. Yes?

1971 Mr. Patterson. Yes.

1972 Mr. Costello. Is it estimated to be about 80 percent of
1973 their actions?

1974 Mr. Patterson. I would believe that's probably a fair
1975 number.

1976 Mr. Costello. Mr. Chair, I would like to yield the balance
1977 of my time to you, Mr. Griffith.

1978 Mr. Griffith. Thank you very much.

1979 When I was asking you questions earlier, we talked about
1980 the ISOs and the apparent requirement -- I know you didn't do it
1981 but the apparent requirement for a medical expert in advance of
1982 issuing an ISO and the fact that that would take a number of weeks
1983 and you said 45 to 90 days. I went through all the different steps
1984 that might actually lead to that.

1985 So you agree that it's the DEA's mission to protect the public
1986 safety and we agree that there's a tremendous amount of delay and
1987 part of that delay in small -- in no small measure is the
1988 requirement that before you get that administrative tool of the
1989 ISO you have to get a medical expert.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1990 So can you, as acting administrator, agree with me today that
1991 you would be willing to reexamine the medical expert requirement?

1992 Mr. Patterson. Absolutely.

1993 Mr. Griffith. And I appreciate that.

1994 Mr. Patterson. And again, we are using the word
1995 requirement. I think these documents are in reference to
1996 distributors and not doctors and pharmacies. But I would be happy
1997 to go back and look into that further.

1998 Mr. Griffith. Yes, it was actually reference to doctors and
1999 pharmacies. But that's okay. As long as we are working it out,
2000 that's where we want to go. We want to make things better.

2001 And one of the reasons that I get so passionate about this
2002 is you saw Mr. Tonko's minority slide of Hurley Drug earlier.

2003 Well, Hurley, Virginia, is 33 miles from Williamson, West
2004 Virginia, where that drug store is located. And anybody with any
2005 sense knows that a big bunch of those pills were coming into my
2006 district.

2007 Likewise, I had some additional questions that dealt with
2008 the fact that we have problems in -- with red flags being raised
2009 that apparently takes a while to be picked up on.

2010 So we had a doctor in Giles County who was sending his
2011 patients over to West Virginia to get drugs. We have a situation
2012 in Martinsville where they have, according to the CDC, they
2013 prescribe more opioid pain killers than anywhere else in the U.S.
2014 per capita and where another doctor was prescribing opioids for

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

2015 patients in North Carolina.

2016 So I look forward to working with you to solve these problems.

2017 But these are real world problems, real world people, and real
2018 word deaths.

2019 Mr. Patterson. I agree with you.

2020 Mr. Griffith. I yield back. I now recognize Congresswoman
2021 Walters for five minutes.

2022 Mrs. Walters. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

2023 Mr. Patterson, it's my understanding that the DEA often uses
2024 tips and information it receives from state and local law
2025 enforcement to develop cases against entities or individuals
2026 suspected of engaging in or facilitating illicit drug diversion.
2027 Is that correct?

2028 Mr. Patterson. Correct.

2029 Mrs. Walters. According to the DEA, the Automated Reports
2030 and Consolidated Ordering System, or ARCOS, provides the agency
2031 with retail level data regarding controlled substance
2032 transactions. Does this mean, for example, ARCOS can show many
2033 doses of hydrocodone or oxycodone an individual pharmacy received
2034 in a given year?

2035 Mr. Patterson. Yes.

2036 Mrs. Walters. In fact, as part of its investigation, the
2037 committee has obtained and analyzed ARCOS data for parts of West
2038 Virginia to great effect. So we recognize how important a tool
2039 it can be.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

2040 In February of this year, DEA announced that it was adding
2041 a feature to ARCOS that will allow manufacturers and distributors
2042 to view the number of companies that have sold a particular
2043 controlled substance to a prospective customer in the preceding
2044 six months.

2045 Mr. Paterson, does this policy enable companies to see the
2046 amount of controlled substances its current customers are
2047 receiving from other suppliers?

2048 Mr. Patterson. Yes. Part of the suspicious orders is them
2049 knowing their customers to know when to file these concerns.

2050 Mrs. Walters. Does the newly added features in ARCOS
2051 provide state and local law enforcement with greater access to
2052 the system's retail level data?

2053 Mr. Patterson. I would have to find out if it provides at
2054 the state level. When we work investigations with the state level
2055 -- the state and local level, obviously, we can share that data
2056 as part of an investigation.

2057 This is also part of the issue that we are dealing with the
2058 states' attorneys general on as to how to share these data sets
2059 to be more proactive.

2060 Mrs. Walters. Okay. According to a letter the DEA sent to
2061 the committee in November of last year, DEA will share ARCOS data
2062 with law enforcement on a need to know basis and when they are
2063 operating in coordination with the DEA for investigative
2064 purposes.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

2065 So is it fair to say that the state and local law enforcement
2066 entities do not have access to DEA ARCOS data on a real-time basis?

2067 Mr. Patterson. If we are working an investigation we'll
2068 share that data in a real time with them.

2069 Mrs. Walters. Okay. Is DEA developing any proposals that
2070 will enhance state and local law enforcement's ability to access
2071 and utilize ARCOS data?

2072 Mr. Patterson. Again, we are working jointly with them and
2073 this also goes back to the effort, I think, with our states
2074 attorneys general.

2075 Mrs. Walters. Okay. In order to effectively combat the
2076 opioid epidemic we need -- we need an all hands on deck approach.
2077 The DEA has data that could assist state and local law enforcement
2078 to identify potential sources of illicit drugs in their
2079 communities and I think the agency should be exploring every
2080 avenue to provide this data to law enforcement as quickly as
2081 possible.

2082 It seems to me that providing state and local police with
2083 access to ARCOS data would be beneficial to the DEA as well,
2084 effectively providing the agency with additional eyes and ears
2085 on the ground, likely resulting in additional leads being produced
2086 to the agency.

2087 Mr. Patterson, will you commit to examine ways to improve
2088 state and local law enforcement's access to ARCOS data so that
2089 bad actors might be able to be identified with greater frequency

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

2090 and effectiveness?

2091 Mr. Patterson. Yes, ma'am.

2092 Mrs. Walters. Thank you, and I yield back the balance of
2093 my time.

2094 Mr. Harper. I now recognize the gentlelady from Indiana,
2095 Mrs. Brooks.

2096 Mrs. Brooks. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

2097 Hello, Mr. Patterson. Since 2011, the number of immediate
2098 suspension orders issued by the DEA, as you have even noted,
2099 declined significantly from a high of 65 in 2011 down to a low
2100 of 6 in 2017. So I want to talk about that a little bit.

2101 Are there instances in which the DEA pursues an immediate
2102 suspension order, the ISO, in parallel with related potential
2103 criminal investigation?

2104 Mr. Patterson. So, ma'am, since October, so the
2105 administrator's position signs the ISOs when they're issued.
2106 What I have traditionally seen is because of the process of where
2107 a criminal case is being investigated there's been a delay in the
2108 ISO process as they're gathering evidence.

2109 One of the concerns I have, and it goes back to, again, what
2110 Mr. Griffith said, is that cuts against the very argument that
2111 we have an imminent problem that we are trying to deal with.

2112 So, again, my conversations that I've had with both U.S. and
2113 states attorneys are is that we have to act much faster in these
2114 cases in terms of if we have ongoing harm and we have the ability

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

2115 to stop that harm, even at the peril of a criminal case, then that's
2116 what we should be doing.

2117 Mrs. Brooks. And let's be clear. The U.S. don't do the
2118 immediate suspension orders. Those are done by the DEA.

2119 Mr. Patterson. The DEA. It's an administrative action.

2120 Mrs. Brooks. And are you saying that the U.S. attorneys were
2121 asking -- as a former U.S. attorney are you saying the U.S.
2122 attorneys were asking or telling DEA not to issue ISOs?

2123 Mr. Patterson. In trying to gather evidence in their
2124 criminal case.

2125 Mrs. Brooks. I understand, but that can take months if not
2126 years sometimes in criminal cases. But that is what -- do you
2127 believe that's what happened prior to you coming in October of
2128 2017 -- that delays happened?

2129 Mr. Patterson. I think that's been an ongoing theme of what
2130 some of these delays are caused by.

2131 Mrs. Brooks. And why would the DEA delay that type of
2132 administrative action in pursuit of a criminal investigation?
2133 What -- why?

2134 Mr. Patterson. Because people believe that the criminal
2135 investigation is an important endeavor towards whether it's that
2136 doctor or that pharmacy.

2137 Mrs. Brooks. Well, very -- it is very important, no doubt,
2138 because that person is, obviously, distributing -- or the belief
2139 is distributing illicitly. But why would an immediate suspension

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

2140 -- is that so that undercover operations can happen with the
2141 physician?

2142 Mr. Patterson. Yes, ma'am.

2143 Mrs. Brooks. And the prescriber?

2144 Mr. Patterson. The gathering of evidence.

2145 Mrs. Brooks. And what is the new guidance, and I appreciate
2146 the importance of gathering of evidence, but what is the new
2147 guidance relative to ISOs and criminal investigations that you
2148 are contemplating or that are in place now, and is that guidance
2149 in writing?

2150 Mr. Patterson. So it is not formalized. This is
2151 conversations that I've been having with the AGAC, the, you know,
2152 advisory --

2153 Mrs. Brooks. I served on the attorney general's advisory
2154 counsel.

2155 Mr. Patterson. And to the extent that I've been meeting with
2156 states' attorneys to try and talk to them about the same issues.

2157 So I think we have to, again, a lot of this is striking a
2158 balance. I, frankly, feel that a lot of these cases can be worked
2159 backwards on the criminal aspect.

2160 I understand that their desire in a lot of these cases is
2161 to be able to get contemporaneous evidence, use undercover, right,
2162 as opposed to having to use witnesses that have come in that maybe
2163 not have the best of backgrounds.

2164 So I understand that balance. The concern I have, like I

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

2165 said, if we are using an ISO, it feels awful weird to be signing
2166 that ISO a year after we learned of that problem.

2167 Mrs. Brooks. And I noticed in some of the -- in the document
2168 that Dr. Burgess had there was some of that, that the ISO was a
2169 year after the arrest even.

2170 Mr. Patterson. Correct.

2171 Mrs. Brooks. Although at the time of the arrest, typically
2172 that individual would be under their medical licensing procedures
2173 as well. Is that correct?

2174 Mr. Patterson. Correct.

2175 Mrs. Brooks. But wouldn't it make more sense to in many ways
2176 implement an ISO in the middle of the criminal investigation
2177 because those can take months if not years, and in the meantime
2178 we've got all of these people dying.

2179 Mr. Patterson. I couldn't agree with you more and, quite
2180 frankly, even in the absence of the ISO, my concern is is that
2181 why aren't we trying to get a voluntary surrender as quickly as
2182 we have. And we have a lot of offices that do that in a very
2183 expeditious manner.

2184 Mrs. Brooks. And will your proposed guidelines impose a cap
2185 on the length of time it can be delayed? Is that the kind of
2186 discussion you're having. You're looking at, like, 30 days?
2187 Forty-five days?

2188 Mr. Patterson. I think, striking that balance, we have to
2189 figure out where the days are. There will probably always be that

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

2190 exception that comes up and I think as long as people are willing
2191 to -- whether it's a U.S. attorney or a states' attorney that is
2192 willing to put in writing why we need to delay and we can evaluate
2193 that, I think that's something.

2194 I mean, the process itself I think we have to work through.
2195 Like I said, we have new head of diversion control. This is an
2196 issue that has been bothering me greatly. Since October I've seen
2197 these and I've signed them and I have generally the same question
2198 every time, which is why are they taking so long.

2199 Mrs. Brooks. And for the record, I would just like to
2200 acknowledge when I became a U.S. attorney in 2001 one of the very
2201 first huge cases we did was against a doctor, Dr. Randolph
2202 Lievertz, for over prescription of oxycodone, and DEA in 2001,
2203 2002 and beyond said prescription drugs were going to be the next
2204 crisis in this country.

2205 Didn't start in 2010, didn't start in 2011. It was back in
2206 2001, 2002, and we had a huge focus on it during that period of
2207 time and it's just really been very devastating, seeing that we
2208 fell off of that commitment it feels like in the last several
2209 years. I yield back.

2210 Mr. Harper. Gentlewoman yields back.

2211 The chair will now recognize the chairman of the full
2212 committee for some follow-up questions. Mr. Walden.

2213 The Chairman. Thank you. I appreciate the indulgence of
2214 the committee.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

2215 You raise an interesting issue about the U.S. attorneys
2216 weighing in here and saying to the DEA, stop -- don't do your ISO
2217 -- we want to proceed with the criminal investigation.

2218 One question -- do they have the authority to override your
2219 ISO authority. That would be one. And then I want to know the
2220 who, what, when, where, why.

2221 Who are the U.S. attorneys that interceded on which cases
2222 in what areas and told the DEA suspend, and do they have that
2223 authority.

2224 Because, to Mrs. Brooks' point, people continue to die --
2225 die during this period, and I want to know this -- this is part
2226 of our public policy debate here is does a U.S. attorney's office
2227 somewhere have the authority to tell you don't do the ISO, don't
2228 stop the death because we got to investigate and go criminal, which
2229 will have a bigger penalty, which I respect.

2230 But is it one agent somewhere? One U.S. attorney in one
2231 state that is -- is that why West Virginia went off the rails?

2232 And so I would like you to get back to the committee with
2233 answers to those questions.

2234 Mr. Patterson. I would be happy to do so, sir. And look,
2235 what I can assure this committee is I think this is a topic that
2236 we have had some robust discussion on lately as we've gone through
2237 these and I will also assure you that the direction of this
2238 administration is to stop the harm as quickly as possible.

2239 The Chairman. But I think you should be able to answer the

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

2240 one question. Do the U.S. attorneys have the authority to
2241 overrule your agency's decision making?

2242 I know you have -- you weren't there running it at the time.

2243 Mr. Patterson. I would believe that we could issue the ISO
2244 even against the wishes of a U.S. attorney or a state's attorney.
2245 It probably doesn't help relationships to take those kind of
2246 unilateral actions.

2247 But, that said, I think part of this is the education of us
2248 holding up these things, why they look at either criminal or civil
2249 actions.

2250 The Chairman. I would go back to Mr. Griffith's analogy.
2251 If you have got a drunk driver driving down the road, you don't
2252 wait until they have the fatal accident to pull them over and stop
2253 them.

2254 Mr. Patterson. I couldn't agree with you more.

2255 The Chairman. You can prosecute them along the way and I
2256 would think you could make the case, going backwards, because the
2257 prescriptions have been written. The pills have been sent out.

2258 These two pharmacies we raised with you months ago are, my
2259 understanding, still operating in West Virginia. Are they not?

2260 Mr. Patterson. I don't know. Those are the ones I have to
2261 go --

2262 The Chairman. They're not operating. All right.

2263 Well, if you can get back to us on the who, what, when, where,
2264 why on these U.S. attorneys that would be good.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

2265 Thank you.

2266 Mr. Harper. Gentleman yields back.

2267 The chair will now recognize the gentleman from Georgia, Mr.
2268 Carter, for five minutes.

2269 Mr. Carter. Thank you, Mr. Patterson.

2270 Mr. Patterson, I suspect you know that currently I am the
2271 only pharmacist serving in Congress, and Mrs. Brooks makes a good
2272 point. This is not something that started in 2010 or 2011. It
2273 was going on in 2001 and 2002.

2274 I was practicing back then. Now, granted, I haven't
2275 practiced in quite a while. It's probably been four or five years
2276 since I practiced. But I still know what's going on out there.

2277 You know, we've been kind of nibbling or you have been
2278 nibbling around the edges here. There have been great questions
2279 asked here but I want to follow up on the questions that
2280 Representative Collins asked about the alpha -- the beginning of
2281 where this problem starts and that's the doctors who are writing
2282 these prescriptions.

2283 Now, I am not naive enough to believe that there aren't
2284 pharmacies out there that are in collusion with doctors or filling
2285 fraudulent prescriptions.

2286 But I want to talk about the doctors who are writing these
2287 prescriptions who are obviously out of control and why it's taken
2288 DEA so long to get them in control or under control.

2289 I will just give you an example. I served in the Georgia

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

2290 state legislature for 10 years. I sponsored the legislation that
2291 created the prescription drug monitoring program back in 2009.

2292 I was jumping up and down then, saying this is a problem --
2293 we've got to get it under control, and it was falling on deaf ears.

2294 There are doctors right now in our community that our
2295 pharmacists won't fill prescriptions for. They just say no, that
2296 doctor's out of control -- I don't fill for that doctor.

2297 I was working one President's Day. We were out during our
2298 session. On President's Day we are always out. I had someone
2299 come into my pharmacy, a young lady who had the holy trinity of
2300 drug abuse -- 180, oxycodone, Xanax, and Soma, three prescriptions
2301 there.

2302 I looked at them. She gave me her driver's license from
2303 Florida. I said, I am not filling these prescriptions. She
2304 drove off in a car with Kentucky driver's license plates.

2305 Now, I am not going to fill those prescriptions unless I have
2306 a legitimate prescription, okay, and I didn't want to fill that.
2307 But you're putting me in the position where I've got to judge
2308 whether that patient is legitimate or not.

2309 I am not trained in law enforcement, as a pharmacist. But
2310 I want to know why, when there are doctors out there who are writing
2311 these prescriptions why can't you get them quicker?

2312 Mr. Collins is right. You ought to be able to turn that
2313 around in 48 hours. The first time I get three prescriptions for
2314 180 of those -- of those drugs -- of the oxycodone, Xanax, and

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

2315 Soma I know that doctor is out of control. Something's wrong
2316 there.

2317 Why -- you know, I had an example -- I had a doctor who we
2318 didn't fill for, Dr. B. I went home about a year ago and some
2319 of the pharmacists were telling me, oh, they finally busted Dr.
2320 B.

2321 I thought, wow, why did it take them five years to bust him.
2322 We never filled his prescriptions for five years but he kept on
2323 practicing.

2324 Well, they didn't exactly bust him. They got him for
2325 Medicare fraud. Didn't even get him for writing those
2326 prescriptions -- never did.

2327 Another example here, Dr. D.N. He was -- he got thousands
2328 -- literally thousands of people addicted to these medications,
2329 and then he goes before the Composite Medical Board and gets
2330 slapped on the wrist, and they come back and they make him practice
2331 under the supervision of another doctor.

2332 That's his penalty. Now he's practicing -- he lives on the
2333 waterfront, a beautiful home, beautiful cars, and yet thousands
2334 of people have been -- have been addicted because of these
2335 prescriptions that he has written.

2336 We wouldn't fill his prescriptions. He's a rogue doctor.
2337 We are not filling those. Tell me why it takes you so long to
2338 get to the alpha, to the beginning, to the doctors who are writing
2339 these prescriptions who are out of control. Explain that to me,

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

2340 because I don't understand it.

2341 All you have to do is go into a community and say, what doctors
2342 do you not fill for, and the pharmacists will tell you -- we don't
2343 fill for this doctor and we don't fill for that doctor.

2344 Mr. Patterson. Well, and that's, quite frankly, what we
2345 have to rely on. So, you know, again, and I am not -- look, the
2346 one thing I am not going to do in this space is shift blame
2347 anyplace.

2348 This is a collective --

2349 Mr. Carter. Well, it appears to me that that's what you're
2350 doing because Mr. Collins is right. You can turn this around in
2351 48 hours. Just get those doctors out of there.

2352 Mr. Patterson. But in the cases of these doctors, look, when
2353 we do our reviews we ask information, try and solicit people to
2354 essentially, you know, in the registrant community to come in and
2355 talk about the registrants they have problems with.

2356 If that doesn't happen, then our next course is someone
2357 that's been arrested that says, this is what's happening in a
2358 criminal case.

2359 Mr. Carter. But you can understand our frustration. When
2360 we don't fill prescriptions for that doctor but for years --
2361 literally, four or five years, they continue to practice.

2362 Mr. Patterson. I understand, and this is where PMP data
2363 becomes absolutely critical and it's because that isn't --

2364 Mr. Carter. But why -- what can we do to help you to be able

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

2365 to get these doctors under control? What can we do? Tell me what
2366 we can do in Congress.

2367 Mr. Patterson. It's the PMP data is really what it boils
2368 down to.

2369 Mr. Carter. You -- we've had the PDMP since 2009 in Georgia.

2370 Mr. Patterson. But, sir, DEA doesn't have access to that
2371 data. It depends on the state.

2372 Mr. Carter. Can you shut the doctor down? Can DEA shut the
2373 doctor down or is that up to the Composite Medical Boards of the
2374 states?

2375 Mr. Patterson. No, if we had the -- if we had someone that
2376 was showing us that a doctor was over prescribing then --

2377 Mr. Carter. But don't you know -- when you get this
2378 information of pill dumping you know that that pharmacy is getting
2379 those prescriptions from somewhere.

2380 Then that ought to be -- that ought to be an indication to
2381 you. We need to -- Mr. Chairman, please -- we need to go to that
2382 community and we need to find out what's going on here. They're
2383 coming from somewhere.

2384 Mr. Patterson. Understood.

2385 Mr. Carter. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

2386 Mr. Harper. Gentleman yields back.

2387 The chair will now recognize the gentleman from West
2388 Virginia, Mr. McKinley, for five minutes.

2389 Mr. McKinley. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. As not a member of

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

2390 this committee, I appreciate you giving me the opportunity to
2391 raise some issues with that.

2392 Again, Mr. Patterson, thank you for being here. Are you
2393 familiar with this book written by John Temple called "American
2394 Pain?"

2395 Mr. Patterson. No, sir.

2396 Mr. McKinley. This is about the clinic down in south Florida
2397 that was the epicenter of the opioids. I really would suggest
2398 that you and everyone else that's paying attention to this read
2399 that book.

2400 But anyway, because with all due respect for the way some
2401 of your testimony has gone on this about ARCOS, he was able to
2402 assemble all of this book about drug abuse without access to ARCOS.

2403 So for someone to say that we couldn't access it, we couldn't
2404 use it because it was manual, it was too much information, this
2405 man was able to put it together and be able to demonstrate that
2406 -- this "American Pain" clinic down in south Florida prescribed
2407 two times the amount of medicine of all the doctors combined in
2408 the state of Ohio.

2409 He was able to put that together long hand, and he's not an
2410 agency with all the -- all the resources you have to be able to
2411 do that. He also was able to put together that -- all of the pill
2412 mills in Florida combined.

2413 So nine times the amount of pain medicine that was issued
2414 by every state in the country. He did that long hand.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

2415 So with all due respect, I don't think you can hide behind
2416 the fact that this -- you didn't have the resources to be able
2417 to do this because it was coming in manually.

2418 If I could, I am curious about the production quotas with
2419 it because in the book he talks about how speed pills back in the
2420 1970s were becoming a problem, and DEA stepped up and they cut
2421 the -- they cut the production by 90 percent and the problem went
2422 away.

2423 And then in the 1980s we had a problem with Quaaludes -- same
2424 thing. He cut -- they cut the production and it went away. Now,
2425 fast forward to today or what we've been dealing with over the
2426 last 10 years or so, the opioids.

2427 We continue to increase the production of opioids, continue
2428 to distribute those. Didn't we learn anything from the past
2429 experience, that we should be cutting back? And it wasn't until
2430 2017 that we actually had our first reduction. But it's still
2431 nearly 50 percent more than we were 10 years ago in production
2432 of opioids.

2433 How would you respond to that? Didn't we learn anything?

2434 Mr. Patterson. No, I understand that, sir.

2435 And look, the quota numbers are set, unfortunately, to ensure
2436 access to the patients and you can see the disturbing trend that
2437 happened with quotas. The industry said more and more people
2438 needed these prescriptions.

2439 We worked aggressively in the last year and a half to try

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

2440 and work on the quota issue and pull this back. I give a lot of
2441 the credit to the states.

2442 Mr. McKinley. If I could recover my time, because I think
2443 that perhaps I know you're meaningful to do this -- to correct
2444 it -- but it failed, because I am coming from that state that has
2445 52 drug overdoses per 100,000 people. We are leading the nation
2446 with this. Someone has to get to this.

2447 So I am just curious, I know you have the ability to transfer
2448 resources and funds within DEA. So my question goes back to you
2449 -- have you made any transfer back into West Virginia? Are you
2450 going to put more resources there in West Virginia as a result
2451 of your ability to do transfer?

2452 Mr. Patterson. We have, and we are continuing to do so.

2453 Mr. McKinley. And I know that you had -- we just put in a
2454 year or so ago down -- a tactical diversion squad in Clarksburg.
2455 I think that's the second one we have in West Virginia. Is that
2456 correct?

2457 Mr. Patterson. That's correct.

2458 Mr. McKinley. Leading the nation -- is that sufficient? Do
2459 you think that you have diverted enough attention into West
2460 Virginia that you don't need to divert any more funds and resources
2461 into West Virginia?

2462 Mr. Patterson. Sir, the creation of the Louisville
2463 division, which polled three states all struggling with this same
2464 problem -- Tennessee, West Virginia, and --

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

2465 Mr. McKinley. I am sorry. I am just dealing with West
2466 Virginia. It's the epicenter. You know that and I know that --

2467 Mr. Patterson. Sir, so we --

2468 Mr. McKinley. -- and when it -- it has been there for nearly
2469 10 years. It's been the highest level and we've not seen the
2470 resources come in to West Virginia.

2471 And now I appreciate very much that you put a tactical
2472 diversion squad, or your predecessor did, into Clarksburg. But
2473 I've got to think there is a lot more attention needs to go with
2474 it because if this man can do this by long hand, can put this
2475 information together, I think you all could do it. With your
2476 resources, you could do a far better job and save a lot of lives
2477 and turn some families around.

2478 So I am asking you, please, to look at more diversion into
2479 West Virginia -- some of the funds and resources that you can to
2480 help out in this situation.

2481 Mr. Patterson. Again, sir, we've been working on that and
2482 we are continuing to put more resources into that particular
2483 division.

2484 Mr. McKinley. So what are the optics on this, in the 10
2485 seconds I've got left? How am I going to be able to measure
2486 whether you're successful with what you're doing?

2487 Because just last year in county we've already had a 50
2488 percent increase in overdose drug -- overdose deaths in West
2489 Virginia in my county. How are we going to measure this? Are

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

2490 we going to see a drop next year?

2491 Mr. Patterson. Look, the concern we have had is that we've
2492 seen the shift into fentanyl and other illicit substances. The
2493 goal is to continue to drive down the prescription rates and the
2494 diversion of prescription pills, and we are going to have to work
2495 this licit market and, frankly, the place --

2496 Mr. McKinley. Again, what's the -- what are the optics? Am
2497 I going to see a decline next year?

2498 Mr. Patterson. I would hope we see declines across the
2499 board. I think some states are going to take longer than others,
2500 sir.

2501 Mr. McKinley. Thank you. Yield back.

2502 Mr. Harper. The gentleman yields back.

2503 The chair will now recognize the vice chairman, Mr. Griffith,
2504 for follow-up questions.

2505 Mr. Griffith. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman.
2506 Appreciate it, and this question was from Mrs. Brooks, who,
2507 unfortunately, had to step out for a minute.

2508 Do the Medicaid fraud control units run by the state AG's
2509 offices still exist in many states?

2510 Mr. Patterson. I would have to find out, sir.

2511 Mr. Griffith. All right, because what she was indicating
2512 was was that these particular MFCUs who are going after Medicaid
2513 fraud often can also pick up over prescribing data and that that's
2514 a collaborative unit that you all ought to be looking at in the

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

2515 various states to figure out who the rogue doctors are and that
2516 would help you in that regard as well.

2517 Mr. Patterson, moving on, how can -- can you explain to me
2518 the DEA -- how can you all maintain that voluntary registration
2519 surrender can be as effective a tool in protecting the public
2520 safety as an ISO if it takes years to get the voluntary surrender
2521 as in the case of the owner of the Sav-Rite number one in Kermit,
2522 West Virginia?

2523 Mr. Patterson. So that -- I would assume in that case and,
2524 again, I need to get the particular facts on it -- the voluntary
2525 surrender probably came as part of the criminal case.

2526 Mr. Griffith. And so what you would do is you would move
2527 -- you would reverse that order and have the voluntary surrender
2528 or an ISO happening early on?

2529 Mr. Patterson. Absolutely, sir.

2530 Again, I can't go back and necessarily understand why certain
2531 people did certain things, you know, six --

2532 Mr. Griffith. But you can make sure, going forward, that
2533 we shorten the time?

2534 Mr. Patterson. Absolutely, sir.

2535 Mr. Griffith. All right. In your written testimony, you
2536 mentioned prescription drug monitoring programs as a tool that
2537 can be used to combat prescription drug diversion.

2538 How does the DEA currently utilize the PDMP data in its
2539 investigations?

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

2540 Mr. Patterson. So this varies state to state because the
2541 concern is, again, is our access to this data and how we can access
2542 this data and that is a state by state decision.

2543 And so every state varies. This is one of the big
2544 conversations that we've had with the 48 states that are parts
2545 of these two coalitions.

2546 Mr. Griffith. All right. Let us know how we can help.

2547 Your written testimony also mentioned that law enforcement
2548 access to PDMP data varies widely from state to state, as you have
2549 just told us.

2550 Can you tell me what the DEA is doing to address those
2551 concerns and to address any access barriers the agency currently
2552 faces with respect to the PDMPs?

2553 Mr. Patterson. Again, working with all the states
2554 individually on these issues and to the extent that we can leverage
2555 the coalitions to help us in that.

2556 Look, in a perfect world we have a federal PDMP process that
2557 we can take all this data and put together. I think in a less than
2558 perfect world at a minimum the states all need to be able to share
2559 this data with each other.

2560 Mr. Griffith. And in your experience, are there areas --
2561 and you just have gone over some of it -- but is there some other
2562 areas that we might be able to improve the PDMP process?

2563 Mr. Patterson. I think that's the key piece.

2564 Mr. Griffith. All right.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

2565 I appreciate it, Mr. Chairman. I yield --

2566 Mr. Harper. The gentleman yields back.

2567 Mr. Patterson, just to give you a little update, I am going
2568 to recognize Mr. Carter in just a minute for a follow-up question.
2569 Then Ms. DeGette and myself will have concluding questions and
2570 we'll be done shortly. So thank you for being here with us today.

2571 The chair will now recognize Mr. Carter, the gentleman from
2572 Georgia.

2573 Mr. Carter. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I will be very brief.

2574 I just want to follow up, Mr. Patterson. You're correct,
2575 you can't do anything about what happened years ago. But you can
2576 do a lot about what's happening now. I want to give you a sincere
2577 caution here.

2578 What's happening with the wholesalers when they are limiting
2579 the pharmacies from getting a certain amount of drugs whereas that
2580 has all the best of intentions -- what it causes sometimes is for
2581 some of our patients not to be able to get the medications that
2582 they need and I just warn you to please be careful with that.
2583 There are patients out there, i.e., Hospice patients, who truly
2584 need these medications.

2585 We found ourselves running out and we couldn't order it from
2586 the wholesalers because we'd already used up our limit for that
2587 month. So that put these people in a very precarious position
2588 and it's not a good position.

2589 It's a very bad feeling for a pharmacist to have to profile

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

2590 and have to go out and say, oh, this patient doesn't need pain
2591 medication. Who am I to say that the long-haired tattooed
2592 body-pierced person is not in pain? That's not fair.

2593 We've got to make sure that we get this under control and
2594 I still maintain that starting with the physicians and tell me
2595 what I can do to help you, to give you the tools that you need
2596 so that you can react quicker and get them under control when they
2597 get out of control.

2598 That's all I am asking you to do is tell me what you need
2599 because I promise you I will do my best to get you those resources
2600 so that you can get these rogue physicians -- and they're not all
2601 of them but some of them -- a good amount of them are out of control
2602 and they get out of control quickly and it gets out of control
2603 very, very quickly.

2604 Thank you, Mr. Patterson.

2605 Mr. Patterson. Understood.

2606 Mr. Harper. The gentleman yields back.

2607 The chair will now recognize the ranking member, Ms. DeGette,
2608 for concluding questions.

2609 Ms. DeGette. Thanks, Mr. Chairman, and I want to echo, this
2610 is a rough topic, Mr. Patterson, and we know you haven't been there
2611 that long.

2612 But we also know that it's urgent that we get this right.
2613 It's just urgent for the safety of our constituents.

2614 There's just a couple of areas I wanted to clarify. Mr.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

2615 Collins was asking you some questions about these -- the
2616 settlement that the DOJ has had with some of the distributors
2617 because of issues -- reporting suspicious orders and, you know,
2618 it's really important that they -- that they report these
2619 suspicious orders to you because you can't do your job unless you
2620 get this reporting. Isn't that right?

2621 Mr. Patterson. Absolutely.

2622 Ms. DeGette. Now, for example, the DOJ has reached two
2623 settlements with Cardinal Health. In 2008, Cardinal agreed to
2624 pay \$34 million to resolve allegations that it shipped large
2625 quantities of opiates to pharmacies without reporting those
2626 orders to the DEA.

2627 And then in 2012 again, Cardinal agreed to pay \$44 million
2628 to resolve similar claims. Now, do you know, broadly speaking,
2629 why the Department of Justice decided to pursue these cases
2630 against Cardinal?

2631 Mr. Patterson. I don't, ma'am. I know that, from the
2632 documents I have seen on the 2012 case, the frustration was is
2633 that the MOUs or MOAs in that scenario essentially they had gone
2634 back and violated again.

2635 Ms. DeGette. Right.

2636 Mr. Patterson. So that is probably the basis for --

2637 Ms. DeGette. Probably what they -- that's your
2638 understanding?

2639 Mr. Patterson. Yes, ma'am.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

2640 Ms. DeGette. Now, McKesson similarly reached two
2641 agreements with DOJ agreeing to pay \$13.25 million in 2008 and
2642 again \$150 million in 2017 to resolve allegations that it failed
2643 to report suspicious orders. Would you suspect it's the same kind
2644 of a situation that you talked about a minute ago?

2645 Mr. Patterson. Yes, ma'am.

2646 Ms. DeGette. Now, do you agree that suspicious order
2647 reports are a key part of preventing diversion?

2648 Mr. Patterson. Absolutely, because, again, I go back to the
2649 fact that the distributors -- I should say the manufacturers and
2650 distributors are the key registrants that we need to hear from.

2651 Ms. DeGette. Right. Right.

2652 Now, if distributors fail to report suspicious orders, they
2653 really do undermine your ability to oversee the supply chain. Is
2654 that right?

2655 Mr. Patterson. Yes.

2656 Ms. DeGette. One more topic, and this is following up on
2657 something Ms. Walters was asking you about, and I don't think maybe
2658 you understood her question.

2659 On this website that you have been talking about that you
2660 have for distributors to look at, it does not -- it lets other
2661 distributors see if other distributors are providing in these --
2662 to these pharmacies. But it does not tell volume. Isn't that
2663 correct?

2664 Mr. Patterson. I would have to check it. I believe it does.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

2665 It shows the six-month -- goes back a six-month window. But I
2666 would get back to you on that particular issue.

2667 Ms. DeGette. I think so, because it's my understanding that
2668 the distributors object to disclosing volume. Here, your
2669 associate's handing you something.

2670 Mr. Patterson. No volume.

2671 Ms. DeGette. No volume. Okay. And, you know, from my
2672 perspective I can understand what they're saying about that
2673 impacting trade secrets and so on.

2674 But the problem, from my perspective, is if you're just
2675 saying -- if you're just saying, okay, we are going to have a
2676 website where you can see if other distributors are providing in
2677 that area, that's really not going to -- if you don't know the
2678 volume then it's really hard for somebody to see whether there's
2679 an abuse going on or not. Wouldn't you agree with that?

2680 Mr. Patterson. Yes, ma'am.

2681 Ms. DeGette. I think -- I think this website is something
2682 we should probably talk about more and maybe you can supplement
2683 your answers to see how we can use that effectively, because just
2684 knowing if other people are going in there I don't think that's
2685 going to solve our problem.

2686 Thanks, Mr. Chairman. I yield back.

2687 Mr. Harper. The gentlewoman yields back.

2688 Just for clarification, it appears in 2008 that Cardinal
2689 Health paid \$34 million in civil penalties and then again in 2016

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

2690 an additional \$10 million was paid out through one of its
2691 subsidiaries, Kinray -- if that clarifies that.

2692 Through our investigation, Mr. Patterson, the committee has
2693 learned certainly that as early as 2008 the DEA received almost
2694 daily suspicious order reports, which received millions of
2695 opioids that had been tied to known pill mill physicians like Mr.
2696 Collins' neighbor that he referenced. Yet, most continue to
2697 remain in operation and it's unclear to what extent, if any, DEA
2698 followed up on the suspicious order reports it received.

2699 So tell us what is the process that the DEA takes when
2700 evaluating suspicious order reports it receives and the actions
2701 that the agency takes in response?

2702 Mr. Patterson. So, sir, when those come in they're
2703 currently reviewed by and looked at for investigation by the
2704 divisions. This is one of the changes that we are making by
2705 bringing this into headquarters process.

2706 Some of these companies, obviously, have districts all
2707 throughout the country. One of the reasons why we want to look
2708 at them is because we want to look at them as a corporation, not
2709 just as individual entities or other problem areas.

2710 So that is a change that we are doing. I would be happy to
2711 go back and look at specific issues on --

2712 Mr. Harper. Sure.

2713 Mr. Patterson. -- any of SORS database and what was or
2714 wasn't done. I think the decentralization -- we have had

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

2715 structural problems, I would say, in terms of how we used not just
2716 some of this information but how we looked at it.

2717 Those structural changes we are rapidly trying to get a
2718 handle on to make these -- especially in the suspicious orders
2719 regulations -- I am sorry, reports -- more beneficial because,
2720 one, we need them for the registrants, but two, we have to do
2721 something with them when we get them.

2722 And you have discussed the -- you know, implementing the
2723 process to improve and to process those suspicious orders at DEA
2724 headquarters.

2725 Has DEA identified breakdowns in the way its field division
2726 processes suspicious order reports in the past and what
2727 corrections or adjustments have been made or do you anticipate
2728 being made?

2729 Mr. Patterson. So, again, I think the uniformness of how
2730 we look at these things and the accountability that we hold the
2731 people to when we get these reports is critical.

2732 So that's one of the big changes for us to make sure that
2733 as we are looking at these -- you know, I have had conversations
2734 with all of the staff in this space, whether, you know, it goes
2735 back to the ALJ or the folks in chief counsel that do it with our
2736 expectations, to go back to what Mr. Collins was talking about.

2737 It has not been comfortable conversations. But we have to
2738 essentially do the things that we are supposed to be doing each
2739 and every day and personalities can't play a role in this.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

2740 Mr. Harper. And when you were making decisions at DEA
2741 headquarters, the personnel at the headquarters probably have
2742 field experience in some level in DEA. Would that be a fair
2743 assessment?

2744 Mr. Patterson. That's correct.

2745 Mr. Harper. And as you're looking at these, are you also
2746 taking into consideration those that are in the field now maybe
2747 that have never been to headquarters to try to get their input
2748 on the actual boots on the ground?

2749 Mr. Patterson. I think it's important and, look, I haven't
2750 spent years in this diversion world. In fact, I've really only
2751 done it for about the last 18 months as the deputy and now as
2752 acting.

2753 What I will tell you is that fresh sets of eyes on problem
2754 sets are always critically important.

2755 Mr. Harper. Okay.

2756 You know, we -- you talked about well, what do we do --
2757 prevention, education, treatment. You know, your role is really
2758 in enforcement and prosecution, at least laying the groundwork
2759 for that.

2760 The problem that we see as we look at this in great detail
2761 is local law enforcement does not have the capability to take care
2762 of this issue. That's why you see many of these cases coming out
2763 of rural areas.

2764 So we would certainly want to make sure that you're doing

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

2765 things to pivot, to take care of the rural areas in this country
2766 as you're looking at that.

2767 Now, there were a number of times that you referenced, you
2768 know, I will get back to you or we'll get you that information.
2769 So just know that we'll have follow-up on that.

2770 Mr. Patterson. Absolutely.

2771 Mr. Harper. And we'll look for that.

2772 We should be able to work together on this, and just know
2773 that we -- we are not happy that the chairman of the full committee,
2774 Chairman Walden, had to even call for a press conference.

2775 So we want to make sure, going forward, there are things that
2776 we need to know or things that we need to enquire on or things
2777 that you have for us. We would prefer a more openness between
2778 the committee and the DEA, going forward.

2779 And with that we thank you for your time today, for what
2780 turned into a fairly long time for you. It's been helpful to us
2781 and we'll look forward to the follow-up questions that we have.

2782 I want to thank the members who have attended today and
2783 participated in today's hearing and I will remind members that
2784 they have 10 business days to submit questions for the record and
2785 I would ask, Mr. Patterson, if you would see that those are
2786 responded to promptly as you receive those.

2787 With that, the subcommittee is adjourned.

2788 [Whereupon, at 12:23 p.m., the committee was adjourned.]

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com