1	NEAL R. GROSS & CO., INC.
2	RPTS MASSIMO
3	HIF177160
4	
5	
6	DISCUSSION DRAFT: NATIONAL
7	TELECOMMUNICATIONS AND INFORMATION
8	ADMINISTRATION REAUTHORIZATION ACT OF 2018
9	TUESDAY, JUNE 26, 2018
10	House of Representatives
11	Subcommittee on Communications and
12	Technology
13	Committee on Energy and Commerce
14	Washington, D.C.
15	
16	
17	
18	The subcommittee met, pursuant to call, at 1:15 p.m., in Room
19	2322 Rayburn House Office Building, Hon. Marsha Blackburn
20	[chairman of the subcommittee] presiding.
21	Members present: Representatives Blackburn, Lance, Shimkus,
22	Latta, Guthrie, Bilirakis, Johnson, Flores, Brooks, Collins,
23	Walters, Costello, Doyle, Welch, Loebsack, Ruiz, Eshoo,
24	Butterfield, Matsui, McNerney, and Pallone (ex officio).
25	Staff present: Jon Adame, Policy Coordinator,

Communications and Technology; Robin Colwell, Chief Counsel,
Communications and Technology; Kristine Fargotstein, Detailee,
Communications and Technology; Sean Farrell, Professional Staff
Member, Communications and Technology; Adam Fromm, Director of
Outreach and Coalitions; Elena Hernandez, Press Secretary; Paul
Jackson, Professional Staff, Digital Commerce and Consumer
Protection; Tim Kurth, Deputy Chief Counsel, Communications and
Technology; Lauren McCarty, Counsel, Communications and
Technology; Austin Stonebraker, Press Assistant; Jeff Carroll,
Minority Staff Director; Jennifer Epperson, Minority FCC
Detailee; Alex Hoehn-Saric, Chief Counsel, Communications and
Technology, Jerry Leverich, Minority Counsel; Dan Miller,
Minority Policy Analyst; Jon Monger, Minority Counsel; Andrew
Souvall, Minority Director of Communications, Outreach and Member
Services; C.J. Young, Minority Press Secretary.

41	Mrs. Blackburn. The Subcommittee on Communications and
42	Technology will now come to order. The chair now recognizes
43	herself for five minutes for an opening statement.
44	And I want to welcome you to our hearing on reauthorizing
45	the NTIA. This should be a very familiar topic to everyone in
46	the room as NTIA reauthorization was also my very first hearing
47	as chair of this subcommittee on February 2nd of 2017.
48	Since then, we have held nine hearings related to the work
49	of the NTIA, including an oversight hearing this spring with the
50	new NTIA administrator.
51	I'd like to thank our witnesses for being here. Ms. Hovis
52	has been particularly generous with her time, as this is her third
53	appearance before the subcommittee this Congress on NTIA-related
54	topics.
55	We welcome Mr. Kneuer back to the subcommittee as well, and
56	are pleased to welcome Mr. Gallagher as the fourth former NTIA
57	administrator that we have heard from.
58	We appreciate your perspectives on the agency and also what
59	music you might have been listening to when the NTIA was last
60	reauthorized. Of course, as somebody coming from middle
61	Tennessee, I'll give you a little hint.
62	Mr. Doyle was dancing the line dance in Pittsburgh to the
63	music of Billy Ray Cyrus and "Achy Breaky Heart." I know it.
64	Mr. Doyle. I kind of doubt that but
65	[Laughter.]

66 Mrs. Blackburn. I think I am probably right, and it's also 67 -- 1992 is the year that Miley Cyrus was born. This shows you 68 how long it has been. 69 Make no mistake, the bill before us today is a rural broadband 70 bill, and a very important one at that. Many of us hear over and 71 over again about the desperate need to connect unserved Americans, 72 and we are willing to invest toward that goal. 73 But we must ensure good stewardship of those dollars. We 74 know that without federal involvement, rural areas will continue 75 to be left behind. So the best thing that we can do to promote 76 rural broadband is to help the federal government get its act 77 together. Mr. Tonko and Mr. Lance's ACCESS BROADBAND Act would 78 79 establish a new office within NTIA to do just that. As we saw 80 at our last markup, this bill has strong bipartisan support. There is just one problem: without giving NTIA the resources 81 it needs to start up and follow through on this new function, our 82 83 subcommittee's vision will never be realized. And the same can be said of our bipartisan consensus that 84 NTIA should be pulling in the latest information across the 85 86 government to develop an accurate nationwide map of broadband 87 service to quide deployment efforts. We first gave NTIA this task in the American Recovery and 88 89 Reinvestment Act of 2009 and we reaffirmed the priority in RAY

Our vision was then enacted in the omnibus, but with

BAUM'S Act.

91 funding to get the job started, more will need to be done. 92 That's why we have targeted our discussion draft so squarely 93 at giving NTIA both the authority and resources to get to work 94 on these two bipartisan, urgently needed initiatives. 95 Our discussion draft also asserts our leadership and 96 priorities on other important areas in NTIA's purview, including 97 internet governance, supply chain vulnerabilities, and getting 98 our first responders the very best, most accurate location 99 information when someone calls 911. 100 And here is the alternative. For 26 years, we have funded 101 NTIA without an authorization from this committee, and every time 102 we fail here, we fail the jurisdiction of this committee. 103 But with the level of consensus we have on our vision of 104 NTIA's leadership on rural broadband, that would be a real shame, 105 and I don't intend for us to let that happen. So at this time, I yield back the balance of my time and I 106 yield to Mr. Doyle five minutes for an opening statement. 107 108 Thank you, Madam Chair, for holding this hearing Mr. Doyle. 109 and thank you to the witnesses for appearing before us today. The National Telecommunications and Information 110 111 Administration plays a critical role of advising the president on telecommunications and information policy issues. 112 NTIA also manages federal spectrum usage and has been a key 113 114 partner in freeing up more spectrum for commercial use. In doing 115 so, they have generated tens of billions in revenue for the federal

116 government -- a mission that I strongly believe we can do more 117 to help them accomplish. 118 The AIRWAVES Act, a bipartisan bicameral bill that I've 119 sponsored with Mr. Lance, along with Senators Gardner and Hassan, 120 furthers this mission by freeing up additional federal spectrum for commercial use and paves the way for our nation's 5G future. 121

> AIRWAVES frees up a combination of licensed and unlicensed spectrum to meet our nation's diverse spectrum needs. The bill also sets up a new mechanism to help deploy broadband in rural and underserved communities by directing a portion of the spectrum auction revenue to wireless broadband deployment.

> Madam Chair, this legislation is supported by a number of our colleagues on this committee on both sides of the aisle and I think it merits consideration by this subcommittee.

> I think this legislation could go a long way to accomplishing many of our shared goals. Going back to NTIA, the agency also administers grant programs to deploy broadband and other advance technologies, including the very successful \$4 billion BTOP broadband program.

> The lessons learned from this program led to the creation of Broadband USA, a one-stop shop that helps state, local governments, industry, and nonprofits obtain the tools they need to expand broadband deployment and promote digital inclusion.

> I am happy to see that the reauthorization draft before us includes Mr. Tonko's bipartisan ACCESS BROADBAND Act, which we

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

voice voted out of this subcommittee two weeks ago.

This legislation puts into statute many of the things that NTIA is already doing through the Broadband USA program. I am also happy to be a cosponsor of this legislation.

This is a good start, but if we are going to help our rural and underserved communities address their broadband needs, we need to put our money where our mouth is and dedicate more dollars to solving this problem, particularly if we ever want to get people connected in rural and tribal communities as well as in Puerto Rico and other areas suffering from storm-related damage and outages.

Ranking Member Pallone's LIFT America Act sets out \$40 billion in funds to help address our nation's broadband shortfalls.

This is the kind of commitment we need if we want to address these problems, because if we continue to just sit here, these problems aren't going to solve themselves.

The draft reauthorization also directs NTIA to continue working on the national broadband map, another Recovery Act program that, like BTOP, has run out of money.

I agree with the majority that having accurate broadband maps is important both for the government and for consumers and communities. We can't solve a problem that we don't know the scale of.

Looking at the mapping debacle in the FCC's Mobility Fund

166 II's proceedings demonstrates the need for better data. 167 agency also represents and advocates on behalf of the United 168 States internationally on matters of internet governance and 169 telecommunications policy. 170 In this time of fractured alliances and tumultuous trade 171 policy, a globally unified free and open internet is more 172 important than ever. 173 NTIA, as our representatives to a number of these global 174 internet governance organizations, needs to advance that message 175 through what seems to be a great deal of noise from our government. 176 NTIA also does critical spectrum research at the lab in 177 Colorado, which we need to do more to support. They've also been a critical partner in housing and launching FirstNet, our nation's 178 public safety broadband network, which I am happy to note every 179 180 state has opted into. They have also done good work developing policies on a range 181 182 of complex technical subjects including privacy, cybersecurity, 183 and the digital economy. 184 Madam Chair, I support this agency and I support giving this 185 agency more resources to accomplish its many missions. 186 forward to hearing from the witnesses and working with you on this 187 legislation, and I yield back. The gentleman yields back. 188 Mrs. Blackburn. 189 Mr. Lance, you are recognized on Chairman Walden's. 190 Thank you very much, Chairman Blackburn, and our Mr. Lance.

191 thanks to the distinguished panel for appearing before us today. 192 Following the landmark bipartisan passage of RAY BAUM'S Act 193 earlier this year, which reauthorized the FCC for the first time 194 since 1990, we are now looking to reauthorize the NTIA for the 195 first time since 1992. 196 I commend the chairman for fulfilling the subcommittee's 197 authorizing duties. I am pleased that the draft legislation also 198 includes the ACCESS BROADBAND Act, which I introduced last year 199 with Congressman Tonko and that we recently reported unanimously 200 out of this subcommittee. 201 The bill would create a new office within NTIA tasked with 202 tracking all federal broadband support programs across several agencies, and ensuring federal broadband funds are used 203 204 efficiently. 205 It is important to recognize that federal funds for broadband deployment are finite and must be focused on the areas of the 206 207 country that need them the most. 208 This new office will help make sure that agencies are not duplicating each other's efforts by overbuilding broadband 209 210 infrastructure. While the standalone bill continues through the committee 211 212 process, I believe it still makes sense also to include it with 213 the reauthorization language before us today. 214 During my conversations with the NTIA before and after 215 introduction of ACCESS BROADBAND, the agency emphasized the need 216 for additional resources to implement this new office properly. 217 By reauthorizing NTIA for the first time in 26 years, we 218 provide it with those additional resources. 219 I thank the panel for being with us and look forward to 220 discussing these and other important issues facing the NTIA. ask unanimous consent from the chairman to enter a letter of 221 222 support from the Computer and Communications Industry Association 223 into the record. 224 Mrs. Blackburn. Without objection. 225 [The information follows:] 226 227

228 Thank you, Chairman, and I yield back the balance Mr. Lance. 229 of my time. 230 Anyone seeking the balance of the time the Mrs. Blackburn. 231 gentleman yields back? 232 And Mr. Pallone has not arrived. Is there anyone seeking 233 Mr. Pallone's time? No one seeking Mr. Pallone --234 That concludes the member opening statements. 235 would like to remind members that pursuant to the committee rules, 236 all members' opening statements will be made a part of the record. 237 We want to thank all of our witnesses for being here today and taking time to testify before the subcommittee. Today's 238 239 witnesses will have the opportunity to give opening statements, followed by a round of questions from members. 240 241 Our panel for today's hearing will include the Honorable 242 Michael Gallagher, former NTIA administrator and the current CEO of the Entertainment Software Association; the Honorable John 243 244 Kneuer, former NTIA administrator and the current president of 245 JKC Consulting; and Ms. Joanne Hovis, the president of CTC 246 Technology and Energy. 2.47 We appreciate each of you being here today and preparing your 248 testimony for the committee. We will begin today with you, Mr. 249 Gallagher. 250 You are recognized for five minutes for an opening statement.

251 STATEMENTS OF THE HONORABLE MICHAEL D. GALLAGHER, CEO, 252 ENTERTAINMENT SOFTWARE ASSOCIATION; THE HONORABLE JOHN KNEUER, 253 PRESIDENT, JKC CONSULTING; JOANNE S. HOVIS, PRESIDENT, CTC 254 TECHNOLOGY AND ENERGY 255 256 STATEMENT OF MICHAEL GALLAGHER 257 Mr. Gallagher. Good afternoon, Chairman Blackburn and 258 Ranking Member Doyle. My name is Mike Gallagher and I am the CEO 259 of the Entertainment Software Association. 260 Today, however, I am here in my capacity as the former NTIA 261 administrator. I served as both deputy assistant secretary and 262 assistant secretary from the years 2001 to 2006. 263 I am also delighted to be here at the side of my good friend 264 and colleague, John Kneuer. He and I overlapped three years 265 together. So, many of the accomplishments that the administration achieved in our space we did together and it's --266 267 he was terrific as a team member when we were together and he was 268 even better as an assistant secretary. Our country and NTIA are also richly well served by having 269 270 David Redl as its new administrator. He has both the energy and the experience to drive the agency where we need to be in a very 271 272 complex world in front of us. And before addressing substantive issues, I just want -- I'd 273 274 like to begin by saying I strongly endorse the committee's efforts 275 to reauthorize NTIA and to focus the agency on the policy

It is

276 objectives that are core to the agency's competencies and 277 expertise. 278 NTIA is a low-cost high-impact agency that plays a vital role 279 in expanding broadband access for all Americans and in protecting 280 the missions of both the military and other government agencies 281 as well as promoting the growth of the private sector through its 282 spectrum management efforts. 283 It also has a great tradition of doing so in a bipartisan 284 manner, which is reflective of the draft that we are here to speak 285 about today. 286 My written testimony specifically points to several issues 287 of very significant importance for NTIA leadership and that enjoy 288 this committee's support. 289 The first is the imperative rural broadband growth. important, but as a country, the benefits of broadband technology 290 extend absolutely as far as possible to everyone and that includes 291 292 taking continued efforts and redoubling efforts to make sure that 293 that remains a key focus. 294 Alongside of that and along with a great track record are the spectrum policy enhancements -- policy enhancements. 295 296 Specifically, I point to three areas where NTIA has a history of 297 accomplishing important work and leading the country and the world 298 on how to deploy spectrum policy and, first, is in dynamic spectrum 299 access.

Ten years ago, in the 5 gigahertz band, we were able to double

301 the amount of spectrum for wi-fi by using dynamic spectrum access 302 technologies. 303 That same approach could bear significant fruit in the years 304 ahead for the country, and that's both for government and for 305 private sector uses. It's also important that we identify additional unlicensed 306 307 spectrum. We enjoy the fruits of unlicensed spectrum with all 308 the devices that we carry with us. The continued growth of that is a top priority for NTIA and 309 310 that's important for us to remain -- have it remain center of 311 target. 312 And then, finally, it's also important to maintain the focus on achieving exclusive private sector spectrum and having more 313 314 of that come from the federal government to the private sector 315 through auction because of new technologies that make that 316 possible. I also fully endorse the committee's efforts too on the WHOIS 317 318 It's critical important that the WHOIS database database. maintain a very high profile in all of our international 319 engagements and that it is a top priority for law enforcement, 320 321 it's a priority for copyright holders like the industry that I 322 represent, and for other issues relative to cyber-crime. The national broadband map and the creation of the Office 323 324 of Internet Connectivity and Growth are also tremendous steps

I fully support those.

forward.

326 Having a central clearinghouse where these programs can be 327 administered in a very efficient way is -- it makes great sense 328 and it's of even higher importance. 329 As forecasted by dozens of witnesses over the last 25 years 330 before this committee, the world is increasingly connected. 331 Broadband has gone from a vision of George Gilder to the reality 332 that's in the hands of each of us and over -- and over 4 billion 333 people around the world. That connected world presents tremendous opportunities and 334 335 risks, and it's imperative that NTIA be focused and resourced to 336 drive success for all of us in the years ahead. I commend the committee for its draft reauthorization 337 legislation and I look forward to answering any questions. 338 339 [The prepared statement of Mr. Gallagher follows:] 340 341 *********INSERT 2*******

Mrs. Blackburn. The gentleman yields back.

343

Mr. Kneuer, you are recognized for five minutes.

STATEMENT OF JOHN KNEUER

Mr. Kneuer. Good afternoon, Chairman Blackburn, Ranking Member Doyle, Ranking Member Pallone, members of the subcommittee.

It's an honor to be back here before you. My name is John Kneuer. From 2003 to 2007, it was my privilege to serve first as the deputy assistant secretary and then as the assistant secretary at NTIA.

Since leaving government in 2007, I've worked in the private sector as a board member, consultant, advisor to companies and institutions with an interest in domestic and international telecommunications.

But I am appearing before you today in my personal capacity and my testimony and comments are my own.

I would like to start by commending you, Chairman Blackburn, and the committee for undertaking the hard work of the reauthorization.

In my experience, even though NTIA is explicitly an executive branch agency and the assistant secretary serves at the pleasure of the president, the exercise of government authority in the service of the citizenry is most effective and accountable when there is an established clarity of mission agreed upon by both the administration and the sources of its funding in the Congress.

Regular reauthorization of executive branch agencies can

provide that clarity and, following up on Mike's comments about David Redl, a proud alumni of this committee, I think that experience and his judgement -- he's someone who's particularly well suited to navigate both the executive branch equities while responding to the appropriate oversight of Congress.

This is my second opportunity to testify before this committee on the subject of the NTIA reauthorization. At last year's hearing, the focus of my testimony was on the dual responsibilities of NTIA as both the principal advisor of the president on telecommunications policy as well as the management of the federal radio government spectrum.

Because of the demands of this dual responsibility, NTIA has developed a specialized technical competency that provides expertise to policymakers across the government with interest in technical matters in everything from spectrum to internet governance to the broadband economy.

But in addition to this technical expertise, NTIA has developed a valuable expertise in coordinating interagency equities in the service of broader government priorities.

I believe it is this interagency policy coordination function that is most relevant to the draft legislation under consideration.

While the legislation being considered covers a broad range of issues before NTIA -- and I will endeavor to answer any questions on any of these subjects -- for purposes of time I will

394 focus my testimony on those sections of the legislation where I 395 believe my experience is the most relevant. 396 So from time to time, NTIA has been granted authority to 397 administer large-scale infrastructure grant programs intended to 398 advance access to communications networks for underserved 399 communities. 400 Sometimes these are targeted and limited in scope, like the 401 public safety grant programs, and sometimes more widespread, as 402 in the Broadband Technology Opportunities Program. 403 However, in each instance, NTIA was required to coordinate 404 with institutions across the government for either execution or 405 measurement of the effectiveness of this program. This experience should enable NTIA to effectively coordinate 406 407 the broadband map as well as the Office of Internet Connectivity 408 and Growth. One of the challenges in effectively distributing broadband 409 410 infrastructure funds is accuracy in measuring the extent to which 411 broadband networks are already being deployed by market 412 participants. Scarce resources should be deployed where there are actual 413 414 gaps in coverage rather than in competition with private capital. 415 But because different government agencies gather information in different formats from different sources, it makes sense to have 416 417 a single repository for all this information that can be

synthesized into a format that can be consistently applied and

relied upon by various grant and loan-issuing agencies across the government. I believe NTIA has the experience and the personnel to perform these functions.

With regard to the sense of the Congress on cybersecurity and supply chain vulnerabilities, NTIA is particularly well suited to engage in these important matters that cut cross commercial interests as well as important government equities.

By providing the perspective of industry into the interagency process, NTIA can help bridge the gap between the executive branch interests with national and homeland security responsibilities and keep private sector interest so that they all support our collective cyber defenses.

Similarly, NTIA can serve as a conduit from government agencies with cyber responsibilities to the private sector to ensure that information flows in both directions to maximum effect.

In addition, from its position within the Department of Commerce, NTIA has access to the broad resources of the International Trade Administration and the Bureau of Industry and Security on supply chain matters that implicate either our trade agreements or the intersection of national security and high technology.

Finally, with regard to the collection and availability of WHOIS data, WHOIS data has been a foundational feature of the domain name system.

444 As far back as 1982 before there was an internet, ARPANET 445 had WHOIS requirements so people could understand who was 446 supporting the network. WHOIS requirements were included in 447 every memorandum of understanding between NTIA and ICANN from 1998 448 to 2016. 449 With the transition of the IANA contract, timely, 450 unrestricted, and accurate WHOIS remains a feature of ICANN's 451 process. The sense of the Congress underscores this important 452 role. 453 Historically, NTIA has been the U.S. government entity in 454 charge of protecting WHOIS obligations and I believe NTIA remains 455 the proper repository for this policy coordination and advocacy 456 before ICANN. 457 Again, I appreciate the opportunity to testify. I will 458 remain available to the committee throughout this process as you consider the authorization and I will look forward to your 459 460 questions. 461 [The prepared statement of Mr. Kneuer follows:] *********INSERT 3****** 462

Mrs. Blackburn. The gentleman yields back.

Ms. Hovis, you are recognized.

STATEMENT OF JOANNE HOVIS

Ms. Hovis. Thank you, Chairman Blackburn, Ranking Member Doyle, members of the subcommittee.

I am Joanne Hovis and I am president of CTC Technology and Energy. I am also CEO of the Coalition for Local Internet Choice, a nonprofit entity that brings together public and private entities that believe solving our nation's broadband challenges requires a full range of options including locally-driven efforts to deploy networks and create public-private partnerships.

My work focuses on assisting state, local, and tribal government to build broadband strategy and plans and on helping them to develop public-private collaborations that improve broadband infrastructure and services, address affordability challenges, and provide digital education to enable members of the community to maximize the benefits of the broadband internet in their lives.

I've encountered NTIA in my state and local level broadband work throughout the country for over a decade. My comments today focus on the important and successful role NTIA has played in broadband policy and expanding broadband service and device availability and in expanding digital literacy.

As you consider this reauthorization, I encourage you to think expansively about NTIA's important role in building broadband capabilities in infrastructure, going forward.

NTIA has this important role to fill in improving the broadband environment nationally but it also has unique expertise and experience within the federal government to do so and this role is essential because our work of expanding broadband access is far from done.

Large areas of rural America as well as significant sections of our urban communities lack adequate affordable broadband.

Addressing these gaps in access and opportunity requires expansive thinking about funding new infrastructure and capabilities, enabling new educational and inclusion programs, and supporting access to computers and other broadband-enabled devices.

For that reason, I commend you on the current reauthorization efforts as well as on the ACCESS BROADBAND Act, the LIFT America Act, and other pending legislation focused on access, urban deserts, and rural broadband funding.

There is a critical role for federal, state, and local entities in solving these problems and filling these gaps as well as for private sector companies and other stakeholders, and NTIA is uniquely experienced at creating bridges among all these entities.

As is discussed in greater detail in my written comments, NTIA has really done a terrific job over many years in grant making, in convening stakeholders, in stimulating public-private collaboration and partnerships, and in providing technical

assistance through the Broadband USA program.

I refer to my written testimony, which goes into detail on many of those topics, but let me share with you, based on my personal experience, some of why I think NTIA's track record in building funding programs to support expansion of broadband, particularly in rural areas, is -- the track record is very sound.

In particular, through the BTOP program, which was referenced by my fellow panellists here, there was an impressive, laudable, and frankly, less recognized -- in Washington than it deserved -- effort by NTIA.

In a short period of time after passage of the Recovery Act, the team at NTIA built a robust and proven grant program and then successfully administered it in subsequent years with remarkably little controversy.

In fact, the program and NTIA's administration of it was welcomed with enormous enthusiasm and appreciation in communities impacted by it throughout the country.

This enthusiasm resulted in part from the extraordinary hunger for better broadband in significant parts of our country and in part from the way that NTIA had consulted with communities, companies, first responders, educators, and other stakeholders and built a program that was optimized to confer the greatest possible benefit in unserved and underserved areas.

At the same time, the program is also thoughtfully and efficiently designed to focus the federal investment on middle

mile infrastructure to key anchor institutions such as firehouses, police stations, and remote government facilities while incenting private sector investment in the last mile to reach homes and businesses.

The vision was successfully realized in significant parts of the country. I visited or observed dozens of the projects that NTIA funded in this way and let me share just a couple of quick examples in my brief remaining time.

An example that may be of real interest is rural Garrett County in far western Maryland, a remote Appalachian community deeply impacted by the decline in the coal economy, which has struggled to get broadband to -- in a number of its remote mountainous areas and, as a result, has also struggled to attract and retain businesses and teleworkers.

The county's current success in attracting a private partner to fund and deploy last-mile residential service in the most remote and inaccessible mountain areas.

It's testimony, in part, to NTIA's efforts. NTIA granted funding to a state-led middle-mile network that reached many of the most remote schools, libraries, and public safety facilities in the state, and county leaders then further invested in additional fiber both to reach additional remote schools and to serve as a platform for last-mile deployment.

In 2015, a private partner agreed to leverage some of that fiber and local funding in order to build a fixed wireless network

565	that will provide the potential of service to up to 3,000 currently
566	unserved homes and hundreds of homes are already receiving service
567	under this network.
568	I am grateful for your attention and I refer you to my written
569	testimony for more examples.
570	Thank you so much.
571	[The prepared statement of Ms. Hovis follows:]
572	
573	************INSERT 4*******

574 Mrs. Blackburn. The gentlelady yields back, and before we 575 move to questions we will now recognize Mr. Pallone for his 576 opening. 577 Thank you, Madam Chairman. The National Mr. Pallone. 578 Telecommunications and Information Administration, or NTIA, 579 plays a critical role in establishing and coordinating 580 communications policies for the administration both domestically 581 and internationally. Given the importance of the agency, I am disappointed that 582 583 my Republican colleagues have circulated a discussion draft that 584 does little more than reintroduce Congressman Tonko's ACCESS BROADBAND Act, which the subcommittee recently marked up and has 585 already reported to the full committee. 586 587 Mr. Tonko's bill establishes an office in NTIA to streamline 588 the management of federal broadband resources and I hope that this is not an effort to strip this bill away from Mr. Tonko, who worked 589 590 hard to advance this important legislation. 591 Besides the contribution from Mr. Tonko, the majority's bill fails to provide NTIA the authority and direction it needs to 592 address America's 21st century needs. 593 594 The administration acknowledges the need for broadband 595 infrastructure investment. But President Trump and the 596 Republican majority have failed to act. 597 We must think big in reauthorizing the NTIA. In May of last 598 year, committee Democrats introduced the LIFT America Act, which provides \$40 billion over five years to deploy secure and
resilient broadband to 98 percent of the country through a program
administered by the NTIA.

The LIFT America Act ensures that every state has access to
funds to help bridge the digital divide that remains in too many
parts of this country, both rural and urban.

As the Internet of Things continues to expand, we should

As the Internet of Things continues to expand, we should increase NTIA's efforts to address cybersecurity threats.

We must ensure that the Trump administration's alienation of our international allies does not hamper our ability to protect an open internet and the free flow of information from Russian and Chinese efforts at the International Telecommunications Union and other forums.

We must also ensure that the NTIA has the resources and authority needed to improve public safety communications.

Democratic members have actively engaged on many of these issues and we should consider them as part of any reauthorization.

Now, while limited on substance, the discussion draft does increase NTIA's authorization level to the last Obama administration request.

But this does not reflect the additional tasks and duties we now seek, and unfortunately, the most important witness for this hearing -- Administrator Redl -- is not here to answer questions regarding whether the NTIA has the authority and resources necessary to achieve its current mission, much less the

606

607

608

609

610

611

612

613

614

615

616

617

618

619

620

621

622

task it should be pursuing.

So before we move forward with the reauthorization, we need the current administration's views on the draft legislation.

And finally, Madam Chairman, as a result of the Trump administration's policies, thousands of children are still separated from their parents and we still do not have any sufficient answers about how they're going to reunite -- reunify, I should say, these families.

Parents have been left wondering where their children are, whether they are being treated okay, and when they will see them again.

Efforts of parents seeking to call their children to hear their voices and comfort them have been stymied because of unconscionable rates charged at the detention centers, and I visited one of these on Father's Day in Elizabeth, New Jersey.

And according to news reports, phone calls at one facility cost \$8 a minute, which I think is outrageous. I think it's bad enough that the Trump administration separated more than 2,300 children from their parents, but now through these detention facilities asylum seekers are being extorted.

These outrageous rates are one more insult as desperate parents try to weave their way through the bureaucracy to find their children and it's inexcusable.

So I would call on the Trump administration to provide detained parents free phone calls to reach their children. It's

649 the least they can do for a policy that never should have been 650 instituted in the first place. 651 I would hope the administration would take this action on 652 its own but, failing that, I will be introducing a bill today 653 directing the FCC to reinstate the recent inmate calling order 654 which covers immigration detention facilities and to promulgate 655 rules to enable detained parents to call their children without 656 charge. I would also like to reiterate the request that every 657 658 Democratic committee member made last week -- they will hold a 659 hearing on how these children are going to be reunited with their 660 parents. 661 The Energy and Commerce Committee's oversight 662 responsibility must include holding a hearing on this 663 catastrophic policy and implementation failure, and I think that Secretary Azar should testify before us. 664 665 I thank you, Madam Chairman, for letting me use this time 666 and I yield back. 667 The gentleman yields back, and this Mrs. Blackburn. concludes our statements from our witnesses. 668 669 And at this point, we will move into the O and A portion of our hearing and I recognize myself for five minutes. 670 At our very first NTIA reauthorization hearing, I had 671 672 commented about my concern of the lack of coordination when it 673 came to federal resources in different agencies that were trying

675 access adoption rates, research, things of that nature. And, of course, our draft legislation includes the Office 676 677 of Internet Connectivity. So what I would like to hear from each 678 of you is this -- how do you think this office can and should work 679 to coordinate all of these efforts. 680 And Mr. Gallagher, we'll start with you. 681 Mr. Gallagher. Thank you, Madam Chairman. So, for 682 purposes of bringing all of this under one roof or putting it in 683 one place, then having an inventory of the resources that are being 684 spent right now is vitally important. 685 NTIA has accomplished similar interagency missions in the OSM, the spectrum agency, works that way. 686 The way it 687 administers its duties under the -- for ICANN are also done in 688 interagency coordination basis. There is a DNA component where their capabilities are prone 689 690 to be able to do this very well. It's also important as a taxpayer 691 that we see where -- how much the dollars are, where they're going, and then what's being achieved with them, and that can only be 692 done when there's one single view that's administered from over 693 694 the top. The encouragement that I would add as this discussion evolves 695 696 is that there's a strong leadership role from the White House and 697 from OMB. 698 The Office of Management and Budget has significant

to implement components to address broadband infrastructure or

influence and impact on all of the agencies. So NTIA, during the time when I was there, when we would have challenges, it wasn't because of lack of will at the Department of Commerce or a lack of competence of the team that was there.

It was because other agencies were reluctant to participate because it wasn't in their mission to do so or in their interests, and I think aligning those interests through guidance to those agencies, having the White House role be strong, having the role of inventory clarification value to the taxpayer, moving that through as part of the prism that this would be looked through, would be steps in the right direction.

Mrs. Blackburn. Thank you. Mr. Kneuer.

Mr. Kneuer. I agree with all of that. The challenge is that the sources of funds reside in different departments sometimes.

So you have got the RUS in the Department of Agriculture. We've got NTIA and others that issue grants. You've got -- even in Agriculture beyond RUS. Sometimes in DHS there are emergency preparedness funds that wind up being devoted to broadband services.

Again, I think this gets to the point of the importance of reauthorization. Giving NTIA the responsibility and ability to bring into one place all of the different ways that the government measures all the -- all of the different ways the government is spending money doesn't just help them more efficiently deploy the money through the executive branch.

724 But it gives the Congress visibility into how the money is 725 being spent, is it getting to the part of the communities that 726 So you can make future appropriations authorization 727 decisions based on affected information and data. 728 So, again, I think NTIA has the resources and experience of 729 Having it in NTIA is the most logical of any other 730 places that you could put it. 731 In terms of using that information inside the executive 732 branch to make the right decision, I agree with Mike -- a lot of 733 that has to come from OMB riding above any of the individual 734 agencies. 735 But all of those decisions will be -- will be better targeted 736 if you have better information. And the way to do that, I think, 737 is this legislation. 738 Okay. Good. Ms. Hovis. Mrs. Blackburn. Thank you, Chairman Blackburn. I'm very much 739 Ms. Hovis. in agreement here with my fellow panellists about the incredible 740 741 importance of this set of functions and NTIA's ability to do so 742 and its ability to bring to bear experience in order to do so. 743 And I could say that we have all noted the same challenges 744 in this very large and complex entity that is the federal government with multiple entities charged with different kinds 745 746 of responsibility for funding, mapping, engaging what is 747 happening with regard to broadband. 748 I think that better and more comprehensive and more

centralized collection of data and accurate data and granular data would be a massive, massive contribution to building important information and understanding of what is actually happening with regard to broadband.

And if we are able to understand through a central entity such as NTIA not only what all the existing funding programs are

and II we are able to understand through a central entity such as NTIA not only what all the existing funding programs are and what they are funding and where, but also where there has been verification and enforcement that that funding was used as intended and that the capabilities that were intended to be funded by the federal government were actually deployed as well as accurate and granular mapping. It would be an enormous contribution and enable development of very good policy.

Mrs. Blackburn. The gentlelady yields back. Mr. Doyle, you are recognized for five minutes.

Mr. Doyle. Thank you, Madam Chair. Mr. Kneuer and Mr. Gallagher, as former NTIA administrators, so I am just curious how you think the NTIA will be navigating upcoming global internet governance discussions such as the one -- the ITU's upcoming conference in Dubai.

Given our administration's strained relationship with our allies, its increasingly hostile relationship with China, and our relationship with Russia, which I am really not sure how to characterize, how do you think that's going to go?

Mr. Kneuer. Traditionally, the ITU and some of the telecom issues really have been driven by the technical staff and, with

a few exceptions, the --

Mrs. Blackburn. Mr. Kneuer, can I get you to talk directly into that mic? Thank you.

Mr. Kneuer. Yes. Sorry. The broader geopolitical issues rarely, but not never, get involved. I think the ability of NTIA to collect the technical expertise of various government agencies, so whether it's been the Defense Department or the intel communities or others who rely on spectrum and telecommunications engage in sort of robust bilateral discussions with our allies and with interested parties has been sort of the way this has gone.

So even though the meetings themselves may take place in Dubai, our diplomats and NTIA staff have been, typically, in pretty constant contact with their counter parties in other governments.

So it's not to say that the geopolitical challenges don't enter into these multilateral negotiations. These are, after all, very often U.N.-sponsored delegations.

But I think the technical matters typically speak for themselves and historically and, hopefully, ideally the larger geopolitical issues stay in the background.

Mr. Doyle. Thank you. Ms. Hovis, given the success of the Obama administration's BTOP program, do you think that the type of investments laid out in Ranking Member Pallone's LIFT America Act or the share of the spectrum auction revenue set aside for wireless broadband deployment by the AIRWAVES legislation would

significantly contribute to closing the broadband divide in this country.

And at what point do you imagine we will close the broadband divide in this country if we simply continue on our current path?

Ms. Hovis. On our current path, we are not going to close the divide and we may actually, in some areas, exacerbate it because the realities of the economics of broadband are that private investment funds will go where they will see the greatest return.

That's how the private sector works. It's how we want it to work and how we want our system to work, and that means that we are likely to see increased investment in enhanced capabilities -- 5G, more deeper fiber, et cetera -- in certain kinds of suburban and urban areas, in certain urban areas with high income levels, average income levels, for example, but not in rural areas, not in areas of low population, and not in certain areas of low income.

And as a result, we may actually see a significant exacerbation of the digital divide over time. So yes, I very much agree that the LIFT America Act and certain kinds of appropriation, the funding for rural broadband and for closing -- for solving urban deserts would be enormously helpful and I think, in fact, it's critical.

And the track record has been very, very solid and I hope
-- you know, my example of the Appalachian community in western
Maryland that I talked is one of hundreds of examples, I think,

of communities that have been enormously benefited by that investment and by the way it was administered by NTIA and I certainly personally hope we'll see a good deal more because I spend a lot of my time on the road in rural America and I see enormous, enormous gaps that we have a long way to go in filling.

Mr. Doyle. Thank you very much. Mr. Gallagher, I know you're here in your capacity as a former NTIA administrator, but you're always the CEO of the Entertainment Software Association and -- which represents the video game industry -- a industry that's now larger than the film and music industries combined.

Video games these days outperform the biggest Hollywood blockbusters and, increasingly, video games are downloaded online, and online games are become spectator events and the future of the industry seems to be shifting from consoles to the cloud, all of which rely on a free and open network that is fast, has low latency and high capacity.

I know ESA filed in federal court seeking to intervene in the case against the FCC's repeal of net neutrality rules. That filing said, and I quote, absent these protections, ESA and its member companies will have no effective legal recourse against broadband provider conduct that impairs consumers' online video game experiences.

So my question is whether you and your association oppose the repeal of the net neutrality rules as your association's legal filing indicates and whether you support restoring those rules,

849 as your filing indicates, as I am trying to do with my CRA 850 resolution. 851 Mr. Gallagher. First, I really enjoyed the introduction to 852 the question. 853 [Laughter.] 854 Terrific. And then as to the specifics on net neutrality, 855 yes, we filed the motion to intervene in that litigation because 856 it's important for us, on behalf of our members, to make sure that 857 we do have an open and free and high capacity and high quality 858 internet available for gamers and game makers. We've been clear 859 about that for years. And what we've also seen is that the pendulum swinging back 860 and forth between whoever controls the pen at the FCC causes 861 862 uncertainty for investors. 863 It causes uncertainty for those who are seeking to make the economy of tomorrow happen in a digital way, and the world is very 864 865 much connected. These opportunities need to be present and 866 thriving here in the U.S. 867 What we've done is --Madam Chair, I see our time has expired. 868 Mr. Doyle. 869 would like to ask unanimous consent to add ESA's court filing to 870 the record. Mrs. Blackburn. Without objection. 871 872 [The information follows:] 873

40

874

**********INSERT 5******

875 Mrs. Blackburn. Mr. Lance, you're recognized for five 876 minutes. 877 Thank you, Chairman. Mr. Gallagher and Mr. Mr. Lance. 878 Kneuer, you both mentioned in your testimony the importance of 879 giving the NTIA more resources. Could you please expand on this, 880 using your own experience leading the agency and in the context 881 of how its mission and duties have evolved since the last time 882 it was reauthorized? 883 I am also interested specifically in your perspective on 884 whether or not these additional resources are necessary to 885 implement the Office of Internet Connectivity and Growth as the 886 NTIA has indicated to me? 887 Mr. Kneuer. 888 Mr. Kneuer. So I think there is adequate staffing. 889 BTOP program winds down, those moneys are spent. The staff that 890 were administering that are the same staff that I think would 891 likely be involved in the new office contemplated by this 892 legislation. I think the important thing in terms of the amount of funding 893 -- and I do not have visibility into the current budgets of NTIA 894 895 and I wouldn't want to speak for, you know, precise dollar figures 896 -- but the way to think about it is that all of the money that 897 we are putting into NTIA to drive broadband really needs to be 898 thought of as seed capital.

By having better information, we are going to more fully

leverage the amount of money that comes from whatever variety of sources there are.

The economic growth and productivity gains that come from broadband being deployed in communities that don't otherwise have access to it, that has to be kept in mind and focused as the objective for budgeting.

So while I don't have the great sense of what the precise numbers are, I think the exercise that needs to be undertaken is making sure that the money that we spend we can look at and find a direct linkage to either -- it's going to more than be offset by savings in the efficient allocation of other resources or it's going to generate economic growth far in excess of the money that we devote to the -- to the project.

Mr. Lance. Thank you. Mr. Gallagher.

Mr. Gallagher. I would focus on three areas, and the overarching focus is where do we need NTIA to take us over the next 10 years, if that's the relevant time frame for the committee, and then does it have the resources to accomplish those key focuses.

The three that I would point to, one is international, two is OSM, or the Office of Spectrum Management, and third is a coordinator role across the federal government.

And the roles have changed. Now, many of these functions remain the same. They have the same office names as when I was there, as when John was there, but their challenges are quite

different.

Everything that happens now is global. Everything that our industry is engaged in is worldwide in nature.

That's the thinking process, and when we were at the Department of Commerce, one of the key talking points and things that we'd repeat, 95 percent of the world's customers live outside the United States.

So NTIA should be focused and be resourced to be very effective in that environment. One thing I would add to this is it's been brought to my attention that a level of the position within the Department of Commerce oftentimes can be problematic in dealing with foreign governments — that if there was a higher level to the position like under secretary as opposed to assistant secretary, that would create greater impact for Secretary Redl as he goes about his duties.

Second is OSM. I believe the Office of Spectrum Management is using the very same equipment that they used when I was there 10 years ago.

The return we've gotten from spectrum policy -- from sound spectrum policy is enormous. More investment and then making sure they have the resources to be even better at their job is money well spent.

And then the final point is on this coordination role. The more we ask NTIA to do in that regard you need to make sure that there's enough resources -- primarily, people -- to make sure that

950 that happens, and again, I look to Office of Management and Budget 951 and this committee to set where those levels are. 952 Mr. Lance. Thank you very much to the distinguished panel 953 and, Chairman, I yield back 46 seconds. 954 Mrs. Blackburn. The gentleman yields back. Mr. Loebsack, 955 you're recognized. 956 Mr. Loebsack. Thank you, Madam Chair. I really 957 appreciate, obviously, the testimony today. You know, I am an 958 I've got 24 counties -- a lot of rural areas. I don't know what the total square miles is. It's something like 12,000 959 960 or so. It's pretty big -- a pretty big area -- and I get around 961 every weekend, and I know for a fact that our coverage in Iowa 962 isn't anything like what the FCC says it is officially. 963 So I've been very interested in making sure that we have 964 accurate data when it comes to where broadband is deployed around 965 the country. 966 And there is bipartisan and bicameral agreement that the maps 967 the FCC is relying on now are flawed and quite inaccurate, and I did introduce the bill, the Rural Wireless Act, with my good 968 friend from Pennsylvania, Ryan Costello, to improve the 969 970 reliability and the validity of the data needed to create the maps

So I am really hoping that better maps are on the horizon.

A lot of folks in Iowa, all across the country in rural areas,

and I am really happy it was passed -- included in the RAY BAUM'S

Act that the president signed into law earlier this year.

971

972

973

975 are hoping that better maps are on the horizon. 976 Hope isn't enough, I think. I am glad the discussion draft 977 reaffirms the NTIA's role in producing a national broadband map 978 that's accurate. 979 However, we may need to work together as the bill progresses 980 to ensure that we are doing all that we can to ensure that the 981 maps are as reliable as possible. 982 And, Mr. Gallagher, just a couple of quick questions for you 983 I do appreciate your comments and your testimony about 984 the need for more granular data, particularly in rural areas where 985 a census block isn't necessarily the right geographic measurement 986 to reflect the realities of broadband availability.

> So, Mr. Gallagher, I would just like to ask you first what obstacles might NTIA face in collecting such granular data?

> Mr. Gallagher. Well, the first obstacle is it may not exist by doing it, like, household to household and so looking for the sourcing on the data is really important.

> One area that I would look to for all rural areas, not just in Iowa but around the country, is you do have state Public Utility Commissions that are in charge of wired communications and increasingly have been involved in deployment of public safety networks and other areas where their maps may be supplemental and offer more granular data because it is their role to fundamentally be local.

Do you have any other ideas -- that was my Mr. Loebsack.

987

988

989

990

991

992

993

994

995

996

997

998

1000 second question, actually -- ideas about how to produce better 1001 data? 1002 Mr. Gallagher. I think that there are increasingly 1003 applications and technologies that are developed that do 1004 miraculous things for very low cost and those -- a survey of those 1005 mapping technologies, a survey of elements that follow the 1006 development of broadbands so, like, derivative types of 1007 activities, would be able to develop the contours of where 1008 broadband exists if you know what people are doing. 1009 Like, for example, if they're playing Pokemon Go they must 1010 have access to the internet because their phones are connected 1011 -- those types of things might be where the data could be more 1012 practically extracted at a lower cost. 1013 Mr. Loebsack. Okay. That's great. 1014 Well, that's pretty much what I have as far as questions and 1015 This is something I've been beating sort of like a dead 1016 horse for quite a while. 1017 The chair knows that and -- but we do have good bipartisan 1018 support for this and I want to make sure that the FCC does the 1019 right thing so that we know where the heck we have coverage and 1020 where we don't.

And I don't want to create any false illusions out there and or false expectations, if you will, on the part of folks in Iowa and all around rural America.

When somebody says, oh, there's 96 percent coverage, we know

1021

1022

1023

1025 there's not, and so we have to make sure that we have some truth 1026 in advertising when it comes to some -- finding the ways to measure 1027 this and get the accurate data really, really quickly. 1028 So thank you very much. I yield back my time. 1029 The gentleman yields back, and I just got Mrs. Blackburn. 1030 a notice that votes will come sometime between 3:10 and 3:25 so 1031 we will try to complete our hearing before then. 1032 Mr. Johnson, you're recognized for five minutes. 1033 Mr. Johnson. Thank you, Madam Chair, and thank you for 1034 holding such an important hearing. NTIA has not been reauthorized since 1992 and it's essential 1035 that it has the funding and tools it needs to accomplish its 1036 1037 objectives. I am particularly pleased to see the components 1038 relating to the broadband mapping and deployment issue. 1039 My legislation, the Mapping Now Act, which was included in 1040 the omnibus, reasserts NTIA's authority on broadband mapping. Ιt 1041 is essential that we have an accurate map showing areas that are 1042 unserved and underserved so that we know where available resources 1043 should be focused. This discussion draft takes NTIA -- tasks NTIA with 1044 1045 facilitating more accurate granular maps of broadband coverage 1046 with input from the FCC and other federal resources in addition 1047 to states and public-private partnerships. NTIA is in a good position to compile data from multiple 1048

sources, not just the FCC's Form 477 data, that would help create

1050 a more accurate and complete picture of broadband coverage. 1051 I am hopeful that this legislation would provide NTIA with 1052 necessary funds and authority to work with other agencies and 1053 implement creative solutions for broadband mapping and to break 1054 down the barriers to broadband deployment. 1055 So to my questions -- when NTIA was first charged with 1056 creating the national broadband map under the 2009 American 1057 Recovery and Reinvestment Act, the data on the map was not always 1058 correct. 1059 In many cases, that is because it was reported on a state 1060 by state basis and each state had a different way in which it 1061 collected the data. This often led to the data being unreliable. 1062 For example, 1063 at one point it showed that one state in the Northeast had full 1064 satellite coverage but the next state that shared almost the same 1065 latitude and longitude had no satellite coverage. 1066 Now, I don't think it's the case that Vermont and New 1067 Hampshire can be so completely different in terms of their ability 1068 to be covered by satellite. 1069 So my question is this, and we'll just go down the line 1070 starting with you, Mr. Gallagher. How can NTIA ensure that the 1071 mistakes from the past are not repeated with any new mapping 1072 efforts? The first place to start is to ask the 1073 Mr. Gallagher. 1074 question, put out an NOI saying, all right, this was done in the 1075 past -- what are the mistakes -- develop an inventory of those 1076 and an understanding, and then go through and systematically can 1077 be done and, of course, how much it costs in order to be able to 1078 close those gaps. That's the most important thing is just to ask the question 1079 and understand where you came up short, be very honest about it, 1080 1081 and then just get back in there and do it again. 1082 Also, in the span of time since the map was first developed 1083 there may have been additional technologies that have been --1084 become available or applications or services that could be done 1085 -- where this could be done very cheaply. 1086 Just one example is we developed a map of our own industry 1087 We've had this need -- it's called 1088 areweinyourstate.org -- and we found that there were over 3,000 1089 companies in our industry. 1090 Spread them out, and we organized them by congressional 1091 district. Now, this was done with manual labor and a great 1092 outside -- a great intern on the inside and a great partner in 1093 an outside vendor and done at very reasonable cost. 1094 What's being done here is much more complex, but it just shows 1095 that the push of service quality means there could be ways to close 1096 those gaps. 1097 Mr. Johnson. Mr. Kneuer. I also think there are -- different 1098 Mr. Kneuer. Yes. 1099 institutions have different incentives to gather this information for their own purposes, whether they are commercial purposes, different government agencies have different access to information, whether it is the -- not just the existence of service but are there network elements under the control of the government that might be useful in providing additional services, whether it is fiber links from the Energy Department or towers controlled by public safety or natural resource agencies.

So, like, with a lot of what we've been talking about this afternoon, it's NTIA's ability to survey all of those different sources of information, whether they are in disparate government entities, government agencies, whether they are held by the carriers, whether they are held by application providers who, for their own commercial interests, have a real monetary incentive to figure out where the coverage is and where the gaps are, to gather all of that and synthesize that in very much the same way.

It's going to be very much, and I think it is a perfect complement to the Office if Internet Growth and Connectivity. It is getting access to each of these different constituent pieces — sources of funding, sources of information — and synthesizing them together so that you don't have these, you know — there should be obvious failures if, you know, a satellite sees the Northeast of the coast — of the United States the same, right. So those sorts of things.

By having, collating, overlooking different sources of information you can correct those areas.

1125 Mr. Johnson. Thank you. Ms. Hovis, sorry I didn't get to But Madam Chair, I will yield back. 1126 1127 Mrs. Blackburn. The gentleman yields back. Ms. Eshoo, 1128 you're recognized for five minutes. 1129 Ms. Eshoo. Thank you, Madam Chairwoman. I noted that when 1130 you made your opening statement you spoke about this being a bill 1131 for rural Americans and I think that we all hope that that will 1132 really be the result. 1133 But while this bill provides funding, I think it's important 1134 to point out that it doesn't direct the agency enough on either 1135 the authority or the direction on how to use the funding to address 1136 the needs of Americans in the digital age. 1137 So we've got our work cut out for us here. I want to thank 1138 the three witnesses for being here today. I want to thank you 1139 for your public service. 1140 This is my 24th year on this subcommittee. It's kind of 1141 extraordinary for me to use that number. It's hard to believe 1142 24 years. 1143 But I think this is the first time in terms of a 1144 reauthorization act that we don't have the agency represented 1145 I think it's wonderful that you're giving your opinion here. 1146 about what you think the agency should do. But I find this to 1147 be highly unusual. 1148 Now, I know David Redl was here in March. But I still think, 1149 Madam Chairwoman, that it's very important and it's still really

1150	appropriate to be discussing the draft of a of a reauthorization
1151	with someone with a key official I think David Redl you
1152	know, an NTIA official on this.
1153	So let me get to my questions. But I wanted to point that
1154	out because it's the first time that I've ever experienced this.
1155	So it is what it is.
1156	But I think that we need to make sure that NTIA comes and
1157	when we have a review with the key person from there.
1158	So to Mr. Gallagher and Mr. Kneuer, do you know what steps
1159	the assistant secretary is taking relative to overseeing FirstNet
1160	and its contract with AT&T?
1161	Mr. Gallagher. I do not.
1162	Ms. Eshoo. Do you, Mr. Kneuer?
1163	Mr. Kneuer. Not with specificity.
1164	Ms. Eshoo. Okay.
1165	Mr. Kneuer. Just in terms of how the role of NTIA overseeing
1166	FirstNet, which
1167	Ms. Eshoo. Well, we know that they oversee it. I want to
1168	know I mean, because I think you're here in some way, shape,
1169	or form to speak for NTIA.
1170	Do you know what the current NTIA plans are to address the
1171	gaps between the maps? It says show coverage and the actual
1172	coverage of high-speed broadband.
1173	Mr. Kneuer. I do not.
1174	Ms. Eshoo. Does anyone know?
1	1

1175	Mr. Gallagher. No.
1176	Ms. Eshoo. No one knows. Okay.
1177	If this authorization were to become the enacted budget for
1178	NTIA, do you know what portion of the new resources would be aimed
1179	at improving NTIA's oversight of FirstNet?
1180	Mr. Gallagher. I do not.
1181	Ms. Eshoo. Anyone know?
1182	Mr. Kneuer. No.
1183	Ms. Eshoo. Maybe to Ms. Hovis do you know what the
1184	specific challenges are that NTIA faces in mapping broadband
1185	coverage accurately today and are they technological?
1186	Are they methodological, and what do you think that they
1187	should be doing to get an accurate study?
1188	Ms. Hovis. My primary concern about the broadband mapping
1189	is that some of the underlying data is at such a low level of
1190	granularity that we don't really have anything like an accurate
1191	picture. The big part
1192	Ms. Eshoo. Well, I know that, but I am asking what do
1193	you think it's beyond technological or methodological? How are
1194	we going to get accurate information?
1195	If we don't have a roadmap, then we don't know where we are
1196	going and what we are doing.
1197	Ms. Hovis. The data
1198	Ms. Eshoo. That's what a roadmap is. So or the map, in
1199	this situation so what do you what do you think the main

1200	issue is that we should be pursuing?
1201	Ms. Hovis. From my perspective, the main issue is that the
1202	data collected by the FCC through the 477 is not giving us
1203	sufficient information. We are getting
1204	Ms. Eshoo. And what and the source of that lack of being
1205	able to get it is what? What do you recommend?
1206	Ms. Hovis. The information is self-reported by the
1207	providers and it is frequently self-reported at the level of if
1208	a single location within a census block is served, the entire
1209	census block can be shown as served, which I sometimes think of
1210	as allowing my high school daughter to
1211	Ms. Eshoo. Well, I remember years ago, in the Bush
1212	administration, if it was in a zip code then everyone was covered,
1213	which you know, I mean, this is like Pete and repeat.
1214	So, well, I'm happy that you all came. Thank you again for
1215	your service and, Madam Chairwoman, I think that we need the
1216	assistant secretary to come in and speak about the
1217	reauthorization. I think that's very important.
1218	With that, I yield back.
1219	Mrs. Blackburn. The gentlelady yields back.
1220	Mrs. Brooks, you're recognized for five minutes.
1221	Mrs. Brooks. Thank you, Madam Chairwoman. Thank you so
1222	much to all of our witnesses for being here today.
1223	Something that we heard about at a earlier NTIA hearing had
1224	to do with the fact that we are fairly behind the race for 5G of

1225 South Korea, Japan, and other countries. 1226 I am curious, Mr. Kneuer, in your opinion, how are we doing 1227 in the race -- in the 5G race? 1228 I think 5G is in its infancy where it's sort 1229 of the beginning of the beginning, not even the end of the 1230 But I think 5G is sort of the cross-cutting issue that 1231 answers much of what we've been talking about here. 1232 For the first time with 5G, wireless applications will give 1233 the same kinds of speeds and comparable speeds as landline 1234 applications at a much, much lower cost of deployment and much 1235 more readily suited to serve hard-to-reach areas. So the issues for NTIA around 5G are recognizing that but 1236 1237 very much it's spectrum to spectrum to spectrum. We need low-band 1238 spectrum. We need mid-band spectrum. We need high-band 1239 spectrum. 1240 With all of that, I think the inherent incentives in the U.S. 1241 economy and in the U.S. telecommunications marketplace give us 1242 a key advantage over some of the countries that some people may 1243 look at and say that they're quote, unquote, "leading." 1244 If you have got a single carrier or a couple of dominant 1245 carriers, the U.S. market has been one that has been a massive 1246 incentive for as much investment in as many carriers as possible. 1247 I think if we repeat those examples and provide the spectrum 1248 that allows each of our main market participants to continue to

compete, we will have the most robust and the most widespread 5G

1250 networks in the world. 1251 Does our current discussion draft that we are Mrs. Brooks. 1252 discussing address the issues that you're referring to? 1253 curious that -- of each of the panel members. 1254 I think the establishment of the Internet Mr. Kneuer. 1255 Connectivity Office will help in identifying where those issues 1256 are. 1257 The issues around spectrum in general, which may not be 1258 specifically called out in this draft but are explicit in the 1259 reauthorization of the agency and the things that NTIA does, I 1260 think so. 1261 Does anyone else have a different opinion or 1262 further opinion on 5G? 1263 Mr. Gallagher. 1264 What I would say is I would echo John's Mr. Gallagher. 1265 thoughts -- that we are at the very beginning of this -- it's way 1266 too early to declare a winner -- and that in the U.S. we have all 1267 of the elements to be fantastically successful in the deployment 1268 of 5G. 1269 When you look at the demand for the services, like, what's 1270 the extra speed going to mean, we'll be able to translate that 1271 into economic value a lot faster than other economies around the 1272 world and that will be the engine that pulls this in a very 1273 commercial way to worldwide success.

Thank you. Ms. Hovis.

Mrs. Brooks.

57 1275 Congresswoman, I think this is incredibly 1276 important, and I actually just returned a matter of days ago from 1277 South Korea where I spent a good amount of time looking at 1278 infrastructure both in the cities and, even more importantly, in 1279 rural areas. 1280 And I don't think we have -- we are right at the beginning. 1281 We have not lost anything, and we are well positioned to win the 1282 race for 5G. 1283 The one thing that I noted in South Korea that I thought was

The one thing that I noted in South Korea that I thought was so important is that their rural areas will get better wireless and better 5G than our rural areas will because there's existing infrastructure there, and that speaks to the reauthorization bill and the need for more rural infrastructure and fiber for our communities and that will -- that is what will enable 5G because there will be no wireless without wires to support it.

Mrs. Brooks. Okay. Thank you. Shifting gears a moment to -- we learned this in dealing with a bill to reauthorize the Poison Control Center in our work on opioids out of this committee.

But I learned about problems relative to our 911 services and it caused me to be concerned as to whether or not other emergency lines like suicide hotlines, veteran crisis lines, apparently, if someone were to call they aren't necessarily — the centers aren't locating the calls.

They're taking what area code is showing up on the phone rather than geolocating the actual phone.

1284

1285

1286

1287

1288

1289

1290

1291

1292

1293

1294

1295

1296

1297

1298

1300 I think most people don't assume that's what's happening. So while I have a 317 area code here and I were to make a call, 1301 1302 I would be routed improperly, or it could be. 1303 Are there -- are we going to be fixing issues like this and 1304 is NTIA -- what will their role be? If any of you have an opinion 1305 on that. 1306 Mr. Gallagher. What I would offer is that so much of what 1307 you just described those challenges -- they lie in the province 1308 of the states and how they deploy 911, and then the FCC and its 1309 role in overseeing how that works. 1310 And so it's not in the sweet spot of what NTIA does except 1311 for ITS, which is the lab that's run by NTIA. They do research 1312 on these types of things and how to improve accuracy and 1313 performance. 1314 Okay. Thank you. I yield back. Mrs. Brooks. 1315 Mrs. Blackburn. The gentlelady yields back. Mr. McNerney, 1316 you're recognized for five minutes. 1317 Mr. McNerney. I thank the chair. I thank the witnesses. 1318 I apologize for missing your testimony but you did have 1319 written statements, which we reviewed beforehand. 1320 I am concerned about the security risk posed by the vast 1321 number of devices coming to the market on IOT, and I have 1322 introduced legislation to improve the security. 1323 Mr. Gallagher, NTIA's recent botnets report outlines a 1324 series of goals that are intended to give stakeholders guidance 1325 on what steps they should be taking to secure their systems 1326 networks. 1327 What are specific resources the NTIA needs to ensure that 1328 meaningful action is taken by its stakeholders? 1329 I think, unfortunately, the resources are Mr. Gallagher. 1330 going to be more necessary by those that need to implement the 1331 recommendations than those that formulated them themselves. 1332 The federal government has had many challenges when it comes 1333 to implementing its own solutions on a technical basis. 1334 really going to -- this is going to require action in the 1335 marketplace and by manufacturers and then, ultimately, by consumers to make sure that their behavior reinforces the values 1336 1337 in those reports. 1338 Thank you. Mr. Kneuer, the NTIA has held a Mr. McNerney. 1339 series of multi stakeholder meetings on IOT security The most recent one was held last 1340 upgradeability and patching. 1341 November. 1342 Are you aware of what progress the NTIA has made with this 1343 multi stakeholder process since November? 1344 Mr. Kneuer. I am not familiar with the details of that 1345 particular multi stakeholder process. But it is indicative of 1346 the contribution that NTIA can make as sort of standing as an 1347 intersection between having lots of communication with the market 1348 participants and the commercial entities and, at the same time, 1349 having visibility through their contacts with the national

1350 security intel Homeland Security agencies where they can serve as a conduit of sort of identifying threats, passing information 1351 1352 back and forth, and serving that sort of a function. 1353 Mr. McNerney. Okay. Mr. Gallagher, you're shaking your 1354 head yes? 1355 Well, I am just agreeing with John. Mr. Gallagher. 1356 Mr. McNerney. Okay. Well, as an engineer, I think it's 1357 important that the agencies principally responsible for advising 1358 the president on telecommunications and information policy be 1359 equipped with the technical expertise needed to develop policy. 1360 Mr. Gallagher, how many engineers does the NTIA currently 1361 employ? 1362 Mr. Gallagher. I don't know the answer to that. 1363 Mr. McNerney. Well, earlier this month before the Senate 1364 Committee on Commerce, Administrator Redl said that, "I believe 1365 the greatest challenge for advancing IOT will be cybersecurity." 1366 How many engineers does NTIA have on staff that would 1367 specifically work on cybersecurity? 1368 Mr. Gallagher. Again, that's specific information. sure it's available from other sources but I don't have it. 1369 1370 Mr. McNerney. Mr. Kneuer, in reauthorizing the NTIA, it's 1371 critical that we understand what resources the agency currently 1372 allocates toward technical expertise and I am hoping that you 1373 might be able to provide me with more specific answers for the 1374 questions that I just asked Mr. Gallagher.

1376 security? 1377 So I don't have visibility into the number of Mr. Kneuer. 1378 engineers assigned right now. When Mike and I were there, there was something like 180 engineers in the agency. 1379 1380 But I think what is important is that NTIA's access to 1381 technical expertise is not limited to its in-staff resources. 1382 there are vast resources that NIST, which is, you know, the 1383 flagship government technical agency -- there are resources 1384 within the NSA. There are resources within the Defense 1385 Department that they're able to access, as I've said, when they -- and then share that information with the commercial sector and 1386 1387 also help identify vulnerabilities and events that are taking 1388 place in the commercial environment, and communicating that into 1389 the broader government wide effort, and I think it's going to have 1390 to be a government wide effort. This won't be an NTIA only 1391 solution. 1392 Mr. McNerney. Well, okay. I will grant you that. 1393 Unfortunately, NTIA doesn't have anyone here today. 1394 can't really get enough visibility to determine if they have the 1395 resources that they need. 1396 Ms. Hovis, could you discuss the importance of the 1397 public-private partnerships in rural and underserved broadband 1398 deployment? I have a lot of that in my district. 1399 Well, at its core, a public-private partnership Ms. Hovis.

How many engineers does -- specifically focuses on IOT

	1
1400	helps to change the economics of broadband in an area where the
1401	economics simply don't work.
1402	Ideally, there would be private sector investment everywhere
1403	and there would be rationale and economic viability for private
1404	sector investment.
1405	But that's, unfortunately, not how infrastructure works of
1406	any sort, particularly in rural areas, and there are simply going
1407	to need to be places where the public sector has a significant
1408	role.
1409	The places where that's been most successful there has been
1410	collaboration between public and private, and frequently, efforts
1411	on the public sector side at the federal, state, and local levels
1412	to collaborate with the private sector to solve these problems
1413	and to improve the economics of the build out.
1414	Mr. McNerney. Thank you. I guess I better yield back.
1415	Mrs. Blackburn. The gentleman yields back. Mr. Costello,
1416	you're recognized for five minutes.
1417	[Off-microphone comments.]
1418	Mrs. Blackburn. Mr. Costello, your microphone, please.
1419	[Off-microphone comments.]
1420	Mr. Kneuer. Sure, and with regards to the specific case that
1421	was an enforcement action, not surprised that NTIA wasn't
1422	specifically involved in that part of the negotiation.
1423	But I think NTIA does sort of sit in the middle of the
1424	intersection of national security and our communications

networks.

It would be a partner with other agencies within the Department of Commerce, the Bureau of Industry and Security, which has a specific mission to look after cross-border trade and technology that involves our national security -- the International Trade Administration, which is responsible for our international trade commitments, but most importantly and, I think, most relevant, as the agency that has direct contact with the carrier set that is relying on this -- these network elements that may be subject to vulnerabilities.

So it is -- its policy coordinating function through its natural interface with the defense, intelligence, and homeland security agencies with the national security inside the White House and with its counterparts inside the Commerce Department. So --

Mr. Costello. So you, likewise, agree that having NTIA lead an interagency effort to strategically share supply chain threat information with the private sector should be one of its core competencies?

Mr. Kneuer. Yes.

Mr. Costello. Mr. Gallagher, in your testimony you touched upon the benefits of increased unlicensed spectrum use and successful spectrum sharing in the 5 gigahertz band, starting in 2003.

Can you expand on that example and talk about some of the

1450 future benefits of unlicensed spectrum use in the context of 5G 1451 deployment? 1452 Also, in your opinion, what, if anything, should Congress 1453 do to leverage NTIA's expertise and role in unlicensed spectrum 1454 use? 1455 So unlicensed spectrum has been one of the Mr. Gallagher. 1456 gold mines of our tech economy over the last 15 years. 1457 look at wi-fi and how pervasively we use it in our homes and our 1458 businesses, it's been just a powerhouse of very, very cheap, very 1459 efficient transmission of data. Now, ultimately, all of that ties back to a fiber 1460 architecture and gets transmitted over more robust networks. But 1461 1462 the promise of unlicensed has been proven to be very, very true 1463 and very real. 1464 So finding more of that it makes great sense because if you 1465 have encountered the interference in your home from multiple 1466 devices, as we all carry more and more of them, we access more 1467 -- richer and richer services, it does put a load on those and 1468 there is a potential for interference. 1469 So more of that type of spectrum will continue to feed the 1470 growth in that area, lowers the burden on our license services. 1471 I think the aspiration of unlicensed that we have yet to 1472 achieve is I would call carrier class unlicensed spectrum use 1473 where you would have, basically, the quality of a licensed service

done in an unlicensed way.

1475 That remains something that's out of reach at the moment. 1476 It hasn't really been delivered yet in the marketplace. 1477 In the times when John and I were serving it looked like that was going to happen, and it hasn't really come to fruition. 1478 But that's an area of further explanation. 1479 1480 The gentleman yields back. Mrs. Blackburn. 1481 Mr. Butterfield, you're recognized for five minutes. 1482 Mr. Butterfield. Thank you very much, Madam Chairman, and 1483 thank you to the three witnesses for your testimony today. 1484 We have votes around 3:15 and so I am going go cut mine short 1485 and not go through the full five minutes, Madam Chairman. But let me just say -- ask the three witnesses, I am from a rural low 1486 1487 income community in eastern North Carolina and I think we can all 1488 agree that we have a digital divide in this country. 1489 It's no question that affluent developed communities, you 1490 know, have broadband. Low income rural communities, many of 1491 them, do not. 1492 Can you just tell me, each of you, in your own words in plain 1493 English why we have this digital divide and what we can do to bridge 1494 this divide and bring it to an end in my lifetime? 1495 Congressman, I think you articulated the problem Ms. Hovis. 1496 The challenges that private investment goes -exactly right. 1497 it follows the money and where the opportunity is and we need to 1498 build bridges in terms of investment and funding at the state, 1499 local, and federal level to support private investment and to add to it and to make it economically viable and interesting for the private sector to support markets where they might not otherwise go and to make it possible for other entities to provide services in those markets where the private sector may choose not to go and that, in my opinion, should include counties and municipalities and nonprofit and public-private partnerships and collaborations because we need to use every tool in the toolbox if we are going to bridge those gaps.

Mr. Kneuer. I will just keep banging on the 5G drum for a minute. There is the reality of the economic return, based on the cost of the deployment of the networks. In the very high cost for hard-to-serve areas, the economic incentive breaks down on delivering service to those areas.

As 5G becomes a reality, that economic equation will change dramatically and I think we have a promise of wireless networks closing dramatically the gaps that would need to be filled by the kinds of public and private partnerships and by government support.

Mr. Gallagher. And I would just add my voice to the solution will be wireless. It will be a lot lower cost per person or per unit of data than what has been deployed in the past.

The technology has come to this stage where now we carry devices in our pockets that five years ago would have cost tens of thousands of dollars.

Now, there are new versions coming out every year. Flat

1525 screen TVs used to be ridiculously expensive. They're now 1526 borderline disposable, and these types of end of the network uses 1527 for broadband -- they've brought down the cost in the home for 1528 those that need the service in rural areas as well as in the networks themselves. 1529 1530 So as we continue to get better and better at better 1531 technologies, richer technologies, lower cost devices on the 1532 other end, it'll help close that gap. 1533 Mr. Butterfield. All three of you agree 5G is the future? 1534 If I may add, Congressman. 1535 Mr. Butterfield. Yes. 1536 I very much agree with my colleagues here. 1537 are all extremely optimistic about the wireless future. 1538 there is no rural wireless without a wire to support that wireless service and that means we can't just say, well, we don't have to 1539 1540 worry about wired infrastructure in rural areas because wireless 1541 will take care of it. 1542 That wireless component is only the very end of the network 1543 and if we are going to give folks in rural communities the kinds 1544 of services that we all expect every day in our urban communities, 1545 we are going to have to make sure that wire is there to support 1546 next-generation wireless deployment, and we've got a long way to 1547 go on that. 1548 Mr. Butterfield. Thank you.

Mr. Gallagher.

1549

I would just amend by saying wireless back

1550 haul is in service now and is a step towards helping close that 1551 gap that exists on the wired space. 1552 Mr. Butterfield. Okay. All right. Thank you, Madam 1553 Chair. 1554 Mrs. Blackburn. The gentleman yields back. Mr. Guthrie, 1555 you're recognized. 1556 Mr. Guthrie. Thank you. Thank you very much, and I will 1557 be brief too because I know we have at least another question over 1558 here. 1559 So I want to ask -- so, many counties regionalised their 1560 dispatch centers, and not only does it allow for pooled technical resources -- 911 services is what I am talking about -- it also 1561 1562 provides for the redundancies in the system so that if one county 1563 dispatch center shuts down, the other can cover for them because 1564 they share the same equipment. As we work for further deployment of NG 911, how can NTIA 1565 1566 work to support the ongoing and future initiatives of these 1567 regional dispatch centers. 1568 I will just open it to anyone. Does any --1569 Mr. Gallagher. The first thing I would say is convening, 1570 and that's a very strong power of NTIA historically is bring people 1571 together to share best practices so that as the public safety law 1572 enforcement network responsible leaders, when they get together 1573 they're able to see that there's a cheaper and better way of doing 1574 something, they learn that from one another.

The other, again I would point to is ITS as NTIA does do research on the telecommunications services themselves and potentially could assist in formulating lower cost ways of -- or more robust ways of providing the same service.

Mr. Guthrie. Okay. And I know there's been discussion in elevating the NTIA administrator to an under secretary, and somebody else may ask more about that.

So but I want to focus on if that -- if the elevation of the title adds to what we may call gravitas, internationally, what would it do interagency for the deployment of federal spectrum and auctioning federal spectrum?

Mr. Kneuer. I think it's directionally helpful. You know, as a practical matter, NTIA functions inside the department as an under secretary already. There's no layer in between NTIA and the secretary.

Typically, an assistant secretary might report to an undersecretary, who then reports to the secretary of commerce.

NTIA actually has one step elevated stature in that it also reports dotted line directly to the president.

So in terms of the governance, it's functioning as an under secretary already. But in terms of protocol and interagency negotiations, you know, if you're synced up with an assistant secretary at the Defense Department who then is talking to an under secretary before they get to the secretary, it's just one more layer in between.

So I think there is, you know -- it would be directionally helpful inside managing the interagency process and it would more accurately reflect where the agency sits within the department by making an under secretary.

Mr. Guthrie. So thanks.

And then really quick also, mapping. I know we've talked about mapping and it's been addressed. But I would like to share my support for more granular mapping that's been talked about and better data and better verification as well.

Do you have suggestions for improving verification strategies? I know you have talked a little bit earlier. I know I was in and out with another meeting, but I just -- Ms. Hovis, if you --

Ms. Hovis. I don't know how this happens, but I think that

-- you know, wherever I travel I speak to stakeholders and

officials in rural communities who say to me, why is the map

showing us as served when we know we are not served, and there

needs to be some kind of formal mechanism for feedback and

opportunity to challenge the map where it's not accurate and

resources for the map then to be corrected because I understand

it's expensive and difficult for providers to provide certain

kinds of data.

But the rural communities, the rural businesses that are suffering from the fact that the map has not got accurate date and therefore they're not eligible for certain kinds of programs

1625 or certain kinds of support or -- at the state and local level 1626 -- I am sorry, at the state and federal level. 1627 frustrating for them, and it is the federal government that is 1628 putting this data together. 1629 Well, that's important, too, because my area Mr. Guthrie. 1630 it has rural areas you just look at and say you know there's issues 1631 that need to be addressed. My home town is Bowling Green, which 1632 is kind of tied in with our chairwoman's community -- boom town 1633 of Nashville. We are a boom town as well. 1634 And you hear from people who are developing and trying to 1635 accommodate the growth and stuff moving forward is that a lot of people won't want to move into certain neighborhoods or they can't 1636 1637 develop areas because there's no profit. If you're going to build 1638 a nice home you're moving in you don't want -- you want access 1639 to broadband. 1640 So that's limiting what can happen. But if you look at a 1641 map, you would say that Warren County, that has gone from 70,000 1642 to 125,000 in the last 20 years, would be served. But it depends on where you live, and so that's what's 1643 1644 And I was going to not use all my time but I almost 1645 did. 1646 I yield back. 1647 [Laughter.] 1648 Mrs. Blackburn. The gentleman yields back. Mr. Shimkus, 1649 you're recognized.

1650 Mr. Shimkus. Thank you, Madam Chairman. Great hearing. 1651 It's good to see you all again. 1652 I am going to start really following up on my colleague, Mr. 1653 Guthrie's question on the under secretary debate and is -- because 1654 you talked -- Mr. Kneuer, you talked internally. 1655 Let's talk externally. What about -- you know, we've been 1656 involved in the NATO parliamentary assembly. I do some stuff in 1657 the -- in the Baltic regions. Titles matter internationally. 1658 Do you see any help -- anyone want to comment on a title change 1659 just for the international aspects of what NTIA has to do? 1660 Mr. Kneuer. Yes. I think it's more relevant in the 1661 international context than in the interagency domestically. We 1662 can sort of manage that here. 1663 In my experience travelling, you know, they were very 1664 confused by the NTIA role and the title, you know -- are you the 1665 minister of communications, which in foreign countries could be, 1666 you know, the -- one of the leaders of the cabinet, right. 1667 So some clarity with an under secretary helps them understand 1668 It gives commonality with, you know, our counterparts in the it. 1669 State Department who travel internationally. There's more of a 1670 clarity of what the role is. 1671 So I think, you know, the elevation of the title actually 1672 probably carries a little bit more currency in international 1673 negotiations than it does -- you know, you can manage it here. 1674 You just have to --

1675 Right. Mr. Gallagher, you seem to be shaking Mr. Shimkus. Do you agree with that analysis? 1676 your head. 1677 Mr. Gallagher. Yes. I think 90 percent of the benefit of 1678 this is coming in the international arena and it comes from respect from the foreign delegations. 1679 It's very important for us to keep in mind that they don't 1680 1681 have the turnover we do. Our political system -- we move through 1682 political appointees. There, they tend to be there for very long 1683 periods of time. 1684 The title helps cut through that gap and experience. 1685 Mr. Shimkus. Great. Thank you. And I want to focus on a 1686 part of the discussion draft, which is WHOIS database, and I --1687 many of you follow me. I was involved with the IANA on transition 1688 and ICANN debate, and the -- there was kind of a commitment during that discussion that the WHOIS database would continue. 1689 1690 Now, throw in the uncertainty with the European Union and 1691 the general data protection regulation. 1692 Can you talk to me about the importance of, you know, keeping 1693 the WHOIS database and this European concern and how we crunch 1694 through this? 1695 Mr. Gallagher. 1696 It's absolutely vital that the WHOIS Mr. Gallagher. 1697 database is taken very seriously and continues to have the 1698 emphasis that it has had for a very long period of time. 1699 In virtually every bilateral meeting that I had or any other 1700 international meeting, the Department of Justice, Department of 1701 Homeland Security, the intelligence community, the White House 1702 all the way through made sure it was always on our agenda at NTIA 1703 to underscore the importance of WHOIS. 1704 That is -- that's paramount that that continue, even with 1705 any conflict with the GDPR. It's -- the conflict is something 1706 that is misplaced if that's the way it's perceived. 1707 The mission of WHOIS to intellectual property holders, law enforcement, is paramount to whatever those concerns might be 1708 about individual privacy. 1709 1710 Mr. Shimkus. Anyone else want to chime in on that? I think there is -- there is no separation 1711 Mr. Kneuer. 1712 anywhere in the USG and, I even think, at ICANN with regards to 1713 the importance of WHOIS and the commitment to collect reliable 1714 publicly accessible WHOIS data. 1715 This conflict of laws with the GDPR, I think WHOIS is going 1716 to be sort of the pointy end of the spear on that. There are lots 1717 and lots of different places where the GDPR is running into 1718 conflicts of law. 1719 So I think there is -- this is going to be an exercise we 1720 are going to have to undertake. I think NTIA remains well suited 1721 to be the U.S. point in working with ICANN, protecting that, and 1722 if it turns out that there needs to be a U.S. legal solution to 1723 clarify and supersede the GDPR, that's something that they can

consider as well.

1725	Mr. Shimkus. Thank you, and I want to submit for the record
1726	the Coalition for a Secure and Transparent Internet to the record.
1727	Mrs. Blackburn. Without objection.
1728	[The information follows:]
1729	
1730	**************************************

1731 And this just -- and I will just end on I sent 1732 a letter with -- along with Congressman Ruiz to GoDaddy 1733 highlighting and fleshing this out either. 1734 So it's very important and I appreciate your answers and look 1735 forward to having that part of the language. 1736 And I yield back. 1737 Mrs. Blackburn. The gentleman yields back. 1738 And Mr. Welch has no questions or comments. We are delighted 1739 that he's here. We should ask him where he was in 1992, the last 1740 time Congress did this. 1741 Well, seeing that there are no further members wishing to ask questions for the panel, I want to thank each of you for being 1742 1743 here today and for helping us. 1744 As you can see, there is broad bipartisan agreement on moving 1745 forward with the rural broadband and with NTIA -- their 1746 participation, and so we thank you for your insight. 1747 Before we conclude, I ask unanimous consent to enter the 1748 following documents into the record: a letter from NTIA, a 1749 Politico article, "Wired to Fail," a letter to me from 1750 organizations fighting human trafficking, a letter from CCIA --1751 that is offered by Mr. Lance -- a letter from CSTI, offered by 1752 Mr. Shimkus, ESA's court filing, offered by Mr. Doyle, the 1753 Shimkus-Ruiz letter regarding WHOIS and the database. Without objection, so ordered. 1754 1755 [The information follows:]

1756

1757

1758	Mrs. Blackburn. Pursuant to committee rules, all members are
1759	reminded that they have 10 business days in which to submit
1760	additional questions, and we would ask each of you our witnesses
1761	to respond within 10 business days.
1762	Seeing there is no further business to come before the
1763	committee, the subcommittee is adjourned.

[Whereupon, at 3:23 p.m., the committee was adjourned.]