1	NEAL R. GROSS & CO., INC.
2	RPTS MORRISON
3	HIF198160
4	
5	
6	REALIZING THE BENEFITS OF RURAL BROADBAND:
7	CHALLENGES AND SOLUTIONS
8	TUESDAY, JULY 17, 2018
9	House of Representatives
10	Subcommittee on Communications and
11	Technology
12	Committee on Energy and Commerce
13	Washington, D.C.
14	
15	
16	
17	The subcommittee met, pursuant to call, at 10:00 a.m., in
18	Room 2123 Rayburn House Office Building, Hon. Marsha Blackburn
19	[chairman of the subcommittee] presiding.
20	Members present: Representatives Blackburn, Lance, Shimkus,
21	Latta, Guthrie, Olson, Kinzinger, Bilirakis, Johnson, Long,
22	Flores, Brooks, Collins, Cramer, Walters, Costello, Walden (ex
23	officio), Doyle, Welch, Loebsack, Ruiz, Dingell, Eshoo,
24	Butterfield, Matsui, McNerney, and Pallone (ex officio).
25	Staff present: Jon Adame, Policy Coordinator,

Communications and Technology; Kristine Fargotstein, Detailee,		
Communications and Technology; Sean Farrell, Professional Staff		
Member, Communications and Technology; Margaret Tucker Fogarty,		
Staff Assistant; Theresa Gambo, Human Resources/Office		
Administrator; Elena Hernandez, Press Secretary; Paul Jackson,		
Professional Staff, Digital Commerce and Consumer Protection;		
Tim Kurth, Deputy Chief Counsel, Communications and Technology;		
Lauren McCarty, Counsel, Communications and Technology; Brannon		
Rains, Staff Assistant; Austin Stonebraker, Press Assistant; Evan		
Viau, Legislative Clerk, Communications and Technology; Michelle		
Ash, Minority Chief Counsel, Digital Commerce and Consumer		
Protection; Jeff Carroll, Minority Staff Director; Jennifer		
Epperson, Minority FCC Detailee; Alex Hoehn-Saric, Minority Chief		
Counsel, Communications and Technology; Jerry Leverich, Minority		
Counsel; Jourdan Lewis, Minority Staff Assistant; Dan Miller,		
Minority Policy Analyst; and C.J. Young, Minority Press		
Secretary.		

Mrs. Blackburn. [presiding] The Subcommittee on Communications and Technology will now come to order, and the Chair recognizes herself for 5 minutes for an opening statement.

And I want to welcome you to today's subcommittee hearing on rural broadband challenges and solutions. Extending the reach of broadband in rural Tennessee and across America is critical to ensure that everyone can participate in the digital economy. While the percentage of rural Tennesseans still lacking access to high-speed internet has decreased from 34 percent to 23 percent, we have to continue to push. You can't have a 21st century economy without a 21st century internet.

Since passage of the 1996 Telecom Act, the private sector has invested roughly \$1.6 trillion in their networks using different technologies. Understanding different technologies is key because broadband is more than just fiber. Moreover, we should acknowledge private investment in rural deployment and ensure that government-based solutions complement private investment instead of competing with it. For example, I am pleased to have the Satellite Industry Association testifying, so we can learn about the strides they are making to deploy modern satellites capable of delivering broadband internet anywhere in the country.

Almost six months ago, I chaired a hearing on closing the digital divide. These hearings are useful, but, as chairman, I like to focus on results. Today's hearing allows us to check

our progress, finding solutions and getting work done.

I am proud to report that members of this subcommittee have worked together and accomplished quite a bit when it comes to expanding broadband access in rural America. In March, Congress passed RAY BAUM's Act, the most significant rural broadband legislation to become law in the last six years. The bill is named in honor of the E&C Committee Staff Director Ray Baum, who passed away earlier this year. Ray was a champion for rural America, and naming this bill for him is a fitting tribute.

RAY BAUM's Act incorporated several legislative proposals we examined at our hearing in January. I will allow subcommittee members to discuss the legislative solutions, but I would like to highlight a couple that positively impact the people of Tennessee and Americans everywhere.

Ms. Eshoo and Mr. McKinley took the reins on the broadband conduits, the idea that the Department of Transportation should facilitate broadband infrastructure on highway projects that use federal dollars. I am pleased that we could work with Ms. Eshoo, who had this great idea, common sense, and we finally got it done.

Mr. Kinzinger and Mr. Loebsack worked together to require the FCC to study the potential of using spectrum more efficiently for rural areas.

Lastly, our full committee chairman, Greg Walden, took on the difficult issue of ensuring the solvency of the Broadcast Relocation Fund. Wireless broadband providers spent over \$19.8

billion at auction for TV spectrum. Ensuring the solvency of the Relocation Fund is crucial to getting this spectrum to use for broadband, especially in rural areas.

After passage of RAY BAUM's Act, the subcommittee passed two more rural broadband bills, the Precision Agriculture Connectivity Act from Mr. Latta and Mr. Loebsack, the ACCESS BROADBAND Act from Mr. Tonko and Mr. Lance. These bills were reported out of full committee last week. All of this shows that Congress can, in fact, roll up our sleeves and get things done.

Rural broadband remains a challenge and there are still unserved areas that need to be connected. With limited federal dollars to go around, we simply cannot afford to allow overbuilding to take place while so many areas are left completely unserved. We need to encourage states to find solutions that best suit their needs. We will not stop working, and I am proud to lead this subcommittee in working with the President to find good bipartisan solutions.

I yield the balance of my time to Mr. Lance.

Mr. Lance. Thank you, Chairman Blackburn.

I have introduced the AIRWAVES Act with Ranking Member Doyle which, among other things, would help spur rural broadband deployment by dedicating 10 percent of spectrum auction proceeds under the bill to rural broadband. Had this rural dividend been in place during the previous two spectrum auctions, over \$6 billion would have been raised for rural buildout. I think that

118 it is incredibly important that rural America be treated the same way as the rest of America. 119 It is also important that we recognize that any federal funds 120 121 for broadband deployment will be finite. I have worked hard to pursue policies to ensure coordination between various agencies. 122 Mr. Chairman, I ask unanimous consent to introduce a 123 124 coalition letter of support for the AIRWAVES Act, and it includes 125 the African-American Mayors Association, the American Library 126 Association, the National Black Chamber of Commerce, and the 127 Taxpayer Protection Alliance. 128 Without objection, so ordered. Mrs. Blackburn. 129 [The information follows:] 130 131 COMMITTEE INSERT 1*******

132 And I yield back the balance of my time. Mr. Lance. 133 Mrs. Blackburn. The gentleman yields back. 134 At this time, I recognize Mr. Doyle for 5 minutes. 135 Mr. Doyle. Thank you, Madam Chair. 136 Before I get started, I want to express my deepest 137 condolences to Robin Colwell, the majority's chief counsel, on 138 the passing of her husband Bill. I know Robin and her family 139 are grieving their loss, but our thoughts and prayers are with 140 her and her family. 141 Madam Chair, thank you for holding this hearing. 142 We live in a divided nation when it comes to broadband access. 143 All too often, people living in urban areas are the digital haves; 144 whereas, those living in rural areas are being left behind with 145 few or no choices, higher prices, and lower speeds. 146 As I and many of our colleagues have said in the past, if we are going to bring more broadband to rural America, our 147 148 government needs to make a sustained investment in building out 149 more infrastructure. That is why I am proud to support Ranking 150 Member Pallone's LIFT America Act, which would dedicate \$40 151 billion to building out broadband infrastructure in the unserved 152 and underserved parts of the country. We also need to give 153 communities like Pinetops the freedom and flexibility to 154 provision their own service. That is why I am proud to continue 155 to support Ms. Eshoo's Community Broadband Act. Ms. Coker Craig, 156 reading your testimony, reiterates exactly what this is such an important option for rural communities.

I am also proud to have introduced the AIRWAVES Act with Mr. Lance. This bill directs the FCC to conduct a number of spectrum auctions as well as to make significant amounts of new unlicensed spectrum available. The bill would set aside a portion of the revenue from those auctions for the deployment of new wireless broadband infrastructure in unserved and underserved parts of rural America.

Mr. Aiken discusses in his testimony a number of the bands in the bill which would be ideal for buildout of broadband in rural areas, specifically the Citizens Broadband Radio Service, or CBRS, and the lower C-band. It is important to keep in mind that these bands could be structured in a way that would enhance rural broadband deployment, but they don't have to be. The Commission is currently considering changes to both these bands.

The CBRS band was envisioned as a model for an innovative new spectrum licensing system that would cover smaller areas than traditional cellular licenses. This licensing model was supported by a broad range of industries, including rural broadband providers who see tremendous potential in being able to access smaller, more affordable blocks of license spectrum. But the Commission is considering changes to this band that would drastically increase license sizes, crowding out smaller players, so that only the largest wireless providers could bid on these licenses.

182 The Commission also opened up a proceeding on the lower 183 Several satellite companies that operate in this band 184 have proposed making a portion of the band available for mobile 185 broadband, which is great, but I agree with Mr. Aiken that this 186 band has a lot more potential. The rest of the band could be 187 shared between satellite operators and broadband providers using 188 fixed wireless service. This proposal has the potential to 189 greatly expand broadband deployment in rural parts of the country. 190 In both of these bands, the Commission has before it two 191 They can work to make as much spectrum available for 192 mobile broadband services. At the last hearing we had on that 193 topic, every witness acknowledged 5G would not solve rural urban 194 broadband divide. Or the FCC can adopt spectrum policies that 195 bring broadband to all Americans. I think it is important for members on this subcommittee to realize that these are the 196 decisions that the Commission is making right now that could 197 affect the future of broadband in rural communities. 198 199 With that, Madam Chair, I want to yield the remainder of 200 my time to Mr. Butterfield. 201 Thank you very much, Mr. Doyle, for Mr. Butterfield. 202 yielding time this morning. 203 And thank all of the witnesses for their testimony. 204 Madam Chairman, one of the privileges extended to members 205 of this committee is to introduce their constituents when the 206 committee invites them to testify. So, you can imagine my

surprise when I learned that the committee had extended an invitation to one of my constituents from the town of Pinetops, North Carolina, population 1300, to serve as a witness for today's hearing on rural broadband.

The town is a small, rural community located in my district in Edgecombe County. The town, with a population of 1300, comprises an area of about one square mile. In fact, I was in the town on Saturday night. I pass through there quite often. I stopped at Abrams Bar-B-Q, and former Sheriff James Knight was there. And he bought me a plate of barbeque, slaw, and hush puppies just this past Saturday night.

Pinetops, Madam Chairman, is home to my constituent Suzanne Coker Craig, who accepted the committee's invitation to testify.

Ms. Craig and her husband Doug are small business owners in the town. Before starting her business in 2010 that continues to grow, Ms. Craig was Director of Advocacy Programs for the North Carolina Hospital Association. She served as Pinetops' Town Commissioner from 2009 to 2017, played a key role in securing high-speed internet service for the constituents in the town.

And so, I am proud to welcome Suzanne to the committee. Suzanne will share her experience of living in an extremely rural community and the challenges that she and others face when not connected to the digital world.

Thank you for yielding this time, Madam Chairman and Mr. Doyle. At this time, I will yield back.

232 Mrs. Blackburn. The gentleman yields back.

2.42

At this time, I recognize Mr. Walden, chairman of the full committee, for 5 minutes for an opening.

The Chairman. Thank you, Madam Chair, and I want to thank my colleagues, and certainly our panelists, for being here today.

Mr. Butterfield, we would have thought we would get to sample some of that fine barbeque. Yes, okay, we got that on the record.

I want to welcome our witnesses, as I said, and I really appreciate your being here. Particularly, I want to thank my constituent, Ms. Jenni Word from the Wallowa Memorial Hospital, for coming here all the way from Wallowa County. She is there in Enterprise, a population of 1,916 people, and the county, with 6800 people, spans 3,152 square miles. So, this is big, wide-open country, beautiful mountain ranges, and forests and farmland. It is tucked in the far northeast corner of Oregon. It is larger than the state of Delaware and very rugged and remote.

I have worked over the years with the health center there and the hospital and others on their efforts to build out fiber and get really good connectivity. We recently worked together with the FCC. Chairman Ajit Pai was in Oregon just after he announced he was raising the cap on the FCC's Rural Health Care Program. This really helps the folks to allow a county healthcare district and other rural providers to get affordable broadband service.

Ms. Word will detail the telehealth opportunities that

broadband access has opened up, and, most importantly, expanding the care patients can receive locally without having to travel hours to other hospitals. This is certainly of huge benefit in a place where, as a county commissioner once told me, Susan Roberts, it is winter 11 months out of the year and sometimes it snows in August. And that is true.

Telemedicine, however, is only one example of the opportunities provided by broadband access in our rural communities all across America. Eastern Oregon University, Blue Mountain Community College, and others, are taking advantage of distance learning to expand access to higher education in isolated communities. Farmers and ranchers across America, and certainly in my district, are using precision agriculture more and more to regulate their inputs, and the transition to Next Gen 911 is critical for strengthening public policy.

After all, broadband is the infrastructure investment of the 21st century. Broadband means jobs, and jobs come from deployment of broadband, including towers and cell sites, fiber, launching satellites, upgrading facilities that constitute the physical infrastructure.

And the economic benefits don't stop at that infrastructure investment. Maintaining this infrastructure requires high-skilled jobs in engineering, network management, cybersecurity, advertising, customer service, and much more. Beyond all that, we know broadband is a force multiplier for job

creation and providing efficiencies for every sector of the economy.

Our Chair ran through some of the bills, including the RAY BAUM's Act, but the Chair herself deserves credit for spearheading the overall effort. This legislation, now law, included many provisions to improve broadband buildout.

Spectrum auctions, for example, raise billions of dollars in federal revenue for deficit reduction and other investments, but a quirk in the law prevented the FCC from taking upfront payments of auction bidders and depositing the money directly with the U.S. Treasury. Though spectrum is the lifeblood of wireless broadband, this effectively stopped the FCC from conducting further spectrum auctions.

So, this committee, and under the Chair's leadership, took care of that in the RAY BAUM's Act. RAY BAUM's Act fixed this by including a bipartisan bill from Mr. Guthrie and Ms. Matsui that allows the FCC to deposit legally upfront payments directly with the Treasury. As a result, the FCC is now moving forward with its upcoming spectrum frontiers auction, which will make more high band spectrum available for 5G.

RAY BAUM's Act, signed into law March 23rd, as you have heard, I have a feeling the bill's namesake Ray, who was from eastern Oregon and actually represented Wallowa and Union Counties in the state legislature, and called them God's country, would be very proud of our efforts then and now.

307 While some may have been content with that accomplishment that we did earlier this year, this subcommittee continues to 308 309 process important bills through regular order. And just last 310 week, the full committee took up four more bills that were 311 unanimously approved by this subcommittee. So, these bipartisan 312 bills include Mr. Tonko and Mr. Lance's ACCESS BROADBAND Act, 313 which is an important and necessary step to coordinate funding 314 for broadband across different agencies. We also passed Mr. 315 Latta and Mr. Loebsack's Precision Agriculture Connectivity Act, 316 which requires the FCC and the U.S. Department of Agriculture 317 to form a task force to evaluate the best ways to leverage broadband for modern high-tech farming and ranching. 318 These bills illustrate what we can accomplish when we work together, as we 319 320 do often, on a bipartisan basis. However, other Members have put forward bills to address 321 322 rural broadband challenges, and these proposals will deserve our 323 attention and consideration as well. And I expect we will hear 324 about some of those today and we will continue to work on those. 325 I look forward to this hearing as a followup to our January 326 hearing on closing the digital divide and the numerous other 327 infrastructure-related hearings we have conducted this Congress. 328 So, we have got more work to do to improve access and for 329 telehealth, precision agriculture, education, and jobs across 330 America. 331 But I want to thank Ms. Word for being here today. We really appreciate your coming out. I look forward to your testimony.

I will say in advance we have another hearing going on at the same time, so I will be bouncing back and forth. But we have the testimony from all of you and we appreciate your input.

With that, Ms. Chair, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mrs. Blackburn. The gentleman yields back.

Mr. Pallone, you are recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. Pallone. Thank you, Madam Chair.

From the start of the Trump administration, there has been a bipartisan call to modernize America's infrastructure, including expanding broadband to communities that need it, and this takes significant resources and cannot be done simply through deregulation or streamlining processes. Actual investments are needed, and we must see states and local governments as partners, not adversaries.

Committee Democrats recognize the need for real investment and to develop legislative proposals to build the modern, resilient infrastructure that Americans need and deserve.

First, the LIFT America Act will authorize this \$40 billion in grants for the deployment of secure and resilient broadband.

This comprehensive infrastructure bill, which is supported by every Democrat on this committee, will also invest in drinking water infrastructure, energy infrastructure, healthcare infrastructure, and brownfields redevelopment. These investments will make Americans more competitive, safer,

healthier, and connected.

Second, Mr. Lujan, along with a number of other Democrats on the committee, introduced the Broadband Infrastructure Finance and Innovation Act. This bill would authorize \$5 billion worth of secured loans, loan guarantees, and lines of credit to finance public/private partnerships for broadband deployment.

Third, Mr. Tonko has introduced the ACCESS BROADBAND Act, which was just reported by this committee to the full House of Representatives last week. This bill would create an Office of Internet Connectivity and Growth to help ensure we are using existing broadband programs and new ones to get the most bang for the buck. I urge my colleagues to bring this bill to the House Floor as soon as possible.

Committee Democrats have also put forward many other innovative solutions that could make a real change in connecting the unconnected and opening up our airwaves for new wireless broadband services. Unfortunately, the administration and my Republican colleagues have placed infrastructure legislation on the back burner behind its tax scam that benefits large corporations and the wealthiest few. Rather than making real and substantial investments in our nation's crumbling infrastructure, they, instead, choose to throw billions of dollars in tax credits at the wealthy who simply do not need them.

So, I think we need to invest in broadband infrastructure, particularly in rural and urban communities that have been left

behind. According to the FCC, 30 percent of Americans in rural areas and 35 percent of Americans living on tribal lands lack access to baseline broadband service, and this is based on mapping data that we know underreports the scope of the problem.

So, it is time to act. Democrats have bold proposals that will actually drive broadband deployment in all 50 states. These proposals are technologically-neutral and open the door to all internet service providers that can deliver fast and secure broadband access. We need to think outside the box in our effort to connect all Americans to the benefits of the internet. I look forward to hearing from our witnesses on how we can ensure access to high-speed broadband throughout America, including rural communities.

On a brief personal note, if I could just say I was incredibly saddened to hear that Robin Colwell of the subcommittee's majority staff lost her husband Bill over the weekend following his battle with cancer. I want to offer our deepest condolences from the Democratic side and sympathies to her and her family in this trying time.

I yield back, Madam Chair.

Mrs. Blackburn. The gentleman yields back. No one is seeking to claim his time.

We know that you all wish Robin and her girls well during this sad time.

This concludes our member opening statements. The Chair would like to remind members that, pursuant to the committee rules, all members' opening statements will be made a part of the record.

Mrs. Blackburn. We want to thank all of our witnesses for being here today and taking the time to accept the invitation and come before the subcommittee. Today's witnesses will have the opportunity to give their opening statements, followed by a round of questions.

Our panel for today's hearing will include Mr. Tom Stroup, President of the Satellite Industry Association; Mr. Justin Forde, Senior Director of Government Relations at Midco; Mr. Claude Aiken, President and CEO of the Wireless Internet Service Providers Association; Mr. John May, President of Ag Solutions and the Chief Information Officer at John Deere & Company; Ms. Jenni Word, Associate Administrator and Chief Nursing Officer at Wallowa Memorial Hospital in Oregon, and Ms. Suzanne Coker Craig, a former Commissioner of the town of Pinetops and the current Managing Partner at CuriosiTees of Pinetops.

We appreciate each of you being here today, and we appreciate your testimony.

We will begin with you, Mr. Stroup, 5 minutes for your opening statement.

STATEMENTS OF TOM STROUP, PRESIDENT, SATELLITE INDUSTRY

ASSOCIATION; JUSTINE FORDE, SENIOR DIRECTOR OF GOVERNMENT

RELATIONS, MIDCO; CLAUDE AIKEN, PRESIDENT AND CEO, WIRELESS

INTERNET SERVICE PROVIDERS ASSOCIATION; JOHN C. MAY, PRESIDENT,

AG SOLUTIONS, AND CHIEF INFORMATION OFFICER, JOHN DEERE & COMPANY;

JENNI WORD, ASSOCIATE ADMINISTRATOR AND CHIEF NURSING OFFICER,

WALLOWA MEMORIAL HOSPITAL, AND SUZANNE COKER CRAIG, A FORMER

COMMISSIONER OF THE TOWN OF PINETOPS AND MANAGING PARTNER,

CURIOSITEES OF PINETOPS

STATEMENT OF TOM STROUP

Mr. Stroup. Chairman Blackburn, Ranking Member Doyle, and distinguished members of the subcommittee, thank you for having me testify here today.

I am Tom Stroup, President of the Satellite Industry
Association.

Satellite communication services are positioned to be the keystone for bringing 21st century broadband capabilities to the entirety of the United States. These services are capable of providing broadband to rural and remote areas of the country, where it remains uneconomical for terrestrial services to deploy, and both provide speeds and prices comparable to terrestrial alternatives. These services are available directly to the consumer today, covering all 50 states and delivering broadband offerings up to 100 megabits per second.

Satellite broadband is also used by business and government enterprises for both fixed and mobile purposes, using a range of spectral bands to deliver assured access to broadband communications. Further, satellites are providing critical backhaul internet connectivity to local internet service providers and community institutions in remote locations.

Today, approximately 2 million customers nationwide are enjoying high-quality satellite broadband services at reasonable rates and at speeds that meet and exceed the FCC's definition of broadband service.

The satellite industry is investing tens of billions of dollars to innovate and increase broadband connectivity to the U.S. and across the globe. High-throughput satellites, for example, rely on frequency reuse and spot-beam technology to produce increased output factors upward of 20 times that of traditional satellites.

The industry has seen similar increases in the capacity of its systems. The first broadband satellite began service in 2008 with a capacity of 10 gigabits per second. Today's satellites have capacities of up to 260 gigabits per second, a number expected to increase to 1,000 gigabits per second by the end of the decade. These terabit-capacity geostationary satellites will provide orders of magnitude capacity increases.

In another highly anticipated advancement in the industry, thousands of new, high-throughput, non-geostationary satellites

will soon join existing operators in low-earth and medium-earth orbits to provide additional high-speed broadband at low latency levels. Indeed, prototypes of these satellites have already begun to launch.

As Congress develops its broadband policies, it should consider the many positive attributes of satellite broadband. These include, No. 1, competition. Just as it has with radio and television services in the past, satellite services provide market-based competition to terrestrial broadband services. Satellite broadband brings additional package options, pricing, and innovative services to consumers, often in areas with only a single or small number of providers.

No. 2, wide geographic coverage. To address the digital divide, broadband services need to be available for the most rural and remote areas of the country. The nature of satellite's wide coverage ensures that all communities within the satellite's footprint receive the same quality of service, whether they are remote communities or big cities. Public policymakers should leverage terrestrial-style incentives with satellite's geographically-independent cost structure to achieve universal communication services.

No. 3, availability. Unlike terrestrial service, satellite broadband is available today across a significant portion of the country without the buildout of additional infrastructure.

Customers can obtain satellite broadband services by simply

ordering and awaiting at-home installation.

No. 4, cost efficiency. Because satellite systems have inherently wide area coverage, when technology-neutral incentives are made to encourage capacity redirection, there is no additional cost to build out to rural and remote areas, only lost opportunity costs in more lucrative service areas. This is unlike terrestrial services, where the low density of rural and remote areas makes it costlier and in most cases not economically viable to build out and cover these areas.

And, 5, reliability. Natural and manmade disasters can interrupt terrestrial broadband services. Satellites, however, are less affected by these events, and satellite ground systems or satellite-enabled airborne equipment can be quickly deployed to restore connectivity.

Of course, all of the breakthroughs we have seen because of satellite technologies should not be taken for granted. They depend upon our industry's ability to access spectrum. In order for our industry to sustain and meet the growing demand for satellite services, we encourage regulators to continue to allocate sufficient spectrum for satellite use and to support the national broadband mapping system as to provide a clear and complete map of broadband services.

Thank you, and I look forward to your questions.

[The prepared statement of Mr. Stroup follows:]

23

530 ******** INSERT 2******

531 Mrs. Blackburn. The gentleman yields back.

532 Mr. Forde, you are recognized, 5 minutes.

STATEMENT OF JUSTIN FORDE

Mr. Forde. Chairman Blackburn, Ranking Member Doyle, and members of the subcommittee, thank you for inviting me here today to discuss the challenges we face and the solutions we are working on to bring the benefits of broadband to rural America.

My name is Justin Forde, and I am the Senior Director of Government Relations for Midco. Midco is the leading provider of internet and networking, cable TV, phone, data center, home security, and advertising services in the Upper Midwest. We serve more than 385,000 residential and business customers in South Dakota, North Dakota, Minnesota, Kansas, and Wisconsin in communities ranging in size from less than 100 people to more than 180,000.

Midco has a history of innovation in the Upper Midwest that continues to motivate our business today. In 2017, we launched the Midco Gig Initiative, a commitment to bring gigabit internet speeds to our entire service area. We have invested over \$56 million in the Gig Initiative over and above the millions of dollars we invest in our network annually. Today, Midco Gig is available to more than 80 percent of our customers, with more communities to come in 2018.

We are also focused on expanding our service to more cities and more communities across the region, but there are challenges and high costs associated with building fiber in our area of the

country. While thinking about a creative solution to this challenge, we were contacted by the rural community of Brooktree Park, North Dakota, to help them obtain broadband access. We quickly determined that bringing wireline service to the area was not economically feasible, but we partnered with InvisiMax, a fixed wireless provider, and we were able to offer broadband service to that area within 30 days.

Recognizing the potential of the fixed wireless solution to provide broadband to more rural residents, Midco has acquired InvisiMax, and we have begun to expand fixed broadband wireless with service more broadly in rural areas within our footprint. Fixed wireless allows us to reach areas that are up to 50 miles away from our fiber network, and we can implement that solution relatively quickly without the expense of constructing fiber networks.

We can use fixed wireless to offer internet where the terrain can make it difficult, if not impossible, to provide wire internet, such as the Badlands of North and South Dakota, the granite fields of northern Minnesota, or the limestone cliffs in eastern Minnesota. We can also reach vast areas of farmland where it is not economically feasible to run fiber to every single acre. We can deploy new fixed wireless during the winter months, when difficult winters make new fiber construction impossible.

I, myself, am a Midco fixed wireless customer. I get my internet from the top of a grain elevator in Prosper, North Dakota,

to my small farmstead 6 miles west of Argusville, North Dakota.

On a normal day, my three kids are streaming video or other content while my wife is using the internet to run a small business. This service has been a great asset to our family.

Even today, it allows me to keep an eye on the farm from Washington, D.C., through a video and security systems enabled by fixed wireless.

Midco supports your hard work to ensure that all Americans have access to broadband services. We greatly appreciate the bipartisan commitment of this committee to produce bills that nurture a broadband-deployment-friendly atmosphere. Your efforts on the RAY BAUM's Act and the MOBILE NOW Act to include broadband deployment provisions like the dig-once policy and a spectrum policy bouncing licensed and unlicensed uses, your thoughtful consideration of the ACCESS BROADBAND Act, have contributed to an environment in which we are more able to easily invest, expand, and deploy.

Today, I would like to offer two suggestions for how you might help us further advance the reach of broadband networks. First, in some cases, government help is needed to bring broadband access to areas it is not financially viable to build. In the past, some broadband funding programs have allowed funds to be uses in places that already have broadband service. We were encouraged to see the pilot funding program in the Omnibus Appropriations Act and in the Senate farm bill that both seek

608 to limit funding to areas that need it most. We ask your support efforts to keep broadband funding dollars to unserved areas. 609 610 Second, to serve the greatest number of rural residents via 611 fixed wireless, we must have the ability to purchase spectrum. 612 We need more wide channels and spectrum bands where we receive interference protection, and we must have a fair ability to 613 614 compete for access to any spectrum that is open and appropriate 615 for fixed wireless service. 616 Congress should support the FCC in its effort to expand the 617 categories of eligible uses for certain underutilized spectrum 618 bands, like 2.5 gigahertz, and support the FCC in adopting smaller license sizes and appropriate auction rules for bands that have 619 potential for fixed wireless in rural areas. These actions will 620 621 help all Americans, including those in rural America, to receive the full potential of America's broadband networks. 622 623 Thank you again for inviting me here today, and I look forward 624 to working with all of you on these important issues. 625 [The prepared statement of Mr. Forde follows:] ******* TNSERT 3****** 626

Mrs. Blackburn. The gentleman yields back.

Mr. Aiken, you are recognized.

(202) 234-4433

STATEMENT OF CLAUDE AIKEN

Mr. Aiken. Good morning, Chairman Blackburn, Ranking Member Doyle, and members of the subcommittee.

I am Claude Aiken, President and CEO of WISPA, the Wireless Internet Service Providers Association, representing more than 800 small businesses who are closing the digital divide in rural America. I am honored to offer our perspective on how fixed wireless broadband is making a difference in rural America.

The majority of our members got their start the same way. They were bootstrapping entrepreneurs who saw the need for better broadband in their communities and answered the call. Whether it was via maxed-out personal credit cards, small loans from family members, or putting their life savings on the line, our members have built workable, cost-efficient, local networks and given their neighbors what they never had before, high-speed broadband internet.

Our members use whatever spectrum is available, unlicensed, lightly licensed, or licensed spectrum. They lease whatever infrastructure is available to hang radios. It may be commercial towers, local water towers, or a neighbor's grain silo or barn. They transmit internet data, often over many miles, to small fixed receivers on their customer's premises, and they provide high-speed, low-latency, uncapped broadband, typically in the range of 5 to 50 megabits per second, and speeds of up to 1 gigabit

per second are possible with current technology.

Our members are overwhelmingly small, local, rural providers. More than half have fewer than 1,000 customers. Almost three-quarters have fewer than 10 employees. But, despite their small size, they are making a difference, serving more than 4 million people across our nation, and the majority do this without any government subsidies.

Most importantly, WISPs can deploy fixed wireless service to residential consumers at about one-seventh the cost of fiber and one-fourth the cost of cable. That is right, we can deploy broadband for a fraction of the cost of fiber and cable, and we can deploy much more quickly, usually in months, rather than years.

Clearly, we are a significant part of the solution. So, how can we in D.C. help unleash the power of fixed wireless economics to better serve your communities? The most important thing the subcommittee can do is to support more flexible, shared, and lightly licensed use of underutilized spectrum bands. Our members are often frustrated that they have potential customers within range of their towers, but insufficient spectrum to serve them, all the while licensed spectrum in their areas goes unused.

Thankfully, this subcommittee has been a part of the solution. We commend your work to lower barriers to infrastructure deployment, streamline regulations, and widen the spectrum pipeline. Legislation like the AIRWAVES Act and the

ACCESS BROADBAND Act will make a difference in rural America.

WISPA also commends the FCC for moving forward on rulemaking proceedings that could and should make more spectrum available for rural broadband deployment. The FCC is at a critical juncture on one proceeding that I will briefly highlight, the ongoing Citizens Broadband Radio Service, or CBRS, proceeding. It is no exaggeration to say that this proceeding is vitally important to the future of rural broadband.

In 2015, the FCC adopted innovative rules that would have auctioned seven 10-megahertz spectrum licenses in blocks the size of Census tracts, about 4,000 people each. But, last summer, the FCC reopened the rule seeking comment on greatly enlarging the license areas, up to the size of a partial economic area which generally contain both urban and rural areas and often cross state lines.

For our members, enlarging the license areas would be like requiring an entrepreneur who wants to open a kiosk to purchase an entire shopping mall. Our members need the FCC to keep the existing unlicensed or GAA spectrum allocation intact and retain small, Census-tract-sized licenses in the CBRS band. This would increase auction participation and revenues and enable our members, and all kinds of entrepreneurs and innovators, to participate in the auction, not just our largest companies.

And here's another reason why balanced spectrum policy is so important. If rural service can be deployed at much lower

704 cost by fixed wireless providers, there is much less need for 705 doling out subsidies to large carriers to offset their much higher 706 For example, ZIRKEL Wireless in Colorado is serving areas 707 with one person per square mile without any government subsidies. 708 With the right spectrum policy, access to private capital will become easier for small providers, and broadband deployment in 709 710 rural and small town America will accelerate. 711 To the extent subsidiaries are necessary, they should be 712 made available in a technology-neutral and a provider-neutral 713 Too often, small WISPs find themselves overbuilt by 714 providers receiving state or federal subsidies. We need to work together to find solutions that will prevent small companies that 715 have invested private capital from facing competition from large 716 717 companies backed with government subsidies, grants, and loans. 718 Madam Chairman, our members are closing the rural broadband gap without subsidies, and we call on you to help modernize and 719 720 rebalance U.S. spectrum policy, so that we can reach even more 721 Americans in underserved areas. 722 We thank you for the opportunity to testify, and I look 723 forward to your questions. 724 [The prepared statement of Mr. Aiken follows:] 725 726 ***** TNSERT 4*******

727 Mrs. Blackburn. The gentleman yields back.

728 Mr. May, you are recognized.

STATEMENT OF JOHN MAY

Mr. May. Chairman Blackburn and Ranking Member Doyle, thank you for the opportunity to be here today and speak about rural broadband, a very important issue for many farmers and others in the agricultural sector.

My company, John Deere, is the global leader in manufacture of agricultural, construction, turf, and forestry equipment. For 181 years, Deere has been helping farmers get more production from their fields in an efficient and sustainable manner. Technology, a big part of agriculture and the John Deere story, is the key to helping farmers meet the world's needs for food and agricultural goods in the future. And having access to broadband internet services is absolutely essential to leveraging the benefits that technology has to offer.

The evolution of technology in agriculture is critical. That is because global demand for agricultural output, which has more than tripled since 1960, shows no signs of easing. Given forecasts of global population growth and dietary improvements, farm output will need to roughly double from 2000 levels to meet the projected demand in 2050. What's more, these output gain will need to take place with essentially the same amount of land and water, and probably less labor. By and large, the technologies needed to produce these gains depend on the delivery of reliable internet connections to farmers in the field,

something many farmers can't count on today.

The extent of the broadband access problem in agriculture is hard to measure in exact terms, but we know anecdotally it is a significant issue. Based on the rate of successful connections between our John Deere customers and our data management platforms, we know there are many instances where producers cannot fully leverage the benefits of their data on account of nonexistent or unreliable internet service. This is to say nothing about connections that are never made or even attempted by those who lack internet service and don't bother to invest in the technologies in the first place.

The nature and the extent of the problem is exactly why we believe federal policy and programs should give more consideration to the needs of farmers and ranchers. Without a better understanding of the problem, we can't begin to design the right solution.

John Deere commends the Energy and Commerce Committee's approval of H.R. 4881, the Precision Agricultural Connectivity Act. Along with our partners in the Agricultural Broadband Coalition, John Deere endorsed the bill. We see it as an important first step to addressing agricultural broadband issues. We are hopeful this legislation will be enacted this year, either as part of the farm bill or on its own.

We also believe federal agencies with broadband deployment mandates should view access through an expanded lens, one that

incorporates a geographic and functional usage metric, as opposed to looking only at population centers. In our view, broadband access on active cropland should be included as a metric for identifying areas where broadband infrastructure investment is most needed.

Cell towers are for the time being the key for delivering high-speed LTE terrestrial signals, and we need more of them over croplands and ranchlands. As you know, farms represent a significant source of commercial activity in rural communities. Owners, employees, buyers, vendors, and service providers all conduct business in and around the farm operations. Supporting increased wireless broadband deployment in the very places where farming activities occur, in the fields, will bring many benefits to rural communities. These include increased economic growth, improved environmental stewardship, and enhanced food security.

John Deere's higher purpose or mission is to help people live better lives through our commitment to those that are linked to the land. Today, we are expressing that commitment in the many ways we are developing and using technology, almost all of which is digital in nature and internet-based. That will help feed the world in a sustainable manner for generations to come.

Thank you, and I look forward to your questions.

[The prepared statement of Mr. May follows:]

******** INSERT 5******

Mrs. Blackburn. We thank the gentleman.

Ms. Word, you are recognized for 5 minutes.

STATEMENT OF JENNI WORD

Ms. Word. Good morning, Chairman Blackburn, Ranking Member Doyle, members of the subcommittee. Thank you for this opportunity to appear before you today.

My name is Jenni Word. I serve as the Associate

Administrator and Chief Nursing Officer at Wallowa Memorial

Hospital in Enterprise, Oregon. Our facility is a 25-bed

critical-access hospital and Level 4 trauma center. I am proud

to report we have been named one of the top 20 critical-access

hospitals in the nation for the past two years.

Our hospital serves Wallowa County and, as Congressman Walden referred to before, has a population of just under 7,000 people spread over 3,152 square miles in frontier northeastern Oregon. That is a population density of 2.2 persons per square mile. The next nearest hospital, also a critical-access hospital, is 65 miles away.

I would like to focus my testimony on the important role broadband plays in bringing telehealth services to rural and frontier areas. Our hospital provides a wide array of services, but not all the services our community needs. Telehealth has enabled us to fill this gap and ensure access to high-quality care in our frontier county.

In my written testimony, I provided three examples that illustrate the lifesaving role telehealth can play in areas like

ours. Broadband infrastructure is the foundation on which providers like ours can use telehealth technology to meet health crises like these.

Moving forward, reliable, affordable broadband in homes and remote rural hospitals and clinics will be critical as we transform the current healthcare delivery system. Our goal is a system that effectively coordinates care for our patients, rewards value, improves quality and patient safety, and reduces costs. Broadband is the lynchpin of that effort.

We are fortunate in Wallowa County to have good broadband infrastructure. But, even so, our county has many remote areas that do not yet have broadband connectivity. Nationwide, the Federal Communications Commission reports that 34 million Americans still lack access to adequate broadband.

Oregon has made significant progress in the deployment of broadband connectivity. However, a 2014 survey of broadband adoption in Oregon found that rural areas lagged behind their urban neighbors in having access to broadband connectivity and rural residents are less likely than their urban counterparts to use broadband technologies.

The Mississippi State Extension Service Index identified Wallowa County as one of 10 Oregon counties with the highest digital divide index. Congress took steps in the fiscal year 2018 omnibus appropriations bill to address the digital divide, and the FCC recently increased funding available through the Rural

Health Care Program, which supports broadband adoption for the nonprofit rural healthcare providers. We applaud both of these actions and thank you for your role in making them a reality.

As these programs are implemented, we look forward to taking advantage of these new resources.

Finally, I would like to say something about telehealth. The potential for telehealth to expand access to medical treatment seems limitless, especially in rural and frontier areas where vast distances make it difficult to get to a doctor or to a hospital. However, there are barriers preventing us from realizing that potential. For example, Medicare payment policy restricts sites eligible for reimbursement, limits distance site providers, and restricts the services for which Medicare will reimburse. Medicare does not reimburse for remote patient monitoring, a potentially vital tool in monitoring patients with chronic conditions, especially those in rural areas. Medicare also doesn't reimburse for phone, email, fax-based services, or store-and-forward technology.

Providers would like these geographic and setting location requirements eliminated and expansion of the types of technology that can be used, and coverage for all services that are safe to provide. Rural communities also need additional capital to develop telehealth capabilities as well as adequate funding to operate systems, once they are up and running.

I am pleased that the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2018 expanded

881 Medicare coverage for telestroke and provided waivers for some 882 alternative payment models, but more should be done. Every week, it seems, new technologies become available to help patient needs. 883 884 The use of telehealth and other new technologies will improve 885 access to healthcare, improve outcomes, and reduce costs. Public 886 policy should not hold us back as we seek to realize the potential 887 these new technologies hold. 888 I applaud the committee and its Chair and my Congressman, 889 Greg Walden, for the leadership it has shown in addressing these 890 There is certainly more work to do, and Wallowa 891 Memorial Hospital and other rural hospitals stand ready to work 892 with you in that effort. 893 Thank you. [The prepared statement of Ms. Word follows:] 894 895

******* INSERT 6******

897

Mrs. Blackburn. We thank the gentlelady.

898

Ms. Coker Craig, you are recognized for 5 minutes.

STATEMENT OF SUZANNE COKER CRAIG

Ms. Coker Craig. Thank you all for your invitation this morning. I appreciate the opportunity to be here.

And thank you to Congressman Butterfield for the introduction. I am glad to hear you are hanging out at Abrams.

[Laughter.]

My name is Suzanne Coker Craig, and I am small business owner and former Commissioner in the town of Pinetops, North Carolina. Our little town is 65 miles east of Raleigh and is centrally located between Greenville, Wilson, and Rocky Mount. We have a significant number of our residents who live well below the poverty level, and we are located in Edgecombe County, which is one of the poorest counties in the state. Unlike much of North Carolina, our local population has declined over the last 20 years, and we struggle to attract and keep college-educated people as well as small businesses and small industry in our area.

Even with all of these challenges, Pinetops is a wonderful community in what I consider to be the best part of North Carolina. We have all the benefits of small town life, but are an easy drive to small cities around us. We are a great place to live and to raise a family. And in March of 2016, our little town got symmetrical gigabit speed broadband internet service that made my 25-year-old nephew in Raleigh jealous.

But our own state legislature has constantly fought to

disconnect us and take away the best economic, educational, and lifestyle benefit we have had in 50 years. Like most small areas, ours got left way behind in the technology boom. As the internet exploded, we struggled to get much more than a dial-up connection. Our only provider showed little interest in upgrading their antiquated services beyond what they billed as high-speed internet, which was defined as up to 10 megabits of service. Speed tests commonly showed that that was really between 4- and 6-megabits download with less than 1-megabit upload. And that This would have been was within a quarter mile of their hub. great service in 2000, but in 2015 it was a serious challenge to running a small business and providing access to modern education or healthcare. Other providers served nearby towns in our area, but were not at all interested in serving Pinetops.

So, around 2008, the city of Wilson, which is 17 miles west and in neighboring Wilson County, began providing gigabit-speed fiber-to-the-premises internet service to their citizens. They borrowed money from private investors and have repaid them with revenues from the network without using taxpayer dollars.

The city of Wilson has provided electric service to the town of Pinetops for well over 40 years and has been a great partner for our little town. So, we asked Wilson if they could bring that fantastic internet service our way. Well, in 2011, the North Carolina General Assembly passed a law that not only put significant restrictions on building municipal broadband

924

925

926

927

928

929

930

931

932

933

934

935

936

937

938

939

940

941

942

943

944

945

946

947

networks, but also specified that Wilson could not take their network beyond the Wilson County line, which was 6 miles away from Pinetops. So, we were sentenced by our own legislature to being 6 short miles away from technology that could help us help ourselves.

In 2015, the FCC preempted that state law and opened a window for Pinetops to invite Wilson to bring their internet service, which is called Greenlight, to us. So, in March of 2016, Pinetops residents eagerly began signing on as Greenlight customers.

I spoke with several people in town who telecommute or have small businesses, and the difference in service was amazing. One neighbor who works for a large banking operation described downloading and uploading her daily work files in 15 minutes instead of the hours it had taken with the fastest service that CenturyLink could provide. A small furniture manufacturer in town reported downloading large files from international customers in an hour or two rather than the 12-plus hours it had taken earlier. A local fire chief was able to use for the first time online video resources to train his volunteer firemen. Families with multiple children no long had to timeshare to finish their online assignments. The service was fantastic, and we on the town board were working to promote Pinetops as the little town with symmetrical gigabit internet service.

Bur, once again, our legislature betrayed us. The state sued to overturn the FCC's ruling, and they won. Greenlight would

have to be forced to leave Pinetops, and we would be forced to take 10 giant steps back economically.

About the same time, Hurricane Matthew hit, and we were flooded terribly. The Greenlight techs were there within hours of the roads opening and hooking up the emergency shelters and the disaster operations. Our town board, with the enthusiastic backing of the residence and business, were eager to fight to keep Greenlight. And so, we were able to get an exemption, with a lot of fighting, that would allow Pinetops to keep Greenlight. But, if another provider came in providing fiber services, Greenlight would have to leave. And we couldn't get language in the legislation that would make that service have to be comparable or serve everyone in town.

So, we got the exemption and we were happy with that. But now, Suddenlink has decided that, since they didn't want to serve us with basic service, now they are bringing fiber to Pinetops. So, Greenlight has to leave.

Good internet service in today's economy is as essential as electric power was in the forties and fifties. Rural areas and small towns then had to be creative and resourceful and rely on municipalities and co-ops to provide electricity in areas that private providers weren't willing to serve. If not for the forward-thinking leaders of that time, it is hard to imagine how small-town America would have survived. We still have to be creative and resourceful in keeping our towns alive. We have

999 to be given the freedom to use all the tools we have. I need to emphasize that, while Pinetops now has broadband 1000 1001 access, that great service is limited to our 1-mile-square town 1002 Wilson would be connecting those homes, small towns, 1003 farms, and outlying areas if the state barriers didn't exist. 1004 The solution to getting rural communities connected will 1005 not come from one-size-fits-all legislation. It will not come 1006 from waiting for large providers to come to our communities. 1007 The gentlelady's time has expired. Mrs. Blackburn. 1008 can wrap up? 1009 Ms. Coker Craig. Yes, ma'am. I am sorry about that. 1010 [The prepared statement of Ms. Coker Craig follows:] 1011 1012 INSERT 7*******

Mrs. Blackburn. You are perfectly fine. We are so appreciative that each of you are here. We appreciate your testimony.

This concludes our testimony, and we will now move into our Q-and-A portion of our hearing. And I will yield myself 5 minutes for questions.

Mr. Stroup and Mr. Aiken, I want to start with you. In your testimony, you mention existing alternatives in the marketplace to a big government approach that removes the ability for states to make important decisions that directly impact their financial health. One of the bills that does cause me concern is the Community Broadband Act, which I think would threaten to undue much of the progress that is being made across the country. The bill is essentially a further-reaching version of the FCC's failed 2015 Municipal Broadband Order, which basically preempted the fiscally-responsible measures that Tennessee had put in place regarding municipal networks.

So, Mr. Stroup, can you expand on the differences, the specific advances, that some of your member companies have made in recent years that have positioned them to become competitors in the broadband market across the country? And is there anything additional that we can do to help increase competition?

And then, Mr. Aiken, to you, kind of looking in that same vein, but from the wireless side, talk about how fixed wireless has become a viable alternative. And are there specific examples

1038 that might be illustrative to the committee? 1039 Mr. Stroup, to you first, please, sir. 1040 Mr. Stroup. As I noted in my testimony, certainly the most 1041 important things that our members have done is to increase the 1042 capacity of the satellites that have been launched as well as 1043 the speed, which ultimately makes the services more 1044 cost-effective. So, I noted just the change in the last 10 years, 1045 there has been a 20 times increase in the capacity of the 1046 Satellite services start at \$49 a month. satellites. 1047 those are the two and three most important things that the industry 1048 has done. 1049 As I also noted, there are plans to launch additional LEO 1050 satellite systems. To give you a sense of that, there are 1051 approximately 1700 satellites on orbit today. There are satellite applications that have either been granted or pending 1052 1053 at the FCC for over 18,000 satellites. So, the growth in the 1054 industry is tremendous. The capacity that will be available is 1055 increasing accordingly. 1056 And the thing that is most important to us is continued access 1057 to spectrum and technology neutrality. Without spectrum, we do 1058 not have the opportunity to grow, and we just want to make sure 1059 that neither Congress nor the FCC weights the scale against any 1060 one industry against the other. 1061 Mrs. Blackburn. Okay. Mr. Aiken? 1062 Thank you for the question. Mr. Aiken.

I think it is best illustrated with a story. Many farms across our great country are not connected to broadband, and this was the story of Lone Oaks Farm in Middleton, Tennessee, that didn't have any broadband connectivity to the farm. Along came Crossroads WiFi, a fixed wireless provider who offered a robust business-grade broadband connection to that farm using the spectrum band that I mentioned in my opening testimony, the CBRS band.

Through that broadband connection, that 2,000-acre farm was on the short list to be considered by the University of Tennessee for purchase. The University of Tennessee purchased that farm, turned it into a 4H state facility and a research institution. And that small, local provider was able to grow the bandwidth with the university, and it is just a great story of how a small provider can provide big solutions to rural America.

Mrs. Blackburn. I appreciate that, and that is a beautiful property.

Mr. Forde, permitting issues are a struggle. I would assume small providers are disproportionately impacted. But we hear about permitting issues regularly. They talk about the burdensome application process. I wish you would elaborate on that and, also, the fact that the Senate now has a discussion draft that would streamline small-cell deployment.

What we need to do is look at what more is needed to unleash this private capital, to streamline this process, and to make

1088 available more small cells that are like on the grain elevator 1089 at your location. 1090 Well, thank you, Chairman Blackburn. Mr. Forde. 1091 Regarding the first part of your question, we have worked 1092 very hard to continue to deploy broadband. We have had some Recently, in North Dakota we tried to run 1093 issues in some areas. 1094 some fiber from the Killdeer area up to Watford City and to 1095 Williston. We had to hire several engineering firms, and some 1096 difficult permitting issues crossing the Missouri River. 1097 that is certainly one of the issues that we faced. 1098 was delayed by several months that allowed service to get to those 1099 areas. Regarding the small cell, certainly utilizing those areas 1100 1101 and some of our more urban areas in our footprint in that 1102 legislation, but also I don't know if that is the solution for 1103 some of our rural areas. We believe that the fixed wireless 1104 technology will be able to cover much greater distances between 1105 those elevators, between those farms, and the small cell will 1106 be good for some areas that are a little bit more urban, a little 1107 bit more populated. 1108 We want to make sure, also, that we have a level playing 1109 field there, us as a provider, that those folks --1110 My time has expired. Mrs. Blackburn. 1111 I recognize Mr. Doyle for 5 minutes. 1112 Thank you, Madam Chair. Mr. Doyle.

1113 Mr. Aiken, the Commission is currently considering changes 1114 to the license structure of the CBRS band. Based on your 1115 testimony, it sounds like many of your members had already started 1116 making investments in new technology based on how this band was 1117 to be structured. 1118 First, I would like to ask you, do you think that if the 1119 Commission acts to expand the geographic size of the spectrum 1120 licenses, that your members and other rural providers will be 1121 able to successfully bid for those licenses? 1122 The short answer there, Congressman, is no. 1123 And what do you think will be lost if the licenses Mr. Doyle. 1124 in these bands are made to be like traditional cellular licenses? So, this band, it is absolutely critical to 1125 1126 expand rural broadband. As you mentioned, a number of our members 1127 have already built out in the band. We polled our members. 1128 60 percent of them had made investments in reliance on the rules. 1129 Like I said in my testimony, these are small companies providing big service in rural America, and this would hamper their ability 1130 1131 to reach new customers that are within range of their towers. 1132 Mr. Doyle. Basically, it is your opinion that expanded 1133 license size will actually hurt the deployment of broadband in 1134 rural areas? 1135 I believe so, and we have a proposal before the Mr. Aiken. 1136 FCC that is backed by a large number of rural providers that would 1137 retain some small area license that would enable our providers

to participate in the auction.

Mr. Doyle. I want to talk about the lower C-band, too.

In the lower C-band, several satellite providers have proposed freeing up a portion of the band to be auctioned for mobile broadband license service. However, a broad array of stakeholders have proposed spectrum-sharing rules in the rest of the band that would enable fixed, locked, wireless broadband. What are the merits of this proposal over the other proposals that would seek to transition the entire band to mobile broadband use? And to be honest, are these proposals even realistic?

Mr. Aiken. Thank you for the question, Congressman.

I think in this band we have a fantastic opportunity to enable gigabit fixed wireless in rural America and a way to do so consistent with everybody getting a win here. We are part of a much broader Broadband Access Coalition that includes, again, a broad array of rural interests. And we put forth a proposal that would effectively clear some of the spectrum for 5G, would put some rational protections in place for satellite earth stations, and would make the remainder of the band available for license point-to-multi-point fixed wireless. We believe this approach would have a significant impact of the availability of broadband in rural America.

Mr. Doyle. Thank you.

Ms. Coker Craig, your testimony and the story of your community is very compelling. And apparently, you have good

1163 barbeque down there, too, although Butterfield didn't share any 1164 of that with us. 1165 [Laughter.] 1166 But we have had other people from communities that have 1167 provisioned their own broadband infrastructure here to testify 1168 It seems to me that every one of them seems to be happier with the service they provided themselves than any other 1169 available commercial option. 1170 1171 Tell me what some of the advantages are of self-provisioning. 1172 Ms. Coker Craig. Well, it was amazing the difference to 1173 be able to call if there was any problem or any problem with 1174 anything with the connection, to call and you talk with someone 1175 in Wilson who knew where Pinetops was. And the speed and the 1176 reliability of their services and technicians were amazing. They 1177 They are our friends and neighbors. We could usually 1178 get things fixed sometimes within a couple of hours. 1179 they could do it over the phone. But, if not, they would have 1180 a technician there sometimes in 30 minutes. 1181 And it was just a tremendous asset to a business. When you 1182 are operating a business, that time is money. And when you are 1183 having to wait for two and three days for a technician to come 1184 and fix your internet, it is well worth it to switch over to 1185 Greenlight.

> **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

Well, Madam Chair, I see my time is almost expired.

Mr. Doyle.

Yes.

1186

1187

So,

I will yield back. 1189 Mrs. Blackburn. The gentleman yields back. 1190 The chairman of the full committee, Mr. Walden, is recognized 1191 for 5 minutes. 1192 The Chairman. Well, thank you, Madam Chair. 1193 And again, to our witnesses, thank you for being here. 1194 thought I might put a photo up, or two, of Wallowa County, just 1195 so you can enjoy the home view. 1196 And while we are working on that, Ms. Word, this is Chief 1197 Joseph, a statue -- they do a lot of bronze work there -- with 1198 the Wallowas behind. And Chief Joseph Days are coming up the 1199 So, if you have got spare time and want to weekend after next. 1200 come out and enjoy Chief Joseph Days, we would be happy to host 1201 But you can see these photos, the wide-open spaces, some 1202 of the farming community out there, and then, another look with 1203 the Wallowas in the background. 1204 When I learned for the second year in a row rural healthcare 1205 facilities like yours were facing a 25-percent cut in their 1206 requested funding under the Rural Health Care Program, I 1207 encouraged the FCC to take a close look at the program in order to help telehealth facilities pay for the cost of this 1208 1209 I talked to the Chairman and his team. connectivity. 1210 So, I was really pleased in June when the FCC increased the 1211 funding for the Rural Health Care Program by \$171 million a year, 1212 increasing the cap for the program to \$571 million, effective

57 1213 immediately. It is a 43-percent increase in funding. 1214 represents what the funding level would have been today if the 1215 original \$400 million cap that was established in 1997 had been 1216 adjusted for inflation. 1217 If the additional funding had not been provided, what would 1218 these cuts have meant to Wallowa Memorial Hospital from your 1219 perspective? 1220 Ms. Word. Thank you for the question.

I think, simply, it would have been decreased access, increased travel time, inconvenience for patients. You know, it is ones that aren't feeling well; travel is difficult. Family members are often taking time away off work as well. And then, increased cost to the patient and to the community to provide services or allow services out of town.

The Chairman. In your testimony, you identified several barriers to expanding telehealth. You mentioned restrictions on Medicare reimbursements for remote patient monitoring, burdensome state licensing requirements, and the capital associated with developing and maintaining telehealth programs. Of these barriers, which do you think is most significant? What impacts you the most?

Ms. Word. Because we are very patient-centered and patient-focused, I think the biggest barrier is the remote monitoring or access for those remote, whether it be a remote clinic, hospital, so that we can service the patients.

1221

1222

1223

1224

1225

1226

1227

1228

1229

1230

1231

1232

1233

1234

1235

1236

1238 The Chairman. And are there additional barriers the way the current Rural Health Care Program is formulated by the FCC? 1239 1240 Anything there we need to be aware of? 1241 Not that I can think of off the top of my head. Ms. Word. 1242 All right. When you mentioned that the The Chairman. 1243 nearest critical-access hospital after yours is 65 miles away, 1244 do you want to describe what that journey is like in the winter? 1245 Ms. Word. Well, if the roads are open, not snow and ice, 1246 it is a windy, two-lane highway. You are traveling with log 1247 trucks, potentially farm equipment, not so much in the winter 1248 It is 65 miles, but it takes over an hour to make the 1249 journey. That is down a narrow, windy, two-lane road 1250 1251 down into the river bottom and, then, up the canyons and out and 1252 It is tough territory. So, if you lose service, if the around. 1253 fiber gets severed, what happens then? 1254 Ms. Word. You have no connections. You are relying on your 1255 own internal services within the county, within the cities. And 1256 We have lost all connection. that is not unusual. 1257 electronic health record goes down, your phone communication. 1258 We do drills around this. We are prepared for it because, for 1259 us, it is a reality. 1260 The Chairman. And talk to me about the interconnectivity 1261 among the other providers in the community there, the clinic, 1262 pharmacy, some of those things.

1263 We are really very fortunate in eastern Ms. Word. Sure. 1264 Oregon and Wallowa County, especially that we have separate 1265 clinics, we have our hospital, but we really function together. 1266 If you came from the outside, you would think it was one entity. 1267 Some of these specialists, they may be initially contracted with a non-hospital-owned clinic. Yet, we can still use them for an 1268 1269 inpatient in the hospital. The clinic will use services that 1270 we have set up in the hospital as well. Wallowa Valley Center 1271 for Wellness, mental health and behavioral health, has a great 1272 telemedicine program that benefits everyone as well. 1273 The Chairman. All right. My time is about expired, Madam 1274 Chair. 1275 Thank you. And thanks again for making the journey. 1276 Mrs. Blackburn. The chairman yields back. 1277 And, Mr. Welch, you are recognized for 5 minutes. 1278 Mr. Welch. Thank you very much. 1279 Mr. Butterfield has left, but I will tell a story behind 1280 his back, but don't tell him. Shortly after he got elected to 1281 Congress, he thought he was kind of a big deal, like a lot of 1282 And he was back in Wilson, right next to Pinetops, and he 1283 went into a diner. A number of women were there, and they knew 1284 They looked at him and they said, "You know, that is pretty him. 1285 good you got elected. Someday you may amount to something. 1286 may be mayor of Wilson." 1287 [Laughter.]

1288 And it is that hometown commitment, actually, that is so 1289 wonderful about a lot of your testimony. 1290 Mr. Walden, just the description in those pictures, they 1291 are very evocative for so many of us in our rural areas. 1292 I just loved your testimony about how important it is to 1293 get that broadband there. 1294 Now there are two things. No. 1, I think, Madam Chair, it 1295 is a little premature for us to congratulate ourselves on what 1296 we have done for rural broadband because it kind of stinks in 1297 a lot of places. It really does. 1298 No. 2, what Congress has to do, first and foremost, is we 1299 have got to dedicate funds to the buildout of broadband. 1300 is just no escaping that. It is just like we made a decision 1301 in this country in the thirties about electricity. There was 1302 no economic case to be made for our utility companies to build 1303 out electricity in rural America, none. But we made a decision 1304 here, our predecessors did, that there was a social case to be 1305 made for it because rural America has the kind of people like 1306 you are describing, like Mr. Walden is describing. And we need 1307 them. 1308 So, money is really going to be important. I just have to All of us who are dedicated to our rural 1309 say this. constituencies, unless we are going to put some money in there, 1310

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

No. 2, how do it? We have got to be flexible.

it is not going to go there. So, that is No. 1.

1311

1312

That is why

1313 I really enjoyed your testimony, Ms. Coker Craig, because I live on a dirt road, an 8-mile dirt road, and we have got great 1314 1315 It was local people created a nonprofit. 1316 know how they managed to defy expectations, but they went up and 1317 down the roads and they got each of us to invest a little bit. And we get that kind of service that you are talking about. 1318 1319 So, I want to start asking a few questions. I will start 1320 with you, Mr. Aiken. If we get the money -- and that is what 1321 we need -- how do we deploy it in a way that is flexible? 1322 some of those pictures I saw from Mr. Walden, we don't have those 1323 There is a lot of hills and valleys. And one size in Vermont. 1324 does not fit all. So, how could we, if we had the money, deploy 1325 it in a way where we don't micromanage how to do it in Pinetops 1326 versus Tennessee? Do you want to comment on that? 1327 Thanks for the question, Congressman. Mr. Aiken. Sure. 1328 We represent predominantly small businesses. 1329 couple of dozen providers who are participating in the upcoming 1330 Connect America Fund Auction. But what I have heard from my 1331 members time and time again is that complicated applications and 1332 difficulty --1333 Well, how do we make it simple, but accountable? 1334 I do think it has got to be done at a local level. Anybody else, 1335 comment on that? I mean, you did it in Pinetops, right? 1336 Ms. Coker Craig. We did. 1337 Mr. Welch. How did you do it?

1338	Ms. Coker Craig. Well, like I said, we worked with the city
1339	of Wilson. The only thing, we had that small window of time with
1340	the FCC ruling. That was the only way we were able to do it because
1341	the state legislature had said there would be no more expansion
1342	past the Wilson County line.
1343	Mr. Welch. Okay. Anybody else want to comment on that?
1344	How do we have accountability if we deploy money, but
1345	flexibility? So, where a community is ready to go and they have
1346	got whatever it takes, we can get them going. Anyone?
1347	Mr. Aiken. I can take a stab at that, Congressman.
1348	I think accountability on the back end is important. I think
1349	we are comfortable with a reverse auction design like that which
1350	is included in the LIFT America Act. We think that a streamlined,
1351	but accountable application is important. That is one of the
1352	reasons why we think the principles in the BROADBAND ACCESS Act
1353	are so important.
1354	Mr. Welch. Okay. Thank you.
1355	Ms. Word?
1356	I am going to yield back. I am out of time. Thank you.
1357	Mrs. Blackburn. The gentleman yields back.
1358	I will say, we put \$670 million in the omni, our U.S., for
1359	deployment, and \$171 million at the FCC for rural healthcare.
1360	Mr. Lance, you are recognized, 5 minutes.
1361	Mr. Lance. Yes, thank you.
1362	That brings me to my questions regarding the additional

1363 funding that we put into our U.S. for a new loan and grant program 1364 for rural broadband. 1365 To Mr. Forde and Mr. Aiken, from your perspective in rural 1366 America, what is the best way this funding could be deployed in 1367 order to reach the most Americans in need with the amount of 1368 resources that the government has placed in that program? 1369 Mr. Forde. Certainly, focusing on those areas that are 1370 truly unserved to make sure that we take care of them first I think is very important, and, obviously, being 1371 1372 technology-neutral. We, of course, have our fiber networks. 1373 We deliver gig through high-frequency cable, and then, we use 1374 the fixed wireless tools to reach the last mile. So, having all 1375 those things work. 1376 And I think there are some unique broadband grant programs The state of Minnesota has a program where you get 1377 out there. 1378 more points if you put more private capital into it. 1379 a challenge process to make sure that there is no overbuilding 1380 taking place, and a lot of unique things with that program that 1381 we work with that really help to find those areas that are truly 1382 unserved that need it most, and we are not spending too many 1383 federal dollars on those. Do you know, do other states intend to proceed 1384 Mr. Lance. 1385 the way Minnesota has proceeded, as you have outlined it? 1386 Mr. Forde. Not currently in our Midco footprint. Kansas, 1387 I believe, has looked at it a little bit, but they are in the

1388 initial stages of that process. 1389 Mr. Lance. Thank you. 1390 Mr. Aiken? 1391 Yes, I would echo what Mr. Forde said, that a Mr. Aiken. 1392 focus on unserved areas is critical. Ensuring that private 1393 capital isn't overbuilt by government subsidies is also critical. 1394 And we also believe that there should be a focus on cost-effectiveness in the program. We have a limited number of 1395 1396 We have a lot of people to serve. dollars. And we need that 1397 money to go as far as possible. 1398 There is, of course, a difference between Mr. Lance. 1399 underserved and unserved. Mr. Aiken, from your expertise, how 1400 many Americans are completely unserved? 1401 Mr. Aiken. The number is smaller than those that are 1402 I think the FCC counts 24 million as not having underserved. 1403 access to advanced telecommunications capability. 1404 includes folks who have access to less than 25/3 broadband. 1405 our members are focused on providing that high-speed service that 1406 rural Americans need. 1407 Mr. Lance. Thank you. 1408 Would anyone else on the panel like to comment? 1409 Mr. Stroup. Yes, I would like to comment a minute. 1410 Yes, of course. Mr. Lance. 1411 Mr. Stroup. I would like to emphasize that last year alone 1412 two of our member companies, ViaSat and EchoStar, launched

satellites with the advanced technologies that I talked about with 25/3 FCC-defined broadband speeds. Both of those companies have announced plans for their next satellites. And I talked earlier about the LEO systems that have been announced. So, our members are not looking for subsidies in order to provide these services. They are moving forward with launching this capacity, and certainly, as I noted earlier in my testimony, provide coverage across the entire country. So, certainly the industry is moving forward with launching additional capacity to provide service to all areas of the country without any subsidies.

Mr. Lance. Yes. Thank you.

I live in a state, New Jersey, that is the most densely populated in the nation. We are well served, by and large, but I want to assure the panel that I will continue to work on this issue, as the sponsor of one of the pieces of legislation that is important for this area.

And to those from the great state of Tennessee, my wife and I met in law school at Vanderbilt, and I have a great affection for your wonderful state, not only because the chairman is from that state, but also from personal experience.

I yield back a minute, Chairman.

Mrs. Blackburn. The gentleman yields back.

Mr. Loebsack, you are recognized.

Mr. Loebsack. Thank you, Madam Chair. I do, first, want to thank the Chair and the ranking member for holding this

important meeting today. It has been great testimony.

And thanks to all of you on the panel today for your testimony and for answering the questions.

It is clearly no secret to those of us on the committee here that I do like to talk about rural broadband. I am from Iowa. I have 24 counties in Iowa. It is not quite a fourth of the state geographically, but it is quite a bit. And then, how to build out capacity in Iowa and the rest of rural America. At one point, the Chair even called me "Broadband Loebsack," and that is a flag that I am very happy to fly while I am on this committee, while I am in the Congress.

In my district, as many of you know, farming is a huge part of the economy. I thank Mr. May and John Deere for all the great work that those folks do with respect to the farming community in Iowa and around the country, and, indeed, around the world for John Deere.

Farmers across America are facing a lot of challenges right now. We don't need to talk about trade, but there are a lot of things that are facing these farmers right now, a lot of challenges. It makes it more important than ever I think for our communities in the rural areas and the agricultural communities to be as efficient and productive as possible.

To help lend our farmers a hand, I joined with Representative Latta in introducing the Precision Agriculture Connectivity Act.

I really appreciate the fact that you folks were behind that,

obviously, Mr. May. That bill, as was stated, as you know, would create a task force to help the FCC figure out how to deploy broadband on agricultural land to promote more precise farming techniques.

Mr. May, I would just like to ask you, from your company's perspective -- you did mention this already a little bit -- what would having robust broad access mean to so many of your customers who really need precise and efficient farming equipment? What does this technology mean for agricultural productivity as well?

Mr. May. Sure. Thank you for the question.

Maybe I will give you a couple of examples of products that will unlock a lot of productivity and, frankly, more sustainability within agriculture. No. 1, I will go back to the sixties and where we saw a three times increase in productivity because of technology introduced at that time. That journey continues. Today, what is driving that journey is access to machines in the farm, on the farm field.

For example, we have the ability today to stream computer-generated prescriptions directly to a planter based on the field conditions in that field and have the planter plant in the most optimum way. When the farmer is in combining, picking the corn in the field, we are sensing the environment that that combine is in and connecting back to the cloud to stream recommendations on how to optimize that combine, based on exactly what it is sensing within that field.

1488 Also, when we have a machine go down, you know what that 1489 means to a farmer. When that machine stops, it is dollars flowing 1490 out the window of the cab, and we need to get the machine up fast. 1491 With internet connection, we can connect remotely directly to 1492 that machine and diagnose the problem that is happening and get 1493 them back up and running quickly. 1494 So, we believe this phase of internet-based agriculture is 1495 going to unlock tremendous value and productivity and 1496 sustainability. 1497 Mr. Loebsack. Right, and feed America and feed the world. 1498 Mr. May. Absolutely. 1499 Just briefly, last September I went to visit 1500 a farmer in one part of my district. I got there and he was getting 1501 the corn in. And I knew how important that time was to him. 1502 So, I said, "Listen, we don't have to go in your house for an 1503 hour and talk about the issues. Do you mind if I get in the cab 1504 with you?" And that is what we did to bring the harvest in. 1505 And he was talking to me about the technology. It was really 1506 quite amazing. 1507 But this particular bill, I am proud. You know, I have 1508 worked with Congressman Latta on that. We have got to make sure that we have the information, so that these machines can operate 1509 as effectively as possible. 1510 1511 Are there any other things you would like to add that we

could be doing along those lines?

1513	Mr. May. First of all, thank you for your work on that.
1514	We believe that that will bring a significant amount of value
1515	to agriculture across the United States.
1516	I think one of the other things that could be helpful is
1517	maybe a joint study between the FCC and the USDA
1518	Mr. Loebsack. Right.
1519	Mr. May to truly understand where do we have the
1520	issues, where it is unserved, as was mentioned
1521	Mr. Loebsack. That is right.
1522	Mr. May and underserved, so that we can attack these
1523	problem areas directly.
1524	Mr. Loebsack. And that is connected to my other question,
1525	actually, too. I am probably just going to have to ask this
1526	question for the record of you, Mr. Aiken, but it has to do with
1527	mapping, obviously. I am very happy to get my mapping bill
1528	through.
1529	But I do have a letter, Madam Chair, from Chariton Valley
1530	Electric Cooperative. If I could put that in the record with
1531	unanimous consent?
1532	Mrs. Blackburn. Without objection.
1533	Mr. Loebsack. Thank you so much.
1534	[The information follows:]
1535	****** COMMITTEE INSERT 8******
	1

1536 Mr. Loebsack. And then, I will just submit a question to 1537 you, Mr. Aiken, for the record. 1538 And I yield back. Thank you, Madam Chair. Mr. Loebsack. 1539 Mrs. Blackburn. The gentleman yields back. 1540 And next week, he will have the opportunity to ask the FCC 1541 about doing that study, and I am sure he will. 1542 Mr. Latta, you are recognized for 5 minutes. 1543 Mr. Latta. Thank you, Madam Chair, and thanks very much 1544 for having this hearing today. It is very, very needed. 1545 I represent the largest farming and producing district in 1546 the state of Ohio. It is important to our agricultural producers 1547 out here to have this technology. I have served and serve as the Co-Chair of the Rural Broadband 1548 1549 Caucus and also Co-Chair of the Rural Telecommunications Working We believe that it is absolutely important that we get 1550 1551 the broadband out to our rural areas of our country. 1552 not only the ag side, but from the testimony we have heard from 1553 the other witnesses, if you can't operate a business or you can't 1554 operate a hospital, you can't do certain things out there if you 1555 don't have that technology. So, it is absolutely important that 1556 we have that. My area is a little bit different from the chairman of the 1557 1558 full committee, where you saw the mountains in the background. 1559 If you look at my district, it is probably as flat as your table 1560 that you are sitting at. But we grow things and we are very

productive there.

But if I could ask my first question, Mr. May, does it matter to you what type of technology is used to deliver that broadband service to connect agricultural producers, customers, and vendors across America, as long as the service is safe, affordable, and effective at meeting the needs of those users?

Mr. May. You know, there is lots of technologies that can be applied to make agriculture more productive. Frankly, we think each one of them has a place and we are open to all of them, whether you talk guidance, GPS systems, using satellite-based networks, to guide vehicles in the field within centimeters, that plays a critical role. Internet connections and the ability to stream large quantities of data is also significant. For us, we think there are several technologies that can be leveraged within agriculture, but, certainly, internet connectivity is critical from the data side of agriculture.

Mr. Latta. What would you say especially on the GPS and being able to be within centimeters? About two years ago, I was out in the southwest part of my district. What we were doing at that time, they were showing how -- you know, my mom grew up on a farm. My grandfather used horses back in the thirties. I saw in your testimony that Deere has been around now for 181 years. My wife's family has been on the same farm in northwest Ohio for 185 years.

Mr. May. Excellent.

Mr. Latta. But that day that we were out, they were putting in fertilizer in furrows to keep from having runoff or anything like that. But in the spring, when they were going to go out and plant that corn, they were going to be able to put it within an inch of where that furrow was. That is what that technology does. So, we appreciate that.

Mr. May. Absolutely.

Mr. Latta. Mr. Stroup and Mr. Forde, if I can ask you, will both of you provide examples of how your industries are working to promote rural broadband for precision agriculture, and what are some of those broadband solutions?

Mr. Stroup. I would like to start by noting that precision begins with GPS, as you noted. It is important to recognize that GPS is provided via satellite. Also, precision agriculture involves earth observation, weather information which is gathered via satellite, and the ability to take the imagery and refresh it on a daily basis, all one of the capabilities of the satellite industry.

But, to get to the communications aspect of it, the addition of the capacity that we have been talking about is an important aspect of what the satellite industry is doing. That, in combination with flat-panel antenna technology, which provides the ability to build it into every tractor/combine and provide continuous connectivity, because, ultimately, one of the great advantages of the satellite industry is ubiquitous coverage.

73 1611 So, we have complete coverage of rural America. The important thing that we are doing in terms of the capacity is adding 1612 1613 additional satellites and the high technology that we have talked 1614 about. 1615 Mr. Latta. Thank you. 1616 Mr. Forde, I have got about 49 seconds, if you can answer 1617 that? 1618 Mr. Forde. Absolutely. One of the greatest examples is we have a small group of elevators, and the farmers in that region 1619 1620 are now able to use Midco fiber running to some of those elevators

and connecting that group of elevators through fixed wireless technology. So, the farmers are able to tell and direct their trucks when they are dumping out their grain and instantly be able to see where their grain was going in, and being able to see those records immediately online. So, I think that tool has been great for that, that group of elevators and the farmers in the area to make sure they know how much grain was going and how much was unloaded.

Additionally, we have grain dryers. Of course, drying corn takes a tremendous amount of stuff. You have folks and farmers that are monitoring grain dryers almost 24 hours a day to keep Well, fixed wireless technology allows those things running. them to do some of that from their easy chair in their homes and spend more time with their families

Thank you very much. Madam Chair, my time is Mr. Latta.

1621

1622

1623

1624

1625

1626

1627

1628

1629

1630

1631

1632

1633

1634

expired.

Mrs. Blackburn. The gentleman yields back.

Mr. McNerney, you are recognized.

Mr. McNerney. I thank the chairwoman and I thank the panelists.

Ms. Craig, state and local governments in California are doing important work right now with private industry to build out broadband in the state. I believe our state is leading the nation when it comes to forward-leading policies in this area. But I am worried about calls to preempt state and local government in the name of streamlining wireless siting policies. In fact, California just rejected such a proposal on the state level. What we need, I believe, instead, is industry and cities working together to meet individual constituents' needs like what just happened in San Jose. Do you think the federal streamlining of local government siting policy will make meaningful progress for bringing high-speed fiber to unserved and underserved areas?

Ms. Coker Craig. Well, I think if that streamlining would give us the flexibility in local areas to work with our partners — and like I said, our partnership with Wilson was well-established. To me, it was a natural partnership. We trusted them. We knew that they were being fiscally responsible with this network. So, if that streamlining would simplify and give us the flexibility that we need, because rural areas are very unique. Some things may work for one area, but not in

another.

Mr. McNerney. Well, that is the point, isn't it, that you don't want a uniform federal policy that preempts local/state policies in some name of streamlining?

Ms. Coker Craig. Right, but we also need to get past those barriers, those barriers that we had, and our response was the state government.

Mr. McNerney. Well, thanks. Rather than fighting against local governments, I think local governments and industry could work together to find meaningful solutions. The Broadband Finance, Investment, and Innovation Act that Congress Lujan introduced -- and I am cosponsor of -- would help public/private partnerships gain access to capital for deploying high-speed broadband. I think you could make a real difference in districts like mine and others. Do you think the use of PPPs, as this legislation envisions, would allow federal government to work constructively with local governments?

Ms. Coker Craig. It sounds like it would. I am not terribly well-versed on that legislation, but it sounds like it would.

Mr. McNerney. Okay. Thank you. I appreciate that.

Mr. May, for some time now I have been raising concerns about cybersecurity and internet-connected devices. The LIFT America Act, which I am a cosponsor, would acknowledge these concerns by requiring that all broadband projects funded by the Act would have to work to meet network and security specifications. What

1686 might cybersecurity vulnerabilities mean to farmers who are using 1687 advanced agricultural technology? 1688 Farmers today that are utilizing these advanced Mr. May. 1689 technologies are streaming large quantities of data, not only 1690 to their own farm, but to their trusted advisors to help them 1691 make better decisions. 1692 John Deere has been very transparent in our role to make 1693 sure that that data is as secure as possible, it is accessible, 1694 and it is easy to share. We have also tried to work with Farm 1695 Bureaus to develop more standards around what sort of security 1696 protocols should be in place. We believe that the security of 1697 data is critical and we support continuing to invest in that. 1698 Mr. McNerney. But what risks do farmers have, the ones that 1699 are actually using the technology? 1700 The risk the farmer could have is if their data 1701 gets in the hands of somebody they didn't intend it to. 1702 yield data or how they planted the fields, what seed they used, 1703 that is their IP, and if that got in the hands of, you know, I 1704 planted this hybrid, I sprayed with this sort of application, 1705 and I created a yield 10 percent higher than you, that is IP. 1706 And if that were to get in the hands of somebody else, then it is a loss to the farmer. 1707 1708 Mr. McNerney. Thank you. 1709 Ms. Word, in your testimony you point out that fewer than 1710 50 percent of households in the bottom income quintile use

1711 internet at home, and that narrowing this divide would become 1712 even more important as healthcare moves to a value-based system. 1713 Can you expand on your testimony and talk about the health 1714 implications if lower-income middle Americans are unable to 1715 afford access to broadband at home? 1716 Ms. Word. Sure. Thank you for the question. 1717 Those patients at that lower socioeconomic status are often 1718 some of the less healthy patients or they don't access healthcare 1719 as frequently. So, there are ways that we could do in-home 1720 monitoring, whether it is video, phone, email, monitoring of their 1721 health conditions that would prevent readmissions maybe to the 1722 hospital, improve their health, get them regular visits with their doctor when maybe they can't even afford to drive in to the clinic. 1723 1724 Mr. McNerney. Thank you, Madam Chairman. I yield back. 1725 Mrs. Blackburn. The gentleman yields back. 1726 Mr. Guthrie, 5 minutes. 1727 Mr. Guthrie. Thank you, Madam Chairwoman, for holding this 1728 meeting. 1729 I would like to start by thanking my Co-Chair from 1730 California, Doris Matsui. We have worked on the Spectrum Caucus 1731 together. It seems like every meeting we have here we talk about 1732 spectrum, but it is so important. 1733 I just want to point out, in the RAY BAUM Act, there was 1734 also just nuances of technology policy. It is amazing. We had 1735 actually put in there the Spectrum Auction Deposits Act, just

so they could deposit bank deposits for selling of spectrum.

That was asked for by Chairman Pai. And the chairwoman was great to work with us and have this in the mark, so that we could move forward. And I appreciate you doing that.

I am also pleased with the Commission's work on midband, licensed and unlicensed bands, that can help us keep the U.S. on the cutting edge of 5G, rather than letting China or any other person try to beat us to that.

Mr. Forde -- and also Mr. Aiken, I might ask you to comment on the question for Mr. Forde, but if you would comment? -- starting with the spectrum question, I know that you are trying to provide service for unserved areas by using fixed wireless technology. And you say in your testimony that you need access to more spectrum in order to accomplish that. Charter is doing similar things in Kentucky. So, thanks for your efforts.

And for Mr. Forde and Mr. Aiken, how much spectrum do you think is needed for fixed wireless and what would be the results for consumers? And what more can we do on this front? We can start with Mr. Forde and, then, Mr. Aiken.

Mr. Forde. Yes, I mean, certainly, access to more spectrum, most importantly, the type of spectrum that works best for our customers and our people in rural areas. We need to make sure that the spectrum is offered, provides interference protection out there. I know the C-band has been talked a little bit about today, but we are, of course, an existing cable television

provider and we use that C-band to provide television service to tens of thousands of customers across all the states that we serve. And that is the only option that we have. So, if we were to look at that band for fixed wireless, we need to make sure that that is also protected.

And one of the bands that isn't being used as much in our area is the 2.5, the educational broadband. One of the reasons we really like that spectrum is because it is able to go penetrate dense forests, tree lines, things like that, and get through those obstacles. Obviously, it does have a certain educational benefit. I mean, I live in a very rural area. My kids go to a school out in the country 5 miles from my house. And I am amazed, even at their young age, how much work that is destined on having that good, reliable internet connection.

So, yes, I think we need more spectrum in all these areas to accomplish it, but let's make sure it works for everybody.

Mr. Guthrie. Thank you.

Mr. Aiken?

Mr. Aiken. Thanks for the question, Congressman.

Yes, I would echo what Mr. Forde said. We are looking at a lot of midband spectrum, so the same sort of spectrum bands that Mr. Forde mentioned, the EBS spectrum at 2.5 gigahertz, the 3.5 gigahertz spectrum, the CBRS band which the FCC is currently considering, as well as the 3.7 to 4.2 spectrum band. That midband spectrum has great characteristics to be able to go a

long ways and carry a significant amount of bandwidth, which is perfect for radios that have to go many miles to houses in rural America.

Mr. Guthrie. Thanks.

Another concern, I have a district that could be a little bit of -- Bob Latta just said his is as flat as a table, some of the best farmland in the country. And I have some that doesn't have the mountains quite that my friend from Oregon has, but beautiful mountains and lakes, and Mammoth Cave, if anybody wants to visit, is there as well. So, it is a beautiful place, but it is rural and, also, it is suburban and urban.

I live in Bowling Green, which is kind of a boon, tied in with the work our chairwoman has done in Middleton, such a boon town. We are kind of tied in with that. I am hour from Nashville.

If you look at mapping, so I am talking about if you look at mine, you would say Bowling Green is covered with broadband. And we have some friends out here from Connected Nation which is a local hometown group that does the mapping. But it depends on where you live. I have very rural counties that is exactly what we are talking about. But, even where I live, some people won't develop; they can't move forward because people don't want to buy a home that doesn't have broadband access moving forward. So, just in mapping, getting more specific in mapping, I think we are talking about it is just too broad to say that one county is covered or not.

1811 My question is for the panel. I didn't leave you much time. 1812 But what recommendation do you have to improve the granularity 1813 and accuracy of the data collected? And what recommendations 1814 do you have to improve it? Should NTIA coordinate with the 1815 Commission or are there other ideas about giving it to NTIA solely? 1816 I only have two seconds, so if one of you wants to 1817 get that? Just making mapping better, NTIA. 1818 Mr. Stroup. Certainly, I would start with ensuring that the information is up-to-date. We have recognized that, given 1819 1820 the advances in the satellite industry, the fact that we do provide 1821 25/3 coverage is not included in the current map. 1822 And one other technology that I would acknowledge that I 1823 think will be useful in terms of the broadband mapping is 1824 technology that is being deployed that allows for RF mapping from 1825 Ultimately, I would recommend that that company's 1826 technology -- they are launching their first three satellites 1827 this year -- be considered to be able to identify where there 1828 is actually a signal, rather than just identification of hopes 1829 that there is a signal. 1830 We are out of time. I yield back. Mr. Guthrie. Thank you. Mrs. Blackburn. Ms. Matsui, you are recognized. 1831 1832 Ms. Matsui. Thank you very much, Madam Chairman. 1833 We talked about spectrum is absolutely necessary to meet 1834 the coverage requirements of rural broadband networks.

Congress created the Spectrum Relocation Fund to assist federal

1836 agencies relocating or sharing spectrum for wireless broadband 1837 And in 2015, Congress made improvements to the SRF by 1838 allowing agencies to use SRF funds for engineering research and 1839 development. But current law limits how much of these funds can 1840 be used by agencies to fund the research and related activities 1841 necessary to potentially reallocate or share their spectrum. 1842 Last month, my spectrum partner, Congressman Guthrie, and I, along 1843 with Senators Wicker and Schatz, introduced the SPECTRUM NOW Act Specifically, the framework of the SPECTRUM 1844 to fix this problem. 1845 NOW Act could provide a pathway for NTIA and DoD to make additional 1846 100 megahertz of spectrum available in the 3.4 gigahertz band. 1847 Mr. Aiken, what potential does a 3.4 gigahertz band have for WISP networks, and how could the SPECTRUM NOW Act help meet 1848 1849 the growing demand for networks across rural America? 1850 Thank you, Congresswoman, and thank you for your Mr. Aiken. 1851 leadership on this issue. We are incredibly supportive of that 1852 legislation, and it could make a real difference in rural 1853 broadbands, particularly if the FCC gets the rules right on the 1854 3.5 gigahertz or CBRS rulemaking, because that would allow these fixed wireless radios to just simply have a software upgrade and 1855 1856 be able to utilize the spectrum in that band as well. 1857 Ms. Matsui. Right. Okay. Thank you. 1858 Narrowband IoT networks are particularly useful for 1859 long-range, low-power applications. Specifically, these

networks improve capacity, spectrum efficiency, and power

1861 consumption levels of user devices. Narrowband IoT networks have 1862 potential both nationwide and particularly for rural coverage. 1863 These networks can co-exist with commercial mobile networks, 1864 and their propagation characteristics provide better range and 1865 reduce coverage costs for consumers in both rural areas and across 1866 the country. 1867 The entire panel, what potential benefits do narrowband IoT 1868 networks have in rural areas from a spectrum efficiency, cost, 1869 and deployment perspective? 1870 Mr. Stroup, would you like to start? 1871 Mr. Stroup. Certainly. I think, as you noted, narrowband 1872 signals are more spectrum-efficient and you can put them in 1873 smaller allocations. Companies like Iridium, which is a 1874 satellite-based company that has been providing IoT services in 1875 rural America for some time. So, those services are already 1876 They tend to be more cost-effective just because they 1877 do not have the same power requirements, either, that broadband 1878 systems do. 1879 Ms. Matsui. Thank you. 1880 Mr. Forde? 1881 We would be happy to get back to you on that. 1882 Oh, certainly. Ms. Matsui. Mr. Aiken? Ms. Matsui. 1883 We generally view those networks as Mr. Aiken. Sure. 1884 incredibly complementary to fixed wireless networks. It enables 1885 a lot of connectivity on farms that have a lot of benefit to

1886	precision agriculture efforts. We view those networks as
1887	complementary, and we see customers of our members who are farmers
1888	utilize both.
1889	Ms. Matsui. Okay. Fine.
1890	Mr. May?
1891	Mr. May. That technology we believe will play a role in
1892	machine-to-machine communication
1893	Ms. Matsui. Yes.
1894	Mr. May but very limited capability if you have to
1895	upload data to the cloud. So, where we are sharing maps within
1896	a field between planters, it makes a lot of sense. But if we
1897	need to transfer data to or from that machine, it has limited
1898	capability.
1899	Ms. Matsui. Okay. Fine.
1900	Ms. Word. I will claim a little bit of ignorance, being
1901	a healthcare practitioner and not as much on the technology side.
1902	But I can say, with our diverse terrain in our county, I think
1903	we take advantage of just about every opportunity that is out
1904	there.
1905	Ms. Matsui. I am sure.
1906	Ms. Word. Certain technologies are going to work better
1907	in different areas.
1908	Ms. Matsui. Absolutely.
1909	Ms. Craig?
1910	Ms. Coker Craig. I will also claim ignorance in this,

proudly. But it sounds to me like it is just another option, and it points again to the flexibility that small communities need to have in working with whatever tools they can get.

Ms. Matsui. Okay. I don't have much time, but I want to

Ms. Matsur. Okay. I don't have much time, but I want to ask the question on the C-band, about the particular clearing mechanism that could be used to allow additional terrestrial use in the 3.7-4.2 gigahertz band. In particular, NRPM has sought comment on whether market-based or the auction approach could be utilized to clear the spectrum that could, then, be made available for terrestrial mobile use.

Mr. Stroup, I am interested in how a voluntary market-based mechanism would function for the very services currently being utilized in the C-band.

Mr. Stroup. I think one of the most important things to keep in mind with respect to the C-band is just how heavily used it is. As part of the NOI process that the FCC went through, there were a number of users that came forward, and there are thousands of earth stations serving over 120 million people for video distribution services. Ultimately, if the FCC does decide that they are going to make any of that spectrum available, a market-based approach where they have an opportunity to work with a customer base, meaning the satellite companies have an opportunity to work with the existing customer base, is more likely to achieve the goals in the short term.

Ms. Matsui. Okay. Thank you very much, and I have run out

1936 Thank you very much. of time. 1937 Mrs. Blackburn. The gentlelady yields back. 1938 Mr. Olson, you are recognized. 1939 Mr. Olson. I thank the Chair. 1940 And welcome to our six witnesses. Not to mislead you all, 1941 Texas 22 is two-thirds the suburbs of Houston, Texas, and 1942 one-third rural. That means corn, milo, cotton, and cattle. 1943 Our smallest farms and ranches are doing just fine. They have 1944 the broadband access that greater Houston has, but that access 1945 can disappear in a few hours in a natural disaster, like Hurricane 1946 Harvey. 1947 We learned a lot from Hurricane Ike that hit us in 2008. 1948 We bury our lines deep in the soil, so that stayed up a lot. 1949 We still lost some connectivity during the storm. And as you 1950 know, the most precious, lifesaving commodity in a disaster is 1951 We found out, too, our process for permits needs information. 1952 to be streamlined to provide that lifeline. 1953 And that is why I introduced H.R. 4045, the Connecting 1954 Communities Post Disasters Act. This legislation allows federal 1955 disaster areas to be exempt from the National Environmental Policy 1956 Act and the Historical Preservation Act. That just lets 1957 communities get going quickly to rebuild. 1958 Madam Chairman, I would like to ask unanimous consent to 1959 introduce two letters of support for my legislation, one from 1960 the NTIA and one from the WIA.

	.
1961	Mrs. Blackburn. Without objection
1962	[The information follows:]
1963	
1964	******* COMMITTEE INSERT 9*******

Mr. Olson. Mr. Stroup, a question for you, sir. What are your main considerations from your perspective in the industry that federal agencies can streamline disaster requirements and just streamline process for permits overall, especially in disasters? Any advice for federal government to act, so we don't have the problems we had with Hurricane Harvey?

Mr. Stroup. Certainly, the satellite industry provides important capability in hurricane and natural disaster events because we have our infrastructure in the sky. From a permitting perspective, just the opportunity to be able to get our earth stations located, if they are not already in place, and work with existing customers like the cellular industry in order to be able to get their portable systems up and running. So, our infrastructures we don't need permitting with respect to that. It is the earth stations where we can benefit from a streamlined process.

Mr. Olson. As a side note, DIRECTV addition to our home was basically weather radar. Without the TV, guess what is going to hit us in about 10 minutes? A big, nasty thunderstorm. So, thank you for that.

My next question is for you, Mr. May. I saw the third generation of agriculture revolution in northwest Fort Bend County a few years ago. The farmer was not a farmer. He was what I call a manager of farm technology. He had this massive, huge John Deere tractor, a big, self-contained cockpit, air

conditioning. It had a little radio, a satellite radio. The tractor was driving itself. What made that so special is he was putting every seed down perfectly, the same distance apart, the same depth, making all the turns. And so, that is exciting.

You talked about, also, 4G. It is just the fourth agricultural revolution which uses artificial intelligence and machine learning to allow farmers to be more productive, be better farmers. Can you discuss the benefits of AI in the agricultural sector?

Mr. May. Absolutely. We are really excited. We call this the fourth generation, if you will, of farming. The new technologies that are available to us are going to bring — the way I like to describe it is, today, a farmer, that farmer still relies heavily on his eyes for vision to see what is happening in the field. He relies on the 30 years of knowledge he has in head. And then, he makes adjustments with his fingers on the computer to optimize the machine. Computer vision, artificial intelligence, and robotics are going to help make that farmer even more better.

We recently acquired a company called Blue River that is focused on eliminating up to 90 percent of chemicals that are used in the field by only spraying the weeds that are located within the fields. So, it is a huge advantage to productivity and, more importantly, sustainability.

Mr. Olson. Thank you. I have 18 seconds left. So, I would

2015	like to offer my help to you, Mrs. Coker Craig, the whole town
2016	of Pinetops, North Carolina. My dear friend, Mr. Butterfield,
2017	talked about having barbeque at Abrams. With all due respect,
2018	ma'am, if you want the best barbeque in America, that is in Texas,
2019	Texas barbeque.
2020	[Laughter.]
2021	I offer you to come to either Killen's in Pearland, Texas,
2022	or The Swinging Door in Fort Bend County to have the best barbeque
2023	in America.
2024	I yield back.
2025	Mrs. Blackburn. And I will challenge that.
2026	[Laughter.]
2027	Anybody ever heard of Memphis and the barbeque competition?
2028	[Laughter.]
2029	All right, Ms. Eshoo, 5 minutes.
2030	Ms. Eshoo. Well, I can't recommend a barbeque in Silicon
2031	Valley, but
2032	[Laughter.]
2033	Thank you, Madam Chairwoman, for having this. This is a
2034	very important hearing. When at least a third of our country
2035	is either underserved or not served in the second decade of the
2036	21st century, that is a major issue for our country. Our Founding
2037	Fathers knew that, to be a united country, that Americans needed
2038	a nationwide communication system. And so, this is a very
2039	important responsibility that we have.

I want to thank the witnesses. Each one of you I think has been excellent. And you have touched, in a deep and broad way, either what your association members are doing, what your companies are doing, what is happening in healthcare, and what is happening in municipalities.

I want to thank the chairwoman for, in her opening statement, making a positive comment about the dig-once policy that was in the RAY BAUM legislation. It is sensible, dig once. I don't know why no one ever thought of it before we did it. I guess it was, as my grandmother used to say, the most uncommon of the senses is common sense. But, at any rate, we got that one done.

Now, at the same time, she was critical of the Community Broadband Act, and that undermines state legislatures. Now I had very purposefully introduced that legislation because I think it is important to examine what is standing in the way, why are we not making headway, especially in rural areas. And I have that, too, in my district. Imagine, in Silicon Valley there are people that are either underserved or have no service whatsoever. I think most people would be stunned to realize that.

There are today about 20 states that have outright prohibitions or bans relative to municipal broadband. Now I think that these state legislatures are undermining local municipalities from coming up with their own solutions. I come from local government, like you, Ms. Craig, and I really have a reverence for local government. I prefer a bottom-up than a

2065 Now there are some cases where I believe top-down in many cases. 2066 a national umbrella is very important relative to federal policy 2067 for our country. 2068 I want to ask you, Ms. Craig, why do you think anyone would 2069 I mean, it has been proven to be effective. do that? Cities 2070 like Chattanooga and Wilson were stopped -- stopped -- from 2071 deploying high-speed broadband access to people who want it. 2072 Now there is a whole variety of reasons that we can stitch together 2073 why we are where we are, one-third of the country. But who did 2074 this in your state? 2075 Ms. Coker Craig. Well, the primary --2076 Who are the interests? Ms. Eshoo. Who are the interests 2077 that went to the state legislature to make sure that this access 2078 was banned? Ms. Coker Craig. My understanding is it was the big telecom 2079 2080 industry. 2081 You got it. Ms. Eshoo. 2082 Ms. Coker Craiq. It was the large --2083 That is my softball or hardball question to you. Ms. Eshoo. 2084 So, I think we need to put the facts on the table. And that 2085 is that the very large interests, very large money holds sway, 2086 and this is holding back local communities from creating a choice. 2087 In most cases, it is much cheaper, too. So, that is what is 2088 happening in the country. If people want to stay with, stand 2089 with their state legislature for especially screwing their local

2090 communities, so be it, but that is what is happening. what is happening, and that is a very big thing in our country, 2091 2092 especially because one-third of the country is not getting what 2093 they need. 2094 I want to ask the panel -- well, I don't have enough time. 2095 So, I will put that question to the full panel. 2096 one best idea on how we can advance? I will put that in writing 2097 and look forward to your response. 2098 Thank you for being here today. I think you are all part 2099 of the solution. 2100 Ms. Eshoo. Again, I thank the chairwoman for having this 2101 hearing. 2102 Mrs. Blackburn. The gentlelady yields back. 2103 Mr. Johnson, you are recognized for 5 minutes. Mr. Johnson. Thank you, Madam Chairman. 2104 2105 And thanks to our panel for joining us today. 2106 I represent a very rural part of the country, the entire 2107 eastern flank of the state of Ohio, all along the Ohio River. 2108 Broadband access is one of my top priorities. We must figure 2109 A one-size solution doesn't work everywhere in the 2110 country. And the digital rural divide is very, very real. 2111 are losing a tremendous amount of intellectual capital from young 2112 people to entrepreneurs, to you name it, kids that can't do their 2113 homework, businesses that won't come into a rural area because 2114 they can't get access to the internet to connect with their

customers, their suppliers, manage their employees. There is a host of reasons why this is somewhat urgent, I would even say in many cases desperate, situation for economic development.

And some people think that it is a pie-in-the-sky luxury to have access to high-speed internet, and that is simply not true. In a digitized world that we live in today, where we do business across the oceans like we used to do business across town, you have got to have access to the internet. And I think that starts with being able to accurately identify those areas that are unserved and underserved. And that has been a complicated, and yet, inadequate effort up until now.

That is why I was glad to introduce the MAPPING NOW Act, reasserting NTIA's authority to go do this. I am also pleased that the discussion draft to reauthorize NTIA tasks the administration with facilitating more accurate granular maps of broadband coverage, so that we can get on with this process.

Mr. Aiken and Mr. Stroup, Administrator Redl recently stated in his testimony before the Senate Commerce Committee that, and I quote, "NTIA has long been a leader in gathering and analyzing broadband adoption and data, and on May 30th, 2018, NTIA published a Request for Comment to determine the most efficient path forward."

Gentlemen, could you offer your thoughts as to what NTIA should consider when thinking about how to get the most accurate and reliable data to properly inform broadband investment

2140 I don't think it is rocket science, and I am really decisions? frustrated with the length of time and the lack of progress. 2141 2142 Mr. Aiken, let's go with you first; then, we will come down 2143 to Mr. Stroup. 2144 Thank you, Congressman. Mr. Aiken. Sure. 2145 We are actively engaged with NTIA on its rulemaking on 2146 mapping efforts and appreciate their work on this issue. 2147 We share the frustration at the lack of good data out there 2148 on broadband deployment. It means that folks who might be 2149 eligible for the Connect America Fund aren't. And there are a 2150 host of other problems that you accurately identified. 2151 One of the things that we think we can potentially do is 2152 move, particularly for a fixed wireless perspective, to a polygon 2153 method of characterizing deployment. That is something that we 2154 think we can do without unduly burdening our smallest members. 2155 Our association is made up of mom-and-pop companies. 2156 regulatory burden is a pretty significant concern. But we are 2157 actively working towards finding solutions that will work both 2158 for our members and for the data needs of our country. Mr. Johnson. Mr. Stroup? 2159 2160 Mr. Stroup. We also have engaged with NTIA and encouraged 2161 them to take advantage or to reflect the most up-to-date 2162 capabilities, as I note with respect to the satellite industry, 2163 the 25/3 capabilities. And also, the point that I had made 2164 earlier about utilizing new technologies to be able to do RF

2165 mapping, to be able to determine where there is, in fact, a signal. Well, like I have said, I don't think 2166 Sure. Mr. Johnson. 2167 it is rocket science, but guess what? Even if it is a rocket 2168 science, we have got rocket science in this country. 2169 [Laughter.] We ought to be able to figure this out, and it ought not 2170 2171 to be this dadgum complicated. 2172 But, with that, Madam Chair, I yield back. 2173 Mrs. Blackburn. The gentleman yields back. 2174 Ms. Brooks, you are recognized for 5 minutes. 2175 Mrs. Brooks. Thank you, Madam Chairwoman, and thank you 2176 so much for holding this really important hearing. 2177 And thank you all. I am sorry some of us have been going 2178 back and forth between other hearings. 2179 But this is critically important. I represent Indianapolis 2180 suburbs and rural communities in central Indiana. Not too long 2181 ago, I had the opportunity with FCC Chair -- and one of the members 2182 of the committee -- Carr to visit Beck's Hybrids and saw something 2183 that was really quite amazing. 2184 And so, I guess, Mr. Aiken, and maybe Mr. May, they have 2185 what they call FARMserver, where they have created their own 2186 server and service to help with precision ag. And it is 2187 simplified, but it allows their clients, not just their own 2188 customers, but others who are participating in FARMserve, to 2189 generate reports such as yield by soil type, yield by hybrid,

yield by prescription. It is seed selection streamlined, field-focused recordkeeping, full support, taking information from a farm office out into the field very precisely, but, then, aggregating all of this data. And they have this massive server system data storage up in northern Hamilton County. I was not aware they were doing something of this level of sophistication, although they are an incredibly tech-savvy company, and always have been.

But I am concerned about -- we talked about data security, and that is not what I am going to go into. But their customers and those who they are working with, I asked about whether or not 5G, which is now being implemented in Indianapolis and some of the surrounding areas -- you mentioned 4G. That is what, Mr. May, made me think about 5G. This type of service could have, I think, a dramatic impact on the ag industry. They used a WISP called On-Ramp.

Can you all talk with us? Is this happening anywhere else in the country or are they truly unique in the country? I am just curious, Reynolds Farm Equipment, a great John Deere dealer, is right down the road from them. Can you all talk about this a little bit, Mr. Aiken maybe, and you may or may not know about this, Mr. May, in 5G. Yes?

Mr. Aiken. Sure. So, thank you, Congresswoman, and I really appreciate you going out to visit our member, On-Ramp Indiana, and see the work that they are doing as a really small

2.214

2215 company, but bringing big connectivity and enabling the kind of 2216 innovations that you just mentioned in your statement. 2217 I think this is indicative of what our members are doing 2218 across the country. A lot of our members are actually farmers, 2219 in addition to being broadband providers. So, they understand what farms need in order to be able to be successful, both in 2220 2221 the broadband world and in the farming world. 2222 But, as far as 5G is concerned, I think we have to remember, 2223 when we talk about 5G, that 5G is not only mobile. 2224 fixed wireless. 2225 Mrs. Brooks. Right. 2226 Mr. Aiken. And a lot of the same technical innovations that 2227 we see going into the mobile space also will be in the fixed space. 2228 So, our members, if we have adequate access to spectrum, can 2229 provide these gigabit or multi-gigabit speeds to farms who 2230 desperately need the connectivity for big data. 2231 Mrs. Brooks. Mr. May, anything you would like to talk about 2232 5G? 2233 Yes, absolutely. First of all, 5G would Mr. Mav. Yes. 2234 bring additional capability in streaming larger sets of data. 2235 But, today, we have a similar system. It is the John Deere 2236 Operations Center, where a John Deere farmer today is streaming 2237 on a real-time basis from the field directly to our cloud-based 2238 ecosystem all of their agronomic data that, then, they can share 2239 with any of their trusted advisors in order to make better

2240 decisions and stream it directly back to the machine in the field. So, as we advance the internet connectivity, that is only going 2241 2242 unlock more value within the field. 2243 Are there many companies like John Deere and Mrs. Brooks. 2244 Beck's doing this across the country or is it really just the 2245 And the other thing I want to mention is, so many of 2246 these companies are also near small towns. We often think of 2247 urban and rural, but small towns like Pinetops and others. Do 2248 we think we are going to get 5G to small towns, to Pinetops, North 2249 I mean, what are we going to do? Because I think we 2250 are going to be jumping to 5G very fast. 2251 Yes, you know, our system is a global system that 2252 extends across the globe that uses multiple different internet 2253 5G, frankly, is a luxury from a data transmission capabilities. 2254 standpoint, but we are leveraging today 3G and 4G as well to do 2255 the same thing. 2256 Mrs. Brooks. Thank you. Thank you all so much for your 2257 testimony. I really appreciate all your work. 2258 I yield back. 2259 Mrs. Blackburn. Yields back. 2260 Mr. Bilirakis, you are recognized for 5 minutes. 2261 Mr. Bilirakis. Thank you, Madam Chair. I appreciate it 2262 very much. 2263 I thank the panel for their testimony. 2264 One of the most important topics of discussion as we continue to build new connections and upgrade systems is resiliency. We saw what happened, of course, in Florida, Texas, and Puerto Rico.

Now we are hurricane season, 2018 hurricane season. Similarly, other parts of the nation face their own natural disasters, not just hurricanes. They face the threats that can impact connectivity and slow emergency communications.

Mr. Forde, as Midco continues to expand to unserved markets, as well as upgrade existing systems, what precautions are being taken to help ensure that these systems are resilient to natural disasters, which for your area would be tornado threats, of course?

Mr. Forde. Yes, the first thing is, obviously, we build a lot of redundancy into our system. Multiple fiber rings of sizes large and small allow that technology to go back around the ring. So, if we do have a fiber cut or an instance, that instantly reroutes, and is the first step in keeping up for lost service.

Additionally, we have had some disasters in North Dakota and tornadoes and flooding. We have responded with providing free WiFi and things for those communities on an instant basis. We have some trailers and things that we do. They are our friends. They are our customers. We do the best we can to make sure their communications are always working and up and running as fast as possible. If, for some reason, the main lines aren't working, we provide alternate forms of technology to get them

up and running right away.

2290

2291

2292

2293

2294

2295

2296

2297

2298

2299

2300

2301

2302

2303

2304

2305

2306

2307

2308

2309

2310

2311

2312

2313

2314

Mr. Bilirakis. Thank you.

Continuing on the top of natural disasters, Mr. Stroup, in your written testimony you stated that satellite technology can deploy temporary-fix installations and very small aperture terminal antennas in the aftermath of a disaster to help communities get reconnected. The question is, how long does it take to deploy these systems to an impacted area? And what actions need to be taken by consumers in order to use these temporary systems if they do not have a preexisting relationship with that satellite provider?

The systems can be deployed in a matter of Mr. Stroup. hours, depending upon where the equipment is located. what happened in Puerto Rico is a good example, where carriers have come forward and noted that satellite needs to be considered an important part of the infrastructure for the rebuilding process because of the speed and capability of the industry. consumers, very often it is a matter of going to a point where there is a satellite connection. A good example is in Puerto Rico where people lined up at a grocery store to be able to use satellite technology. So, it is something that very often is used in conjunction with cellular systems. So, they are providing the backhaul where the cellular system has gone down. With other technologies, point-to-point technologies, it is not necessarily as applicable in terms of providing the

2315 point-to-point technology, but more being able to provide the 2316 backhaul capability. 2317 Mr. Bilirakis. Okay. Very good. I appreciate it very 2318 much. 2319 And I yield back, Madam Chair. Thank you. 2320 Mrs. Blackburn. The gentleman yields back. 2321 Mr. Cramer, you are recognized. 2322 Mr. Cramer. Thank you, Madam Chair. 2323 And thanks to all of you. My goodness, I am sitting here. 2324 As you know, I have sat here the whole time, and I have loved 2325 every minute of it because I see solutions. I have to agree with 2326 She said, you look at the six of you and you find 2327 the solution to the problem. 2328 I was thinking about the Precision Agriculture Connectivity 2329 Act, and what would that task force that the FCC will set up, 2330 should we pass this bill, look like. And I think it looks a lot 2331 like this, quite honestly. 2332 We do have competing technologies collaborating to create 2333 a ubiquitous network that is not reliant on any one of you. 2334 is reliant on all of you and several others. That has, I think, 2335 been both the opportunity and the challenge, that we do have 2336 competing technologies. We didn't have that with the Interstate 2337 Highway System. We need a ubiquitous transportation system to 2338 move products to market and people from coast to coast. And so, 2339 we have this very public highway system. When it was time to

bring electricity to the farm, the REA did it beautifully, but there weren't competing technologies. Today, of course, there are more community-based power sources, things like that, but not at the time.

But you all are in something where there is a lot of competition, and you all are in something that needs the product. How it gets there is not as relevant as that it gets there, right? So, I think we have the makings of a great collaboration among competitors.

We hear a lot now today, of course, about satellite. We hear a lot about cable and fiber and fixed wireless and community-based, all of those things. And then, we haven't talked a lot about mobile, but some, and not a lot about nomadic, but, of course, some. All of that has got to work together to get it there.

But I want to ask you, Ms. Word, as I hone in a little bit on the tremendous opportunity that I see in telemedicine in rural America. With 36 hospitals in North Dakota, and still a lot of space between them, the bill we were able to do a couple of years ago, it allowed Universal Service funds to be used, for example, to connect nursing facilities, which I think was a good step in the right direction.

One of the things, though, we always hear about -- and God bless Mr. Welch for raising the fact that some of this does cost money, right, particularly in unserved and underserved and maybe

2365 profit centers it requires some money. And we provided some and 2366 more, and probably need to do more. 2367 But, at the same time, we often don't talk about the savings 2368 or the opportunities. For example -- and this is what I want 2369 to get to you -- in your testimony you talked about that 2370 telemedicine, the benefit of it, the value of it. Has there ever 2371 been a cost-benefit analysis of people being able to stay at home 2372 longer or maybe be in a community-based health center longer 2373 because they have ubiquitous access to the experts somewhere else? 2374 Because we always talk about the cost, not necessarily about 2375 the savings. 2376 I don't know about an official study. Ms. Word. I am sure 2377 they have been done. I know our facility, and also Grande Ronde 2378 Hospital, the one that is 65 miles away, has looked at the number 2379 That translates to gallons of gas, the hotel of miles saved. 2380 rooms, the time off of work that, whether it is the patient or 2381 family member, don't have to take. 2382 Most of the savings I think is for the hospital and probably 2383 our primary care providers. They are able to assist these specialists. Often, they will do their visits side-by-side with 2384 2385 the primary care provider in the room. 2386 What I wonder, because you talked about Mr. Cramer. Sure. reimbursement issues, right --2387 2388 Ms. Word. Yes. 2389 -- and what is not allowable. It would seem Mr. Cramer.

to me that we ought to take a real serious look at how, whether it is private insurance or Medicare in most cases, is reimbursing, how they might save by reimbursing something that they might not think is healthcare, if that makes sense.

Ms. Word. Absolutely. Reimbursement is a huge issue, both for the originating site and the distant site. I will tell, we don't really even consider for us, being the originating site, reimbursement. We often don't even bill. Whoever we are working with on the other end, they pay us \$25 per patient, a max of \$100 a day. We could do six, eight, twelve patients; we will get \$100. We are about the patient and what makes it better for them. Healthwise, they often feel better if they are at home and they are with their loved ones, their spouse, their children, more comfortable with being at home.

Mr. Cramer. Excellent.

And I am just going to wrap up my last 10 seconds here with the aggies. Thank you, John Deere. We haven't talked about unmanned aerial vehicles and the opportunity for imagery there, and the ability to use -- the beautiful thing about rural America, besides the fact that they grow enough food for the world, is that they do have a lot of available spectrum. It might be owned by somebody or licensed by somebody else or just not available, but it is available. If we can find ways to enhance the imagery, there is no reason we shouldn't be able to change the world with precision agriculture, and I know that you all are about doing

	NEAL P. CPOSS
2439	So, I will have to sample the barbeque.
2438	a road trip through Tennessee, Missouri, and Kentucky this summer.
2437	And I have to say, also, for the record, that I will be making
2436	Mr. Aiken. Sure. Thank you for the question, Congressman.
2435	Mr. Aiken?
2434	internet traffic, including high bandwidth video transmissions?
2433	providers having to deliver consistently increasing amounts of
2432	Do you think this bill would help combat the strain on rural
2431	mainly across the Midwest, where Arthur Bryant's Barbeque is.
2430	ones already exist and create new ones where they are needed,
2429	grant program at MIT to make peering centers more resilient where
2428	infrastructure in rural America. The bill would set up a matching
2427	H.R. 4817, the PEERING Act. The focus is on improving broadband
2426	Mr. Aiken and Mr. Forde, in this Congress I have introduced
2425	So, I just want to get that out.
2424	[Laughter.]
2423	want to run and hide.
2422	Barbeque in Kansas City would make Memphis and Texas barbeque
2421	would like to state that, as everyone knows, Arthur Bryant's
2420	As a point of personal privilege, just for the record, I
2419	Mr. Long. Thank you, Madam Chairwoman.
2418	Mr. Long, you are recognized.
2417	Mrs. Blackburn. The gentleman yields back.
2416	And I have overstepped my time, Madam Chair. I yield back.
2415	that.

2440 Mr. Long. We will look for your report. 2441 [Laughter.] 2442 Mr. Aiken. I will submit that for the record. 2443 [Laughter.] 2444 But I appreciate the question. The cost of backhaul is a 2445 very significant cost for a lot of rural providers in terms of 2446 getting to that point where they can peer with other providers. 2447 So, I really appreciate your efforts to try to do things to reduce 2448 that. 2449 What else can be done in more rural areas? 2450 have several rural areas in my 10 and a fraction counties. 2451 lot of it is rural America, and I don't think that the kids trying 2452 to do their homework should be affected differently than the kids 2453 in the city. So, what else can we do in more rural areas to keep service high quality and the speed fast? 2454 2455 Mr. Aiken. From our perspective, Congressman, the answer 2456 is spectrum, and spectrum done in a way that makes sense for small 2457 We have a ton of small providers out there in rural 2458 America providing broadband now, but the spectrum they are using 2459 Like I mentioned previously, we have folks who have is crowded. 2460 customers within range, potential customers within range of 2461 radios right now, but insufficient spectrum to do it. 2462 Okay. Mr. Long. Thank you. 2463 And, Mr. Forde, do you think this bill that I have introduced 2464 would help combat the strain on rural providers having to deliver 2465 consistently increasing amounts of internet traffic, including 2466 high bandwidth video transmissions? 2467 Obviously, we are delivering gigabit speeds Mr. Forde. 2468 across all of our footprint from Bowman to Battineau and Williston 2469 to Wahpeton in North Dakota. 2470 So, I wanted to make sure I said "hi" to my Congressman Cramer 2471 up there as well. Excuse me, Congressman Long. 2472 But, yes, we certainly really believe that increasing those 2473 speeds would be great. One of the ways that we can really do 2474 that is, again, as Mr. Aiken said, more spectrum. 2475 really like the 2.5 gigahertz band of spectrum to put out that 2476 speed because it allows for interference protections and also 2477 to get through some of those tough, hard-to-reach areas through 2478 trees and woods, and things like that. So, yes, we constantly 2479 have efforts to increase speeds all across our footprint. 2480 And what else can be done in more rural areas 2481 to keep service high quality and speeds fast? 2482 Mr. Forde. I think that the continued deregulation to allow 2483 us to keep focused on investing in our networks is very helpful. 2484 Allowing us not to have teams in rooms and even a floor full of people working on some of those regulations allows us to do 2485 2486 what we do, and we do real broadband and continue to invest for 2487 our customers. 2488 Thank you. Mr. Long. 2489 And I didn't realize Senator Cramer had joined us, but thank

2490	you for pointing that out.
2491	I appreciate everything this committee does, and has been
2492	doing, in promoting broadband deployment.
2493	I would like to submit for the record a letter from the
2494	Missouri Electric Cooperatives about what they have been doing
2495	in Missouri.
2496	[The information follows:]
2497	
2498	******* INSERT 10******

2499 And last, but not least, I would love to get bipartisan support for my bill, H.R. 4817, the PEERING Act, and 2500 2501 hope to work with my colleagues on the other side of the aisle 2502 on this. 2503 Madam Chairwoman, I yield back. 2504 Mrs. Blackburn. The gentleman yields back. 2505 Mr. Costello, you are recognized, 5 minutes. 2506 Mr. Costello. Mr. Forde, as you state in your testimony,

you acknowledge that government assistance is sometimes necessary to reach areas of the country where there is no business case for private investment. But, to efficiently leverage USF funds to the areas that need it most, we need the federal government to collect and disseminate data that more accurately reflects the digital divide. This is why Representative Loebsack and I introduced the Rural Wireless Access Act, signed into law with the help of this committee in the spring. This bill directs the FCC to establish consistent data collection practices for mobile service coverage. Can you highlight some of the problems that arise from overbuilding with federal dollars and how this committee can steer agencies to more efficiently focus efforts on the truly underserved areas of the country?

Mr. Forde. Yes. I think Midco, as a company that is already providing robust service, and some of the communities already had multiple providers, and, of course, we had been overbuilt in many of those communities with those federal dollars. What

2507

2508

2509

2510

2511

2512

2513

2514

2515

2516

2517

2518

2519

2520

2521

2522

we have seen is there are still areas just outside those fairly large communities -- places like Mitchell, South Dakota, population of approximately 15,000, had multiple providers there providing a high level of speed. But, yet, there are still people just not far from town that are unserved or underserved in that area. So, to the extent that we can focus on those first, that will be a much better use of those federal dollars, and let's make sure that we do that in a technology-neutral manner. Whether it is a fiber connection, whether it is the high-frequency cable, or the fixed wireless technology to reach those, let's use the best tool that we have in the toolbox.

Mr. Costello. Mr. Stroup, I recently introduced the WIFI STUDy Act to highlight the economic benefits that result from unlicensed spectrum use in assisting internet traffic management, and how that will help us realize the benefits of an interconnected world with more efficient transmission of data. Can you talk about some of the roles that unlicensed spectrum can play in closing the digital divide in rural America? Second, can you also specifically touch on how unlicensed spectrum may play in the satellite industry?

Mr. Stroup. Yes. Certainly, at least one of our members is working to show the value of community WiFi connected by satellite systems. WiFi, as you know, utilizes unlicensed spectrum. I think it is a combination of those technologies that provides an opportunity to be able to provide low-cost services

2549 in many of the areas that do not otherwise have access to service, and that is a great combination of unlicensed spectrum and 2550 2551 satellite backhaul capability. 2552 Mr. Costello. Mr. Aiken, do you have anything to add on 2553 the issue of unlicensed spectrum and the role it can play in 2554 closing the digital divide in rural America? 2555 Mr. Aiken. Absolutely. Thank you, Congressman. 2556 Unlicensed spectrum is absolutely critical in closing the 2557 The large majority of our members who are small digital divide. 2558 businesses who have been, for lack of a better word, locked out 2559 of the license spectrum play for too long, have utilized 2560 unlicensed spectrum in predominantly the 2.4 gigahertz and the 5 gigahertz bands to provide service. 2561 So, as I said in my 2562 testimony, additional unlicensed spectrum would be an incredible 2563 boon for rural broadband. 2564 Mr. Costello. Very good. Thank you. I yield back. 2565 Mrs. Blackburn. Mr. Flores, you are recognized. 2566 Thank you, Madam Chairman, for hosting this Mr. Flores. 2567 great panel. 2568 And, Panel, I appreciate your testimony. I echo what Mr. 2569 Cramer said. It has been a fascinating discussion so far. In terms of what Congress has done in this area to look at 2570 2571 rural broadband, we have helped auction off spectrum for 5G 2572 deployment; we have streamlined the permitting processes; we are 2573 hoping to change the regulations, so we can put more broadband innovation, and we are simply funding government agencies and programs that drive broadband development. With that said, it is reassuring to see you all get together, as Mr. Cramer said, and offer us what we think are the solutions, what could possibly be the solutions for the future.

My district, 90 percent of the population lives in about 5 percent of the footprint. So, in terms of population, it is mostly urban and suburban. On the other hand, 10 percent of the population lives in 95 percent of the land area and it is rural. And so, broadband rollout is incredibly important to me in terms of representing that 10 percent of the population that has more limited access to broadband.

Congress last year was working hard to deal with this when it took my Radio Broadband Consumer Protection Act, which ensured that broadcasters were protected in the repack to follow the first of its kind broadcaster incentive auction. In 2012, the broadcast incentive auction, which was raised \$19 billion, was part of Congress' effort to grow broadband development and access, but that legislation had an unforeseen impact, because at the time nobody realized that the radio broadcasters had not been protected. So, our legislation took care of that part of the repack of the spectrum, so that the wireless rollout for 5G and advanced 4G could continue on time. And that became part of the RAY BAUM'S Act, and that has become law now.

2599 Moving on to the next section, which has to do with 2600 regulations, last January I introduced H.Res. 701. That called 2601 for environmental and historic reviews conducted by the FCC or 2602 any entity regulated by the FCC to be limited to the area of impact. 2603 This resolution was part of this committee's effort to build 2604 out broadband. It promotes a more practical and efficient model 2605 for the modern deployment of broadband while respecting the 2606 oversight of historical and environmental impacts. 2607 I would like to start with that last issue first regarding 2608 regulatory reform. So, I would like to go through the entire 2609 And this is the question: how important is it for 2610 broadband buildout that federal requirements be proportional to the actual area being disturbed? 2611 2612 Mr. Stroup, we will start with you. It is probably not as 2613 important for you as it is for the other folks on the panel. 2614 Mr. Stroup. Yes, certainly because the satellite 2615 industry's issues are somewhat different than the terrestrial 2616 systems. 2617 Right. Mr. Flores. 2618 Mr. Stroup. Our infrastructure is in the sky. 2619 Mr. Flores. Right. 2620 So, for us, it is more a matter of ensuring Mr. Stroup. that there is access to spectrum and that any technology that 2621 2622 is adopted be technology-neutral. In terms of deployment of the 2623 infrastructure, certainly we utilize fiber systems, but that is

2624	not typically an impediment to the deployment of our systems.
2625	Mr. Flores. That is what I thought. How about in terms
2626	of your ground-based stations? Have you had any regulatory
2627	impacts in this regard?
2628	Mr. Stroup. So, we do have issues, but it is not a major
2629	impediment to the industry.
2630	Mr. Flores. Okay. That is good to hear.
2631	Mr. Forde?
2632	Mr. Forde. Certainly we have, as I may have mentioned
2633	earlier, we have had some issues with the Army Corps and the
2634	permitting process in those environmental issues in reaching
2635	those tough areas. We also do feel that the fixed wireless tool
2636	can be very helpful in reaching some of those. So, those rules
2637	are also allowing us to do that without too much burden on our
2638	company. But, certainly, those regulations do slow us down in
2639	doing rural broadband.
2640	Mr. Flores. Okay. Mr. Aiken?
2641	Mr. Aiken. Yes, I would agree with what Mr. Forde said.
2642	It is tough for a mom-and-pop business to have to pay \$5,000
2643	for a permit in order to hang a small radio on an existing tower.
2644	So, we appreciate the help that Congress and the FCC have been
2645	affording us on permit streamlining.
2646	Mr. Flores. Mr. May?
2647	Mr. May. Yes, we would agree. I think that speeding up
2648	the process would certainly help reach the areas that don't have

2650 but we are doing it in a sustainable way. 2651 I would like to go to the next question. Mr. Flores. Okay. 2652 I will ask you all to answer supplementally. 2653 Ms. Coker Craig, you may have a response to that. Ms. Word, I don't know if it impacts you or not. 2654 2655 Mr. Stroup, I suspect the satellite industry faces its own 2656 very unique regulatory impediments. Can you address the 2657 hindrances for deployment that the satellite industry faces? 2658 Mr. Stroup. Can you repeat that? 2659 Yes. Can you address the hindrances for Mr. Flores. 2660 deployment that the satellite industry faces? 2661 Mr. Stroup. Issues for deployment that the industry --2662 Mr. Flores. Yes, hindrances. 2663 Again, going back to the point that I made 2664 before, in terms of deployment, the biggest issue that we have 2665 is access to spectrum. We have a number of companies that have 2666 announced plans for deployment of their next generation 2667 technology, both GEO systems and LEO systems. So, the processing at the Commission is certainly an issue. We are going through 2668 2669 a process with expediting small satellite licensing. But I think 2670 that the key points for us, again, are technology neutrality and 2671 access to spectrum. 2672 Mr. Flores. Okay. Thank you, Madam Chairman. I yield 2673 back the balance of my time.

service, and I think it is broader than we think. And we do those,

2674	Mrs. Blackburn. The gentleman yields back.
2675	Seeing that there are no further members wishing to ask
2676	questions, I thank all the witnesses for being here today. We
2677	appreciate your participation so much.
2678	Before we conclude, I ask unanimous consent to enter the
2679	following documents into the record:
2680	And I will start with you, Mr. Doyle. You have some to enter?
2681	Mr. Doyle. Yes. Thanks, Madam Chair.
2682	I know that it has been pointed out, the money that Congress
2683	has given to the Department of Agriculture's Rural Utility
2684	Service, and the FCC on the Rural Health Care Program. I just
2685	want point out that the problem in rural America is way bigger
2686	than those efforts.
2687	I want to submit for the record an FCC study here that shows
2688	it will take \$40 billion to build out 98 percent of the country.
2689	So, if we give the Agriculture Department the same amount we
2690	gave them this year, \$600 million, it would take 66 years before
2691	we got to 98 percent of the country. So, that is just a drop
2692	in the bucket, and we need to do a lot better.
2693	So, I would like to submit this study for the record.
2694	Mrs. Blackburn. Without objection, so ordered.
2695	[The information follows:]
2696	
2697	****** COMMITTEE INSERT 11******

2698	Mrs. Blackburn. Unanimous consent to issue this following
2699	list of documents: a letter from ITTA; Wireless Industry
2700	Association; American Hospital Association; USTelecom; NTCA; the
2701	Rural Broadband Association; ACT, the App Association; CCA;
2702	Advanced Communications Law and Policy Institute; CTIA; a blog
2703	post from NCTA; a letter from Rural Broadband Caucus members to
2704	House appropriators; Chairman Walden's slides; a letter from
2705	several associations supporting the AIRWAVES Act, from Mr. Lance;
2706	a letter to Mr. Olson from NTCA, submitted by Mr. Olson; a letter
2707	to Mr. Olson from the Wireless Industry Association, submitted
2708	by Mr. Olson; a letter to Mr. Long from the Association of Missouri
2709	Electric Cooperatives, from Mr. Long, and a letter to Mr. Loebsack
2710	from the Chariton Valley Electric Cooperative, from Mr. Loebsack.
2711	Without objection, so ordered.
2712	[The information follows:]
2713	
2714	******** INSERT 12******

2715	Mrs. Blackburn. Pursuant to committee rules, I will remind
2716	the members that they have 10 business days to submit additional
2717	questions.
2718	And to you, our panel, if you will respond to those in writing
2719	within 10 business days of receipt?
2720	Mrs. Blackburn. Seeing that there is no further business

Mrs. Blackburn. Seeing that there is no further business to come before the committee this morning, the subcommittee is adjourned.

[Whereupon, at 12:32 p.m., the subcommittee was adjourned.]

2721

2722