

NEAL R. GROSS & CO., INC.

RPTS MOLLEN

HIF198020

EXAMINING STATE EFFORTS TO IMPROVE
TRANSPARENCY OF HEALTH CARE COSTS FOR
CONSUMERS

TUESDAY, JULY 17, 2018

House of Representatives

Subcommittee on Oversight and Investigations

Committee on Energy and Commerce

Washington, D.C.

The subcommittee met, pursuant to call, at 10:15 a.m., in
Room 2322 Rayburn House Office Building, Hon. Gregg Harper
[chairman of the subcommittee] presiding.

Members present: Representatives Harper, Griffith, Barton,
Burgess, Brooks, Collins, Walberg, Walters, Costello, Carter,
Walden (ex officio), DeGette, Schakowsky, Castor, Tonko, Clarke,
Ruiz, and Pallone (ex officio).

Staff present: Jennifer Barblan, Chief Counsel, Oversight
& Investigations; Lamar Echols, Counsel, Oversight &

26 Investigations; Ali Fulling, Legislative Clerk, Oversight &
27 Investigations, Digital Commerce and Consumer Protection;
28 Jennifer Sherman, Press Secretary; Austin Stonebraker, Press
29 Assistant; Hamlin Wade, Special Advisor, External Affairs; Jeff
30 Carroll, Minority Staff Director; Chris Knauer, Minority
31 Oversight Staff Director; Miles Lichtman, Minority Policy
32 Analyst; Kevin McAloon, Minority Professional Staff Member; C.J.
33 Young, Minority Press Secretary; and Perry Lusk, Minority GAO
34 Detailee.

35 Mr. Harper. Call to order the hearing of the Subcommittee
36 on Oversight and Investigations.

37 Today, the Subcommittee on Oversight and Investigations is
38 holding a hearing entitled, "Examining State Efforts to Improve
39 Transparency of Health Care Costs for Consumers." We are here
40 today because health care costs continue to rise in the United
41 States and many Americans are struggling to budget and pay for
42 their health care expenses.

43 According to the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services,
44 we spent \$3.3 trillion on health care costs in 2016, which means
45 that nearly 18 percent of the overall share of gross domestic
46 product was related to health care spending. About 32 percent
47 of health care spending in 2016 was on hospital care, 20 percent
48 was on physician and clinical services, and about 10 percent of
49 the spending was on prescription drugs.

50 The committee has been actively looking at these concerning
51 trends and has held a number of hearings examining some of the
52 causes of increased health care costs, and increasing health care
53 costs. Last year, the Oversight and Investigations Subcommittee
54 held two hearings on the 340B Drug Pricing Program and issued
55 a report with the findings from our investigations. In February,
56 the subcommittee held a hearing examining consolidation in the
57 health care market, and examined the impact of consolidation on
58 health care competition and innovation.

59 As health care costs continue to rise, many Americans still

60 have no idea how much something will cost them before they receive
61 care. Oftentimes, they only know their out-of-pocket costs once
62 they have gotten the care and get their bill weeks, sometimes
63 months later. The purpose of today's hearing is to examine state
64 laws and policies that have an impact on health care costs and
65 what can be done to lower costs for all Americans through more
66 transparency of health care costs.

67 These transparency efforts have generally attempted to
68 provide consumers information about different types of health
69 care costs, including information about the cost of health care
70 services and the cost of prescription drugs. In our work, we
71 have heard that there are a number of issues that make it difficult
72 for some of these efforts to be effective.

73 For example, sometimes there may be contractual provisions
74 that limit the sharing of certain price information or concerns
75 that the sharing of certain price information may be
76 anti-competitive. Moreover, health care billing is complex and
77 it can be difficult to provide the information to consumers in
78 a meaningful way that is useful to them. Similarly, only a small
79 percentage of health care services may be "shoppable." I hope
80 to hear more about some of the barriers to transparency and what,
81 if anything, Congress can do to help.

82 Unfortunately, early evidence suggests that some price
83 transparency tools have not helped facilitate price shopping and
84 lower consumer costs. I, therefore, look forward to hearing more

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

85 from the witnesses about why this is the case, and what forms
86 of transparency might help consumers as they budget for their
87 care and make better health care decisions. For example, do we
88 need to pair transparency with some other mechanism for it to
89 be most effective?

90 The cost of certain health care services can vary
91 significantly in the same geographic region at different sites
92 of care. For instance, a 2014 study by the U.S. Government
93 Accountability Office found that the estimated cost of maternity
94 care at select, high-quality acute care hospitals in the Boston
95 area ranged between \$6,834 and \$21,554, over a 200 percent
96 difference.

97 A more recent 2018 study found that median price of magnetic
98 resonance imaging, an MRI, of the spine ranges from \$500 to \$1,670
99 in Massachusetts, also over a 200 percent difference.

100 Empowering consumers with more information about the cost
101 and quality of their care helps to reduce wasteful spending and
102 save families money.

103 As we move forward, we have to keep in mind that there is
104 a delicate balance between beneficial transparency and
105 transparency that ultimately harms competition and consumers.

106 The Federal Trade Commission has highlighted that it is important
107 to give consumers the precise information they need to make better
108 health care decisions. The agency also has cautioned, however,
109 that it is important to avoid broad disclosures that may chill

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

110 competition in the health care market.

111 I welcome and thank the witnesses for being here today.

112 I look forward to their testimony.

113 And I will now recognize Ms. Castor for purposes of an opening
114 statement.

115 Ms. Castor. Well, thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you for
116 calling this important hearing. I think it is a worthy topic.

117 But, I wanted to note at the outset it has been almost one
118 month since the Democrats on this committee have requested an
119 oversight hearing on the Administration's family separation
120 policy. The Energy and Commerce Committee has primary
121 responsibility for oversight of the Department of Health and Human
122 Services. We have had over the last month a number of hearings
123 on many varied topics, but none are as important as what is
124 happening as children who are ripped away from their family.
125 Now, courts have ordered reunification.

126 It is our responsibility as members of Congress, especially
127 in the Oversight Committee of Energy and Commerce, to have an
128 oversight hearing to get to the bottom of this. We hear
129 horrifying stories every day about the impact on children.

130 And so at this time I am going to renew the request of the
131 Democrats on Energy and Commerce to schedule an oversight hearing
132 as soon as possible on the family separation policy.

133 Now, health care costs, also a very worthy topic. And if
134 we were to schedule another important oversight hearing, it

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

certainly should be on the impact of the Trump administration's lawsuit that where they claim that preexisting conditions should not be a right of American families, especially in their health care policy. That would be another very worthy oversight hearing. But, right now we are here on transparency, so let's talk about that.

I understand that every family feels a very significant impact of rising prices. And part of the, part of the problem is the fact that health care consumers often have no visibility into how much services are actually going to cost.

And depending on multiple factors, such as where you live, your insurance, the type of provider, costs can vary greatly and are unpredictable. That makes health care unlike virtually any other purchase, and it makes it more difficult to constrain costs.

There are all sorts of reports out there -- many of you all have experienced this -- of outrageously high bills received by unsuspecting consumers. Plus, it is darn confusing sometimes.

You get a bill and it says this is your responsibility, this is what is paid, and people simply don't, don't, get it.

There was a couple in California recently who were reportedly charged over \$18,000 for a 3-hour visit to an emergency room where their baby was examined, took a nap, and drank formula. And another patient received two CT scans that varied between \$268 and \$9,000.

These shockingly high bills are frustrating and can

160 devastate a family's finances. For that reason, greater
161 transparency can theoretically provide consumers with more
162 information to make decisions and to predict the costs that they
163 are going to incur.

164 To that end, many states have taken some action to bring
165 more transparency to health care. But it isn't always easy.
166 My home State of Florida, for example, established a website that
167 allows consumers to search for health care prices at hospitals
168 and outpatient surgery centers in 2007, but consumers don't know
169 about it. And one of the problems is it doesn't even contain
170 all of the hospitals that are in your market, and it doesn't
171 contain a lot of the leading health insurers' information in our
172 state.

173 So there, Florida is currently struggling with trying to
174 launch another health care transparency website but now the cost
175 is really escalating. It has been \$4 million to get that up and
176 running, and we don't have a lot to show for it.

177 Other states now require pharmaceutical companies to
178 publicize and provide information related to large increases in
179 prices for certain drugs. And here in the House I am a proud
180 cosponsor of Congresswoman Schakowsky's Fair Accountability and
181 Innovative Research Drug Pricing Act, which would require drug
182 companies to report an increase in certain drug prices by more
183 than 10 percent in a year to HHS, and submit transparency and
184 justification reports before they increase the price of certain

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

185 drugs by 10 percent.

186 We should move initiatives that can help consumers control
187 their health care costs. But transparency in our health care
188 system shouldn't be the only tool in our tool box. It has to
189 be accompanied with other improvements to have a meaningful impact
190 on the actual cost of care.

191 So, I am looking forward to hearing the witnesses today.

192 I look forward to hearing from you on how we can use health care
193 transparency to lower costs for our neighbors back home.

194 Thank you, and I yield back.

195 Mr. Harper. The gentlewoman yields back.

196 The chair will now recognize the chairman of the full
197 committee, Mr. Walden, for five minutes.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

198 The Chairman. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. I
199 appreciate your holding this hearing on the various transparency
200 efforts at the state level to engage patients in health care
201 decision making processes.

202 As Chairman Harper mentioned in his opening statement,
203 health care costs are increasing and are expected to continue
204 to rise. In 2016, the U.S. spent approximately \$3.3 trillion
205 on health care, and the Center for Medicare and Medicaid Services,
206 CMS, estimates that spending will reach \$5.7 trillion in 2026.

207 Health care costs are having a substantial impact on the
208 budgets of American families and individuals. In addition to
209 health insurance premiums increasing, patients are also directly
210 responsible for more of their health care costs. In 2016, about
211 11 percent of the \$3.3 trillion spent on health care was paid
212 for directly by consumers through out-of-pocket costs, which was
213 about \$352 billion.

214 Unsurprisingly, as health care costs increase, most patients
215 want to know more about how much different medical services and
216 products are going to cost them. We all do. That is why we are
217 having this hearing. I have heard numerous stories about
218 individuals who were going to have a medical procedure or lab
219 work performed, found it nearly impossible, and in some instances
220 literally impossible, to learn how much it was going to cost them
221 before they got the care. A lot of doctors don't even know how
222 much different services are going to cost.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

223 Many states have adopted policies to prohibit some types
224 of "gag clauses" and help patients get access to the prices for
225 prescription drugs. Twenty-two states have passed legislation
226 prohibiting clauses in contracts that prohibit pharmacists from
227 telling patients price options for their prescription medicine.

228 In addition to these recent efforts to encourage price
229 information sharing with patients at the pharmacy counter,
230 several states have engaged in efforts to provide patients with
231 more information about the price and quality of different health
232 care services. Some of these efforts include creating websites
233 that give patients information about the prices of different
234 procedures, requiring insurers to provide these tools to their
235 members, and requiring providers to give patients information
236 about the estimated prices for their treatment before they get
237 the treatment. Unfortunately, to date, some of the preliminary
238 evidence has shown that these some -- that these tools haven't
239 been very effective in getting patients to price shop.

240 If we are going to successfully reduce health care costs,
241 we need to empower patients and we need to engage them in the
242 decision-making process. So there needs to be greater
243 transparency so patients can have more information about the
244 prices for different medical products and services, and that
245 information needs to be given to them in a meaningful way.

246 Given that some of the existing price transparency tools
247 are still able to be improved, I am eager to hear from our witnesses

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

248 today about why there are some of these barriers, and then also
249 what else we can do to empower patients with the information.

250 I also want to hear about the role the Federal Government can
251 play in promoting transparency and making patients more informed
252 about the cost of their care.

253 Patients should be able to learn about how much something
254 is going to cost before they get it. This includes having
255 information about different price options for prescription drugs
256 at the pharmacy counter, and information about different
257 procedures and lab work, among other things.

258 So, we have got a lot of questions for our witnesses today.
259 We really appreciate your being here. But one of my main
260 questions is what is the best way for patients to get health care
261 price information, and how can we empower the consumer?

262 I am also interested in hearing about any market behaviors
263 that work against transparency and ultimately harm any attempts
264 to bring down health care costs.

265 So, thanks for being here. This is a big priority for me
266 and for the committee to look into all the costs of health care.

267 With that I will just warn you, I have got another hearing
268 going on downstairs so I have to bounce back and forth. But I
269 will yield the balance of my time to Dr. Burgess, who chairs our
270 Health Subcommittee.

271 Mr. Burgess. Well, thank you, Mr. Chairman. And, Mr.
272 Chairman, it is my fondest wish that one day I will come into

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

273 a hearing in the Energy and Commerce Committee and there will
274 be five doctors at the witness table, and they are going to expound
275 for us on how much economists should be paid. I am still waiting
276 for that hearing. We haven't had it yet.

277 Thanks to our witnesses for being here today. And, Mr.
278 Chairman, to you I have a couple of things that I would just like
279 to place into the record.

280 This is a copy of H.R. 5547, a bill that was introduced in
281 the last Congress by Mr. Green and I that dealt with transparency.

282 And, in fact, Mr. Green and I have been working on transparency
283 for the past several years. And a version of this was actually
284 included as an amendment in the Affordable Care Act, but I think
285 it got lost on its way to the Senate.

286 Mr. Harper. Without objection.

287 [The information follows:]

288

289 ***** COMMITTEE INSERT 1*****

290 Mr. Burgess. Also, I would like to place for the record,
291 I printed off some sheets from a website called txpricepoint.org.
292 Texas PricePoint is a website that is at the least sponsored
293 by the Texas Hospital Association, and it is useful information
294 for your county or for your city, for the hospital in your county
295 or for your city.

296 For example, I printed off a sheet that I will, I will leave
297 for the record that deals with the cost of an uncomplicated
298 cesarean section in the hospital where I used to practice. And
299 I note that although my hospital is a little lower than some of
300 the other hospitals in the area, it is higher than other hospitals
301 in the state.

302 And as a physician, I also will submit to you that is useful
303 information. And if recognizing the decision that a patient
304 makes to go to a hospital is likely driven by the physician, making
305 this type of information more available to physicians perhaps
306 could help with physician behavior as far as directing the course
307 for hospital care.

308 So, I ask unanimous consent to place this into the record,
309 and look forward to hearing from our witnesses.

310 Ms. DeGette. Mr. Chairman, I reserve the right to object
311 till I review the documents, although I am sure they will be fine.
312 If I could just review the documents.

313 Mr. Harper. Well, as we review that we will come back to
314 approving the entering that into the record as soon as Ms. DeGette

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

315 has had an opportunity to review that.

316 At this time I would ask unanimous consent -- Oh, sorry.

317 I will now recognize Mr. Pallone, the ranking member for purposes
318 of an opening statement.

319 Mr. Pallone. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

320 The cost of health care is consistently a top concern for
321 American families. But all too often, consumers face an initial
322 problem before they even receive care, knowing how much a certain
323 health care service is going to cost them. And that is because
324 there are so many players in the health care industry making it
325 difficult to bring clear cost transparency to the consumer.

326 Two different patients can receive the same service from
327 a doctor but end up being charged starkly different prices. And
328 this makes it difficult for a patient to make an informed decision
329 about their care.

330 There are multiple factors contributing to this lack of
331 transparency in health care. For example, a provider may have
332 a set of rates it changes for private-pay customers, but depending
333 on a person's insurance and deductible, their price could vary
334 greatly.

335 This differs from most other markets the consumer has a clear
336 understanding of how much a product or service will cost, and
337 can shop around to obtain the best deal. The nature of health
338 care makes this more complicated. And it is particularly
339 noticeable in emergency situations where a patient's top concern

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

is receiving the lifesaving care they need, rather than what the care will cost. In other expensive specialties such as oncology, patients trust their doctors to provide them with referrals based on quality of care.

With that being said, consumers can certainly benefit from more information, and there are opportunities to bring more transparency to the health care industry. As we will hear from the witnesses today, just about every state has implemented some type of transparency initiative. For instance, my home State of New Jersey recently passed a law requiring providers to notify patients if they are out-of-network, helping to avoid surprise bills for patients.

Many states have also created websites that post the prices of common procedures, and allow consumers to browse the prices of various providers. And this kind of reform can empower consumers just by giving them greater access to information.

So, I look forward to hearing from the witnesses what the research says about these efforts, and what other reforms are being attempted in other states. However, we should be cautiously optimistic about greater transparency, as we have seen only modest results in actually bringing down costs. Some studies have found an increase in prices with more transparency, so we should be mindful of these results before considering any reforms.

I also think it is important that we keep the big picture

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

365 in mind here. It is one thing to bring more transparency to health
366 care, and give consumers information on what they are being
367 charged, but we should also encourage meaningful efforts to
368 actually reduce health care costs for American families.

369 And one of the primary ways to do that is by ensuring access
370 to affordable health coverage. Whether it be Medicaid, essential
371 health benefits in private insurance, or a robust marketplace
372 for individuals who shop for insurance, transparency matters only
373 if consumers have access to high-quality, affordable health care.

374 And, finally, while I appreciate the efforts of this
375 subcommittee to explore these issues, I would be remiss if I did
376 not note that there is an emergency taking place right now within
377 HHS that this committee should be holding an oversight hearing
378 on. Today, there are still more than 2,500 children in the
379 custody of HHS who have yet to be reunited with their families
380 after being forcibly separated by the Trump administration. This
381 committee has a responsibility to conduct vigorous oversight of
382 the Federal Government, and today would have been a perfect day
383 to have HHS Secretary Azar and Scott Lloyd, the Director of the
384 Office of Refugee Resettlement to be here.

385 So, I again urge the Republican majority to schedule a
386 hearing as soon as possible so we can work to fix this crisis,
387 and so we can finally get some answers.

388 I don't know if anybody wants my time. If not, I will yield
389 back. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

390 Mr. Harper. The gentleman yields back.

391 Ms. DeGette. Mr. Chairman, I withdraw my right to object.

392 I have no objection to these documents from Mr. Burgess.

393 Mr. Harper. The documents are so entered.

394 [The information follows:]

395

396 ***** COMMITTEE INSERT 2*****

397 Mr. Harper. I ask unanimous consent that the members
398 written opening, opening statements be made part of the record.

399 Without objection, they will be entered into the record.

400 [The opening statements follow:]

401

402 ***** COMMITTEE INSERT 3*****

403 Mr. Harper. I would now like to introduce our witnesses
404 for today.

405 Today we have Dr. Jaime King, Professor at UC Hastings
406 College of Law; and Dr. Michael Chernew, Professor at the
407 Department of Health Care Policy at Harvard Medical School.

408 Unfortunately, our third witness, Dr. Kavita Patel, was
409 unable to be here today due to a family emergency. And Dr. Patel
410 and her family will remain in our thoughts and prayers as we send
411 them our best wishes.

412 You are both aware that the committee is holding an
413 investigative hearing, and when doing so has had the practice
414 of taking testimony under oath. Do either of you have any
415 objection to testifying under oath?

416 Mr. Chernew. No objection.

417 Ms. King. No objection.

418 Mr. Harper. Both witnesses have stated no.

419 The Chair then advises you that under the rules of the House
420 and the rules of the committee you are entitled to be accompanied
421 by counsel. Do you desire to be accompanied by counsel during
422 your testimony today?

423 Mr. Chernew. No.

424 Ms. King. No.

425 Mr. Harper. Both witnesses have responded no.

426 In that case, if you would please rise and raise your right
427 hand and I will swear you in.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

428 [Witnesses sworn.]

429 Mr. Harper. You may be seated.

430 You are now under oath and subject to the penalties set forth
431 in Title 18, Section 1001, of the United States Code. You may
432 now each give a five-minute summary of your written statement.

433 And Dr. King, we will recognize you for five minutes.

STATEMENT OF JAIME KING, PH.D., PROFESSOR, UC HASTINGS COLLEGE
OF LAW; AND MICHAEL CHERNEW, PH.D., PROFESSOR, DEPARTMENT OF
HEALTH CARE POLICY, HARVARD MEDICAL SCHOOL

STATEMENT OF JAIME KING

Ms. King. Thank you. Committee Chairman Walden,
Subcommittee Chairman Harper, Committee Ranking Members Pallone
and DeGette, Subcommittee Chairmen Griffith and Castor, and
members of the Subcommittee on Oversight and Investigations, I
very much appreciate the opportunity to testify on price
transparency in the health care market today.

As you know, the cost of health care in the United States
currently threatens the economic stability of our citizens, our
businesses, and our nation. A 2018 Gallup poll found that more
Americans worry about the availability and affordability of
health care than any of the 14 other major social issues, like
crime, the economy, and the availability of guns.

Economic theory suggests that if consumers had better access
to price information prior to choosing providers and receiving
health care services that they would choose less expensive
options, thereby lowering overall health care spending. As a
result, states have been very active in this endeavor, introducing
163 price transparency bills so far in 2018.

Historically, most state price transparency initiatives
have focused on changing consumer behavior to encourage them to

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

select providers and services that offer the greatest value at the lowest cost. Yet, health services research examining the impact of these efforts suggest that most of them have not engaged patients in a sufficient way to curb health care spending. Controlling health care spending requires engagement not just from patients but from all actors in the health care market: providers, payers, and policy makers.

Twenty states, including Oregon, Maryland, Maine, and New Hampshire, have all developed All Payer Claims Databases which collect information on both health care services Americans use, and amounts paid for those services. States can use these health care claims data to report better reporting to an All Care Claims Database, to inform patient and provider decisions regarding care; to allow payers to compare their rates to make sure that they are getting, you know, close to average or somewhere in there; and to allow policy makers to examine the drivers of health care costs over time; evaluate the effectiveness of various reform efforts; and measure the impact of mergers and acquisitions on health care price and quality.

However, legal barriers including contractual provisions, ERISA preemption, and trade secret laws currently hinder the utility of many existing price transparency initiatives.

So, what can Congress do? For transparency initiatives to receive -- to achieve their full effect at the state level, changes are needed at the federal level. And, fortunately, Congress has

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

484 the ability to address some of the most significant barriers to
485 price transparency. There are five things Congress can do to
486 improve health care price transparency:

487 Number one, and most important, address the ERISA preemption
488 challenges. The main goal of ERISA is to promote uniformity in
489 state regulations governing employee benefit plans. But over
490 time, ERISA's preemptive reach has expanded in ways that put this
491 goal of uniformity for employers over transparency, competition,
492 and affordability of health care for all Americans.

493 The Supreme Court decision in *Gobeille v. Liberty Mutual*
494 Insurance held that ERISA preempted state All Payer Claims
495 Databases, preempted their reporting requirements as applied to
496 self-insured employer plans. And this decision left state All
497 Payer Claims Databases without health care claims data for about
498 a third of their population, which greatly limits their accuracy
499 and their utility.

500 Essentially, trying to analyze the health care landscape
501 using data from an All Payer Claims Database without the
502 self-insured employer population is kind of akin to Google Maps,
503 trying to use Google Maps without a third of the road; right?

504 Enabling All Payer Claims Databases to collect the full set
505 of health care claims data would dramatically increase the utility
506 and reliability of these initiatives. While addressing ERISA
507 preemption of state health reform laws is the most important thing
508 that Congress can do to promote price transparency and bring down

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

509 health care costs, additional actions by Congress could also help
510 illuminate health care prices, which brings me to number two.

511 Congress should seek to encourage price shopping incentives
512 like reference pricing, rewards, and shared networks, through
513 demonstration and pilot projects.

514 Number three, Congress should create a public interest
515 exemption to Defend Trade Secrets Act of 2016. Health care
516 providers and insurers currently invoke trade secrets protection
517 to avoid disclosing negotiated health care prices and other
518 information to consumer, employers, researchers, and state
519 officials.

520 Trade secrets protections were designed to encourage and
521 protect innovation, like the Coca-Cola formula, not to permit
522 Coca-Cola and restaurateurs to hide its price on the menu and
523 then after you eat your meal give you a bill for a \$25 Coke.
524 Right?

525 Number four, Congress should require manufacturers of
526 electronic medical records and insurance companies to establish
527 uniform standards of interoperability and standard bundles of
528 care for billing purposes so that providers and patients can
529 access meaningful and actionable information about the cost to
530 the patient, who and what is in the patient's network, and the
531 quality of providers and services being offered to them when the
532 provider is making referrals during appointments.

533 And, number five, they should develop billing codes for a

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

534 physician's time spent in these efforts.

535 Thank you.

536 [The prepared statement of Ms. King follows:]

537

538 ***** INSERT 4*****

539 Mr. Harper. Thank you very much, Dr. King.

540 And the chair will now recognize Dr. Chernew for five minutes

541 for purposes of his opening statement.

STATEMENT OF MICHAEL CHERNEW

Mr. Chernew. Thank you very much, Chairman Harper, Ranking Member DeGette, members and staff. Thank you for the opportunity to speak with you today about price transparency in health care.

Before I launch into the main thrust of my comments I would like to emphasize that as an economist I believe strongly in markets. Well-functioning markets require buyers to effectively shop for the combination of price and quality that best meets their needs. And in the market for medical services, buyers, in this case patients, do not have the necessary information.

For that reason, one would think that efforts to promote price transparency in health care would be able to significantly lower the cost and perhaps improve the quality of care. In fact, this logic has spawned the creation of numerous transparency initiatives and tools, launched several innovative companies.

All of the major insurers that I'm aware of have some price transparency tools -- not all are great -- as do many other vendors in several states who are pursuing transparency-related programs.

Although there are a few studies that suggest transparency initiatives may be helpful, such as the one in New Hampshire, they've had a modest impact on the -- only had a modest impact on the spending for some services, at best. Overall, the evidence, unfortunately, suggests that the impact of transparency has been minimal.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

567 This reflects several institutional features of health care.
568 First, health care is complex. Any course of treatment or
569 diagnostic pathway is comprised of many individual services.
570 An accurate price quote requires knowing the exact service. This
571 is complex.

572 For example, there are over 50 codes for CT scans. In some
573 cases it is even unknowable because the exact service delivered
574 may change during the course of treatment based on clinical
575 information that arises during that treatment. Moreover, the
576 fees to the hospital and the physician are often separate. To
577 get an accurate price, they have to be combined. This makes it
578 hard, particularly for providers, to provide the information.

579 Imagine when shopping for a car consumers could only get
580 the average price of a specific car, and that the actual price
581 that they would pay depended on who put them together and the
582 customer's employer. The information would be of limited value.

583 Most transparency tools seek ways around this, but so far
584 there have not been great successes.

585 Second, physicians are central to almost all consequential
586 decisions in health care. Physician recommendations about where
587 to seek care appropriately carry enormous weight. As a result,
588 few patients shop for care. In our work, we find around 10 to
589 15 percent of patients use transparency tools when offered. This
590 result seems pretty standard in the literature. While it's
591 certainly true that patients can question or even ignore their

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

physician's referral recommendations, few do.

Third, consolidation in health care markets limits choice and, thus, competition in some markets. Specifically, competitive forces can only work when there are competing firms. As markets have consolidated, the potential for transparency or shopping more broadly diminishes.

Finally, insurance distorts choices. Patients fundamentally care about what they pay out of pocket. The out-of-pocket price will depend on the details of the patient's insurance plan and will change over time depending on things like whether they've met their deductible. As a result, one cannot accurately quote an out-of-pocket price without knowing details about the patient's health plan and how much they've often spent -- already spent, often for specific services. This implies that insurers are best suited to provide transparency information and, as noted, many do, although, as we've mentioned, with relatively little impact.

I do not mean to imply that transparency, or more generally price shopping for medical services, cannot work. Very simplified indicators such as flagging high-priced providers, as happened in some tiered insurance projects -- products can help, particularly when tied to benefit design. Moreover, transparency can have an impact even if this, even if it does not alter consumer behavior. The widespread availability of data may shame high-priced providers to lower their prices,

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

617 particularly when journalists have access.

618 There's some evidence that this can be salient in health
619 care. However, one has to proceed with caution, caution because
620 it's also possible that widespread availability of information
621 could alter the negotiation dynamics in other ways, leading to
622 higher prices for some patients. Because payers negotiate price
623 discounts with providers, if forced to reveal those discounts
624 the providers may be more reticent to offer them. And there's
625 some evidence of that in markets outside of health care.

626 So, where does this leave us? I'm generally supportive of
627 the initiatives, particularly the private sector ones that
628 simplify the information and focus on out-of-pocket prices. I'm
629 more skeptical about public sector initiatives that entail new
630 mandates on providers to provide data because it's particularly
631 hard to get that data right. I worry it will not substantially
632 improve the system, and may impose administrative costs.

633 There is certainly a lot we do not know. And while there
634 may be deleterious unintended consequences, most evidence is
635 either neutral or positive, and I think the shaming effect may
636 be important in the most egregious cases. Moreover, states are
637 experimenting in many ways, which should be allowed to play out.

638 So, there are a few fundamental things the Federal Government
639 could support those efforts.

640 The first, as was mentioned, is report the -- support the
641 ERISA exemption or get rid of the ERISA exemption.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

642 Providing financial support for All Payer Claims Databases
643 could be a wise investment.

644 And providing more funding to AHRQ or other federal agencies
645 to study what is actually working.

646 We have a lot of problems in health care, and I very much
647 applaud your efforts to seek a solution. But please do not let
648 transparency distract you from other strategies such as
649 supporting alternative payment models or addressing adverse
650 selection in the individual markets of health care that may be
651 more impactful.

652 Thank you.

653 [The prepared statement of Mr. Chernew follows:]

654

655 ***** INSERT 5*****

Mr. Harper. Thank you both for your testimony. It is now the opportunity, the moment that you have waited for, our members get to ask questions of each of you. That will help us very much in that process. And I will now recognize myself for five minutes for the purpose of that. And I will start with you, if I may, Dr. King.

You know, obviously it is clear that, you know, a lot of Americans struggle greatly with how to pay for their health care costs. And part of that is they never know how much it is going to cost until they see a bill sometime later. And as you noted in your testimony, a lot of transparency initiatives have focused on changing consumer behavior to encourage them to select lower price providers and services.

But can you elaborate on why these initiatives seem to have limited usage and have mixed results?

Ms. King. Yes.

Mr. Harper. Your mike.

Ms. King. Okay. So, I think there are largely four reasons why consumers don't tend to use these as much as we would like them to. And the first is that insurance often, the structure of insurance often insulates consumers from feeling the price, different prices for different providers.

If you pay a \$20 copay every time you go to the doctor, it doesn't really matter to you what type of doctor you go to; right?

So there is some, some function of that.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

681 The second is that the provider relationship is really
682 important to patients. And it turns out where we have seen price
683 transparency work is exactly on the thing that you noted before,
684 Chairman Harper, is on shoppable goods. We have seen some
685 movement there, where things that people find interchangeable.
686 Right?

687 So, you might go, you don't care where you go to an MRI,
688 to have your MRI tested or have your CT scan done. Those seem
689 likely to go to this lab or that lab, unless this lab or that
690 lab automatically supplies the results, you know, into your
691 electronic medical record and it, you know, goes directly to your
692 provider. That might make a difference to you.

693 But, generally, those are places where people are more
694 willing to shop.

695 Where they're less willing to shop is on provider; right?

696 They want a recommendation. Let's say that you, your child,
697 or your spouse, or your loved one just got diagnosed with cancer.

698 Are you really going to look at a list of providers and their
699 charges to decide where you're going to go? You're not. You're
700 going to go to a trusted primary care physician, or a family member
701 that's had experience with cancer and ask them who they went to
702 and who they had a good experience for.

703 So, I think the reality is is that health care is so important
704 that patients really want to get advice from someone they trust
705 and not the provider. And that's really why price transparency

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

706 initiatives that put pricing information that is relevant to the
707 patient in terms of their out-of-pocket costs in the hands of
708 the provider so it's there when they're making that decision,
709 I think have the most, the most, the greatest possibility of a
710 shifting choice on the provider side.

711 Mr. Harper. Okay.

712 Ms. King. And the last thing is that there's very, as Dr.
713 Chernew pointed out, there's very little standardization in
714 health care pricing; right? So, if you look at one, if you look
715 at one sheet and it says, well, you can get an MRI for \$300, but
716 then you don't know if the MRI needs specific, you know, dyes
717 or other things accompanying it, it's very hard for a patient
718 to navigate that and to figure out what the overarching price
719 will be for that.

720 Mr. Harper. All right. Thank you very much.

721 Dr. Chernew, in your testimony you noted that there are
722 several institutional features of health care that make it
723 difficult for transparency alone to have a significant impact
724 on the market. You do highlight, highlight however, that the
725 transparency initiatives are important as we move to a newer
726 innovative benefit designs that attempt to help patients shop.

727 Can you please elaborate on that point?

728 Mr. Chernew. Of course. So, let me say for those of you
729 that don't know or may not care, I chair the Benefits Committee
730 at Harvard University, which means I advise the provost on what

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

731 we, as an employer, should do for the benefits for our workers.
732 And we've been very worried about the variation of prices within
733 Massachusetts, which was pointed out. And so that was painful,
734 thank you.

735 So, when we think about what to do we start with how we might
736 change our benefit designs to incent our workers to make more
737 informed choices about providers. One cannot do that without
738 having the relevant information available. So, if you want to
739 do tiered network, if you want to do reference pricing, if you
740 want to do any type of benefit design that involves incenting
741 patients beyond a flat, say, \$20 copay, it's important that you
742 have the tools to provide information to them. In that way I
743 think transparency is important. And you should know all of our
744 vendors will provide such transparency tools should you decide
745 to do that.

746 Mr. Harper. Are the right to shop laws that also provide
747 the financial incentives for consumers to choose the lower cost
748 options perhaps, are they likely to have an impact do you think,
749 a bigger impact on spending?

750 Mr. Chernew. I'm not familiar enough with all of all the
751 laws, so I would defer to Dr. King. But I think that the general
752 sense that allowing patients to shop and supporting their ability
753 to shop when they want to I think is valuable. But because of
754 all of the institutional features I think that alone is not really
755 what's going to be helpful.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

756 What we really care a lot about is even if you're not shopping
757 you just may want to know up front what you're going to have to
758 pay. And just getting that, which seems incredibly reasonable,
759 is hard to do. And we're working through that.

760 Mr. Harper. Thank you very much.

761 The chair will now recognize the ranking member of the
762 subcommittee, Ms. DeGette, for five minutes.

763 Ms. DeGette. Thank you. Mr. Chairman, just to show how
764 bipartisan this subcommittee can be, you just asked my question.

765 So I am going to follow up on what you were talking about. And
766 I will start with you, Dr. King.

767 And what I want to ask you is what percentage of health care
768 costs are these things that would be negotiable to most patients,
769 the MRI, the lab tests, issues like that? And what percentage
770 is the things they are less likely to want to negotiate on, like
771 physician services?

772 Ms. King. I think it's a great question. And I am not,
773 I am not a health economist. I'm not studying, somebody who
774 studies all of that percentage, so I don't know exactly.

775 I know that in studies, there was a study done that looked
776 at Anthem, and United, and some other big health insurers, and
777 it suggested that if they had, if they used reference pricing
778 to -- for their shoppable items, for their laboratory tests, that
779 they would be able to bring down costs. I think it was on the
780 order of around 10 to 15 percent.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

781 So it may not be -- so I don't know the exact number of
782 laboratories. So maybe Dr. Chernew knows that.

783 Ms. DeGette. Well, he is a health economist.

784 Ms. King. Yes. He may know.

785 Ms. DeGette. So I think I will ask him that.

786 Mr. Chernew. In great humility, there's a lot of things
787 I don't know.

788 Ms. DeGette. Even though you are at Harvard?

789 Mr. Chernew. Especially because I'm at Harvard.

790 Ms. DeGette. Good answer.

791 So, so you don't have any idea what the percentage would
792 be reduced?

793 Mr. Chernew. Advocates of shopping will give you a very
794 big number, 60, 70 percent.

795 Ms. DeGette. Uh-huh.

796 Mr. Chernew. In for realistic numbers about what really
797 could be shopped, I think you're probably talking closer to 10
798 to 15 percent of services.

799 Ms. DeGette. That is the same thing Dr. King just said.

800 Now, now if you, if you did have increased transparency and
801 if you could encourage patients to actually look at the sources,
802 with physician costs even though, even though people, I mean I
803 am not going to pick the cut-rate physician over the, over the,
804 you know, more expensive one that I might -- that might have gotten
805 a good reference, or whatever. But, but would there be some

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

806 incentive for physicians to, on their own, maybe tamp down some
807 of their rates?

808 Mr. Chernew. So, the answer is if the markets were working
809 well there would be an incentive for physicians to change and
810 facilities to change their prices. And you've seen some of that.
811 I really don't associate that with transparency, I associate
812 that with benefit design, things like reference pricing.

813 I also think there's evidence, we've done a lot of work on
814 alternative payment models, which I know is not the specific
815 subject of this hearing, but when physicians are in payment models
816 that give them an incentive to shop --

817 Ms. DeGette. Right.

818 Mr. Chernew. -- they are much more active in shopping
819 because they, they will change their referral patterns if they
820 get to keep some of the savings if they're more efficient in their
821 referral patterns.

822 So, really I think transparency should be thought of as a
823 tool that supports other impactful things as opposed to an end
824 in and of itself.

825 Ms. DeGette. Dr. King, did you want to add to that?

826 Ms. King. Yes. So, on the, on the reference pricing point,
827 so the way that reference pricing works is if, you know, that
828 an insurer will pick, will pick a fee that it decides that it's,
829 an amount that it's willing to pay for a particular service.
830 And then any provider that charges above that, the patient has

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

831 to pay that out of pocket.

832 And what the studies have shown with respect to that is that
833 a number -- there's been a decent amount of savings from patients
834 saying they don't actually want to go to a higher-priced provider,
835 but there's been a 30 percent reduction in provider costs overall,
836 that they have dropped their prices to be under the reference
837 price to get a broader volume of patients. And so that might
838 be, that might prove to be helpful.

839 Ms. DeGette. Dr. Chernew, do you want to?

840 Mr. Chernew. I think Dr. King's referring to a study by
841 Jamie Robinson and colleagues about a program that CalPERS did
842 in California Anthem. There's a lot of things they did besides
843 just reference pricing. So it's a very complicated thing. And
844 they were a very big purchaser, which is helpful.

845 I think we looked at reference pricing for our employees.
846 And one of the problems we had was if you pick a price and then
847 the patient's responsible for the amount above that price, you
848 actually have a lot higher bills that they have to play.

849 Ms. DeGette. Right.

850 Mr. Chernew. Substantially higher bills. And the whole
851 reason you're here is because you're upset, I'm upset that the
852 patients are facing very substantial bills.

853 So, we are trying to find ways in our benefit design to
854 support shopping without going through the full risk that
855 reference pricing might impose on patients should they not shop.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

856 So, it's a complicated tradeoff.

857 Ms. DeGette. So, what did you do?

858 Mr. Chernew. We decided not to recommend reference pricing.

859 Ms. DeGette. Okay.

860 Mr. Chernew. And you should know, going in I really wanted
861 to recommend it because as an economist I thought it would be
862 a victory.

863 Ms. DeGette. Yeah. And so what it is sounding like to me
864 is that while we can, we can work on some of these transparency
865 issues -- Dr. King, you mentioned your five items and, don't worry,
866 they are in your testimony, too, so even though you were kind
867 of cut short -- but, but we should also look at other ways of
868 structuring these insurance plans which may make, which may make
869 incentives for providers versus just the patients.

870 Thank you. Thank you, I yield back.

871 Mr. Harper. The gentlewoman yields back.

872 The chair will now recognize the vice chairman of this
873 subcommittee, the gentleman from Virginia, Mr. Griffith, for five
874 minutes.

875 Mr. Griffith. Thank you very much. Appreciate you all
876 being here today. And obviously this is a very complicated
877 subject, and I do appreciate it.

878 I wish there was some way people could go in and say I have
879 got to have this procedure and, like a car, you could say if you
880 are getting this, you know, the fancy wheels then you pay more,

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

881 et cetera. But it seems that that is outside of our realm right
882 now. Although one would hope that with all these young computer
883 whizzes coming on that somebody might be able to figure out how
884 to, how to plug all that in.

885 And I do agree that there are some things, I mean, I am going
886 to pay more for the doctor that I know. Happy to do that, and
887 able to do that, fortunately. Some people aren't. And so we
888 have to try to look at some of the things that you all already
889 talked about in relationship to insurance and getting, you know,
890 the ability to say, you know, how much is this going to cost me
891 out of pocket before you go forward I think is important. And
892 you all touched on that as well.

893 So, you all are dealing with this huge, complicated matter.
894 And my questions are much simpler. We, you know, I have just
895 been really concerned. We had a hearing in the Health
896 Subcommittee where we had all the providers lined up. And it
897 was shocking, I had heard rumors but they actually confirmed that
898 because of the way the system currently works there are cases
899 where you could go to your pharmacist with your insurance company
900 and your PBM and say, I want to get this drug, how much will it
901 cost me if I don't use my insurance? And sometimes it is less
902 if you don't use your insurance than it is if you do use your
903 insurance because of the complicated formulas, and so forth.

904 And Todd Pillion, Delegate Todd Pillion in my district out
905 of Abingdon, Virginia, got a bill through the Virginia legislation

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

906 -- I heard there were 22 others this morning -- that said you
907 can't have those gag orders anymore.

908 Dr. King, do the states eliminating those gag orders, do
909 we find that that make a whole lot of difference when they go
910 to the pharmacy? Do they sometimes figure out that they are
911 better off nothing using their insurance because of the PBMs,
912 et cetera?

913 Ms. King. Thank you. It's a great question.

914 So, I think a lot of these laws are new and so we haven't
915 been able to really do the studies on them. But I think in terms
916 of allowing pharmacists to actually say to the client at the desk,
917 by the way, if you go outside your insurance or you get this generic
918 you can save a lot of money, I can't, because pharmaceutical drugs
919 in a large respect are those kinds of interchangeable drugs, you
920 know, interchangeable products, and so I think that that will
921 have, should have some substantial effect. And the idea that
922 they were prevented from doing so by contract before is
923 unconscionable to me. So, I think it's great.

924 Mr. Griffith. Mr. Carter has a bill I am glad to be a
925 cosponsor of to make that a federal policy. And it is really
926 interesting. I was discussing it back home and lady said, yeah,
927 that happened to my sister by accident. Her insurance company
928 initially stated that they wouldn't pay. And so she paid for
929 the prescription herself. Then when it came time to renew they
930 said, oh, we changed our minds, we will pay for that particular

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

931 prescription, and she found out it was more.

932 She called her pharmacy and said, what is this, it cost me
933 more when I am using my insurance?

934 Ms. King. Yes.

935 Mr. Griffith. He says, yeah, I can't tell you about that
936 but, you know, if you will ask me to do it outside of your insurance
937 you will only have to 17 instead of paying 50.

938 Ms. King. Right.

939 Mr. Griffith. And so, I think it is something we need to
940 pass. And there are a fair number of patrons on that.

941 But it was clear to me that we need to look at the PBMs along
942 with all the other things that you all are mentioning as part
943 of the transparency. I know they serve good purpose.

944 But, again, Virginia on this, and it is my home state, that
945 is why I keep referencing, but we had Delegate Keith Hodges out
946 of Gloucester directed the State Bureau of Insurance to report
947 to the General Assembly about how PBMs charge for their services
948 and whether they save money or make health care costlier. Among
949 the findings of the first PBM transparency report as a result
950 of his work, mandated by that language, last year there were
951 152,250 payments, with total PBM markups of 3.5 million between
952 July 1 and September 21.

953 The differential or spread on each claim ranged from 1 penny
954 to \$4,932.

955 Do you think that having more transparency and more oversight

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

956 over PBMs and what they are doing -- I know they work hard in
957 some cases and save money, but in other cases they are actually
958 costing the consumer -- do you think that would help?

959 Ms. King. Yes, I do.

960 Mr. Griffith. Dr. King, you do.

961 Dr. Chernew, do you have an opinion?

962 Mr. Chernew. You can call me Michael, please.

963 Mr. Griffith. Michael.

964 Mr. Chernew. I think as a matter of principle people should
965 be able to get the information that they need. So, just on the
966 pure principle of it.

967 In terms of the market demand, that gets much more
968 complicated. I, I didn't talk about prescription drugs because
969 a lot of the situation that you're discussing arises because of
970 the complicated rebate rules that are going on in the prescription
971 drug market. And those rebates both, they both in some ways they
972 help markets work, but in other ways, and I think more dominantly,
973 they make it much more complicated and much more difficult to
974 have markets work well in health care.

975 And so, I think that while we could debate conceptually what
976 the ability, you should have the ability to negotiate, I think
977 the fact though we live in an environment where it's just so
978 complex for people to get the price and get simple information,
979 they're told that by contract they're not allowed to tell them,
980 I think it's just a matter of principle that the situation

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

981 shouldn't arise, even though it may well result in some people
982 paying more because the discount that currently the PBMs can get
983 might be less because they don't want everybody to know when
984 they're getting the discount. That's basically what the problem
985 is.

986 Mr. Griffith. All right, I appreciate it. And I think that
987 for a lot of our folks back home, they don't understand all the
988 big stuff. But they understand when they go to their pharmacist
989 and they feel like they are being overcharged.

990 I appreciate it, and yield back.

991 Mr. Harper. The gentleman yields back.

992 The chair will now recognize the gentlewoman from Florida,
993 Ms. Castor, for five minutes.

994 Ms. Castor. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

995 I want to return to what providers and insurers can do to
996 help lower the costs through their transparency efforts. Because
997 I think you correctly stated how folks feel, that if their doctor
998 recommends something, I mean, it is pretty rare that a patient,
999 a neighbor is going to go shop and do something else.

1000 So, Dr. Chernew, you, you said, okay, alternative payment
1001 models can be one way. What else on physicians, because they
1002 play such a central role on consumer behavior?

1003 Mr. Chernew. So, first let me say I really wish I could
1004 come here with some silver bullet and solve the problem. And
1005 I can't. Because anything I'm about to say is going to have

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1006 potential deleterious consequences.

1007 Most of the insurers I know, all of the insurers I actually
1008 know, are struggling to find ways to address the health care cost
1009 problem. It is not that insurers want health care spending to
1010 be high or they're not working on it.

1011 Essentially what matters is the interaction between the
1012 patient and the physician, the treatment that's given, and the
1013 price that we pay for that. The way to address that is some
1014 combination of payment reform and benefit design. And you're
1015 seeing a ton of private sector initiatives to do that. And where
1016 we are right now is employers in the market sorting through which
1017 ones work for them in which particular ways, and we're trying
1018 to learn what works better than not.

1019 So, alternative payment models honestly is my favorite.
1020 I'm a big believer in benefit design changes. So the evidence
1021 on high deductible health plans that are HSA coupled isn't as
1022 strong as I would like as an economist in general. There's some
1023 things that I would recommend, like the way chronic care
1024 medications are treated in the HSAs is something I think are
1025 probably a good thing to help people being able to shop. Things
1026 like that.

1027 But there is not a specific federal thing that one can do.

1028 And the challenge that you will face -- and again I say this
1029 in a totally non-partisan way -- is where the regulations should
1030 step in and stop at least the most egregious cases. Because there

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1031 are some really out-of-network billing things, there's some
1032 really egregious cases that are just unconscionable that should
1033 probably be stopped by regulation. And I honestly think that
1034 transparency is not the mechanism to get at those types of things.

1035 To the extent that the private sector can build transparency
1036 tools, which I am supportive of, and the states can try different
1037 ways through their All Payer Claims Databases, I think that is
1038 wonderful. But I think fundamentally my advice would be focus
1039 on rules to prevent the most egregious situations where people
1040 in an emergency room are paying some huge out-of-pocket thing.

1041 Ms. Castor. Right.

1042 Mr. Chernew. And telling them that matters. But,
1043 honestly, I would say just prevent that.

1044 Ms. Castor. So and, Dr. King, your number one
1045 recommendation was on ERISA. And ERISA was a law passed in the
1046 1970s that said, you know, across the country you have to have
1047 certain standards.

1048 Ms. King. Uh-huh.

1049 Ms. Castor. So, why would that be so important for us to
1050 get into to help lower health care costs? You want to empower
1051 the states to do additional things I guess?

1052 Ms. King. So, basically ERISA, the way that it is written
1053 because it's trying to promote uniformity and place benefit plan
1054 regulation across all 50 states has a very broad preemption
1055 scheme. Which means that it will come in and negate any state

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1056 law that relates to an employee benefit plan, including all the
1057 employer health plans.

1058 Now, there is a savings clause as a part of ERISA which says
1059 that any state insurance law that directly regulates insurance
1060 will be saved from ERISA preemption. But there's the next part
1061 of ERISA says that it doesn't deem self-insured employer plans
1062 to be insurance, even though that's the way that the vast majority,
1063 you know, or at least half of our employees get their insurance
1064 is through self-insured employer plans. Right?

1065 So, any law that's passed by a state to regulate health
1066 insurance or employer-based insurance is going to be preempted
1067 by ERISA as it applies to about half of our employees. And --

1068 Ms. Castor. Who would oppose it?

1069 Ms. King. I think, I think industry would oppose it.
1070 Right? They, they like not having regulations apply to them in
1071 that way. But it is crippling state All Payer Claims Databases,
1072 which have demonstrated that they can do a lot.

1073 They're doing a lot with the information they have. But
1074 if they had all the claims, health care claims in a particular
1075 state, they could really get a handle on what's driving cost,
1076 where is competition not working, what thing, what mergers and
1077 acquisitions should or shouldn't go through.

1078 And it also provides the foundation for every, like, for
1079 the majority of other, the other solutions we're talking about,
1080 so, allowing individuals to have better price information for

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1081 what it would cost them, for putting that information into the
1082 hands of providers, I mean providers and insurers. Like, it would
1083 just sort of seed a lot of other efforts. Reference pricing would
1084 be based on that, and other things.

1085 So, I think addressing the ERISA problem -- and I have a
1086 number of ways, a number of ideas of how you could do that --
1087 I think is foundational to any sort of transparency initiative
1088 that you would propose.

1089 Ms. Castor. Thank you very much. I yield back.

1090 Mr. Harper. The gentlewoman yields back.

1091 The chair will now recognize the gentleman from Texas, Mr.
1092 Barton, for five minutes.

1093 Mr. Barton. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And it is always good
1094 to have hearings like this to try to, through bipartisan basis,
1095 get facts on the table.

1096 My first question is just kind of a general question. I
1097 have been on this committee 32 years. I have been involved with
1098 some of the major health care issues over a number of times.
1099 One of the most vexing issues we face is pricing drugs. And to
1100 my mind, except for the long-time over-the-counter drugs like
1101 aspirin and things of this sort, there is no rational explanation
1102 for how we price drugs.

1103 I think, I think the over-the-counter drugs that have been
1104 on the market for decades, in some cases hundreds of years, they
1105 are pretty much priced like any other commodity and it is

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1106 cost-based, distribution-based, advertising, you know. You pay
1107 more for Bayer aspirin than you do for the Walmart generic brand,
1108 but they are basically aspirin.

1109 But I, I would like you, Dr. Chernew, to go back to the Harvard
1110 Business School and have them come up with a flow chart and
1111 explanation of how we price Lipitor, or how we price Plavix, or
1112 how we price the new stem cell-based drugs. Do either one of
1113 you want to defend the current pricing system for these, these
1114 new drugs that are coming on the market, or even try to explain
1115 it?

1116 Mr. Chernew. When you said comment, I thought you were going
1117 to say comment, I was going to jump in. When you said defend
1118 I had to back off.

1119 But I, I will do my best. The --

1120 Mr. Barton. Do it in about 30 seconds because I have got
1121 two or three questions. Give me the executive summary.

1122 Mr. Chernew. New drugs provide great value. I think that
1123 is undisputable.

1124 Mr. Barton. I agree with that.

1125 Mr. Chernew. We have a patent system that supports them.
1126 And the drug companies charge what the market will bear. And
1127 that, fundamentally, both gets us really good drugs and creates
1128 huge amounts of problems.

1129 And that was my 30 seconds. I'm happy to talk more.

1130 Mr. Barton. Well, that is pretty rational. The drug

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1131 manufacturers charge what they think the market will bear. But
1132 you go through these convoluted, you know, average wholesale
1133 pricing and 340B discount drug program.

1134 Mr. Chernew. That's all just a distraction. They're
1135 basically charging what the market would bear. And because of
1136 a bunch of rules, it's much more complicated than that. And the
1137 question is how we want to support innovation and pharmaceuticals,
1138 which we want to support because it --

1139 Mr. Barton. We do.

1140 Mr. Chernew. And that's where the problem comes in.

1141 Mr. Barton. Dr. King. Then I have got two more questions.

1142 Ms. King. I just want to interject that I think Dr. Chernew
1143 is totally right that we get, we tend to get good value for new
1144 drugs, for most of them. Where we're really not getting good
1145 value is where we've already had a drug that has been on patent,
1146 expired its patent life, and then they change a tiny little bit
1147 of this drug, get an entirely new patent, run prices up for 20
1148 more years. There's a lot of things that we are not getting good
1149 value for that remain in patent.

1150 And if you want to look strongly at how to fix drug pricing,
1151 I would look at how drugs are patented and what we allow a whole
1152 re-upping on the patent.

1153 Mr. Barton. I think that is valid.

1154 All right, I want to go to the very bottom line here. I
1155 have a constituent in Texas, a real estate agent who is on

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1156 Medicare. And her doctor gave her a coupon for a prescription
1157 drug covered by Medicare. She took it to her pharmacist and the
1158 pharmacist said, Great, but I can't, I can't take this coupon
1159 because you are on Medicare. Medicare doesn't take coupons.

1160 So I got with the Congressional Research Service and some
1161 other groups and found out that for some reason when we established
1162 Medicare we don't allow senior citizens -- and we started covering
1163 prescription drugs -- we don't allow senior citizens to use
1164 coupons if they are under Medicare.

1165 So, Congressman Doyle and I have got a bill, we are going
1166 to introduce it either this week or next week, that says if you
1167 are on Medicare you can -- and you have got a coupon from your
1168 doctor, you can't use them for generic drugs, but for any other
1169 drug you can. Good idea, bad idea?

1170 Mr. Chernew. So, I appreciate your constituent's problems.

1171 I think the challenge is most of the time in the patent system
1172 what the market will bear is not distorted by insurance. In
1173 health care it's distorted by insurance. So the problem is if
1174 you take any consumer incentive away by the coupon, the actual
1175 price for the drug the market will bear goes up. And that's what
1176 the tension is, is that if you want the consumers to --

1177 Mr. Barton. Well, then the manufacturer doesn't have to
1178 give the coupon. If they don't give the coupon to the doctor,
1179 the doctor doesn't give it to the patient.

1180 Mr. Chernew. No, the manufacturer likes giving coupons

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1181 because then they charge a higher price and the insurer can't
1182 use the cost function.

1183 Mr. Barton. Then we should just stiff the Medicare
1184 recipients?

1185 Mr. Chernew. Is my time up? I hope so.

1186 [Laughter.]

1187 Mr. Barton. It is not complicated if you are an elected
1188 member of Congress and all of a sudden Medicare recipients start
1189 showing up at their town, town hall.

1190 Mr. Chernew. Yes. I, I totally agree. The challenge at
1191 the core is you want the market to discipline the providers, which
1192 requires people having to pay. And when people have to pay, it
1193 turns out they don't like having to pay. And therein lies the
1194 problem with coupons and a bunch of other distortionary things.

1195 So, I agree with you. And we'll have to have a longer
1196 conversation on how to deal with it.

1197 Mr. Barton. I think that is yes, he agrees with me.

1198 Mr. Harper. The gentleman yields back.

1199 The chair will now recognize the gentlewoman from New York,
1200 Ms. Clarke, for five minutes.

1201 Ms. Clarke. I thank you, Chairman Harper, Ranking Member
1202 DeGette, for convening this important hearing examining state
1203 efforts to improve transparency of health care costs for
1204 consumers. Additionally, I want to thank our witnesses for
1205 providing your expert testimony here this morning.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1206 This is a critical issue that is most deserving of Congress'
1207 attention as we work with industry to ensure consumers have a
1208 positive experience on their health care journey. In my home
1209 State of New York, since 2015 we have an out-of-network law that
1210 protects patients from surprise billing when services are
1211 performed by non-participating providers. This same law also
1212 protects New Yorkers from bills for emergency services.

1213 The focus on transparency and consumer protection are needed
1214 so that consumers will not have to continue paying more than their
1215 usual in-network cost sharing and/or copayment amounts.

1216 So, I have a couple of questions. Dr. King, how effective
1217 have state efforts been to ban surprise out-of-network hospital
1218 bills? And what more should we be doing to prevent this?

1219 Ms. King. Thank you. It's a great question.

1220 I think surprise billing is a really important issue for
1221 just consumer protection in general. So I think that there have
1222 -- we have seen a number of different types of laws to protect
1223 consumers from surprise billing. So there are those that, as
1224 Dr. Chernew said, ban the practice outright, just say you will
1225 not be exposed, especially in emergency services, you will not
1226 be exposed to prices that are higher than your out of -- than
1227 your in-network copay for emergency services and other things.

1228 There are also -- and I think those are very effective.
1229 At least they're protecting the consumer. And then we allow the
1230 bigger fish in the game, the insurance companies and the

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1231 providers, to hash it out over what are reasonable reimbursement
1232 rates. And that's what we have in California.

1233 But there are others, there are lots of states that are
1234 passing laws right now that just say that a person should be
1235 informed that they may be being seen by an out-of-network
1236 provider, or that they, when they arrive at the emergency room,
1237 someone who takes care of them might be an out-of-network provider
1238 and they might experience other charges.

1239 And I think that these laws, while well-intentioned, don't
1240 reflect accurately the reality of the patient experience. If
1241 you show up at the emergency room, you are in an emergency
1242 situation. You are signing whatever it is that you're signing
1243 and then you're going to get help. And I think that someone
1244 telling you that you may be subject to out-of-network law,
1245 out-of-network bills at that point is not that helpful for you.

1246 So, I think we need to focus on the laws that seven states
1247 have passed that really just make it very clear that patients
1248 in these specific situations will not be subject to copays that
1249 are higher than what their in-network charges would be, and then
1250 let everybody else hash it out.

1251 Ms. Clarke. Okay. And, Dr. Chernew, in your written
1252 testimony you note that efforts in New Hampshire have had a modest
1253 impact on health care spending. What was it about the reforms
1254 in New Hampshire that have enabled costs to go down, albeit
1255 slightly?

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1256 Mr. Chernew. So, the study by Zach Brown in Columbia is
1257 what I, who is at Columbia is what I was referring to. And they
1258 found by looking at MRIs what I consider to be a modest impact
1259 on a service where you often see impacts, like MRIs.

1260 So I think there were some things about that. They had
1261 insurer-specific prices. They knew whether you were in your
1262 deductible or were not in your deductible, things like that.

1263 So, I think as those laws go that's a reasonable law. I
1264 think it's a mistake to believe that doing things like that are
1265 going to solve the basic problems. And as far as I know, New
1266 Hampshire has not really solved all of the problems. Maybe
1267 there's someone here from New Hampshire.

1268 But I think in the end of the day through their All Payer
1269 Claims Database they were able to do some things that were
1270 valuable. And to the extent that you can support the All Payer
1271 Claims Databases, I think you might be able to help on the margins
1272 the system get a bit better.

1273 I still think private sector initiatives could have the
1274 potential to be more impactful.

1275 Ms. Clarke. So, Dr. King, could you describe any other
1276 promising state efforts to improve transparency of health care
1277 costs for their citizens?

1278 Ms. King. Yes. I'll comment just really briefly on New
1279 Hampshire and then I'll talk a little bit about Massachusetts
1280 as well.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1281 So, one of the things that New Hampshire did through their
1282 All Payer Claims Database is they have a website called New
1283 Hampshire Health Costs which you can go into. And I, I checked
1284 it out this morning because I had heard good things about it.

1285 And basically as a, as a patient you can go there and check off
1286 this is the health insurance plan that I am in, I am in Anthem
1287 and I want to get this kind of procedure, and I want to do it
1288 with this particular provider. And they'll tell you, they'll
1289 run down the cost. And they'll run down the cost for that provider
1290 and they'll show you how it, how it compares to other providers.

1291 Now, that doesn't tell you your specific out-of-pocket costs
1292 and it doesn't tell you where you are in your personal deductible,
1293 but I think that is more helpful than what we've seen in a lot
1294 of other states' price transparency initiatives.

1295 Now, the other, the other state that I want to highlight
1296 here is Massachusetts. And Massachusetts has gone a long way
1297 with their All Payer Claims Databases. But they also have their
1298 Health Policy Commission, which is an arm that is designed to
1299 analyze that information and really mine the All Payer Claims
1300 Database for a whole host of policy reasons. And they've been
1301 able to interject and produce reports, annual reports on spending,
1302 annual reports on the drivers of costs, but also interject in
1303 a number of different places where, where that information would
1304 not have otherwise been available to inform policy decisions,
1305 but also to inform patients in that case.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1306 So I think there are consumer-facing things that are very
1307 useful, although I do agree that some of the private initiatives
1308 from insurers are better. But I do think that having the Health
1309 Policy Commission there to really analyze that data has been a
1310 very useful step as well.

1311 Ms. Clarke. Thank you. I yield back.

1312 Mr. Harper. The gentlewoman yields back.

1313 The chair will now recognize the gentleman from Texas, Dr.
1314 Burgess, for five minutes.

1315 Mr. Burgess. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And I have got way
1316 more questions than I can package into five minutes, but we will
1317 do our best. And I may submit some for the record.

1318 I do appreciate both of you being here today. Let me just
1319 ask you a question, Dr. Chernew, since you brought up about the
1320 private sector initiatives versus the All Payer Claims Databases.

1321 I pointed out in my opening statement, Texas has Texas
1322 PricePoint. I believe it is Texas Hospital Association that has
1323 done that. So, good on them for having done that. But is, is
1324 that not helpful for them to have done it? Does that delay getting
1325 an All Payer Claims Database set up in the state? What are some
1326 of the tensions there?

1327 Mr. Chernew. I think it is at the end of the day probably
1328 marginally helpful as opposed to not. I don't think it delays
1329 All Payer Claims Databases.

1330 I think because all health care is local and the states are

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1331 going to do different things, I'm sort of a state experimentation
1332 kind of person in this space. I wish I could tell you I knew
1333 what would work. I don't like sounding as skeptical as I am.

1334 So I think the more we can allow states to do different things
1335 and then study what they're doing, I think the better.

1336 Mr. Burgess. And, Dr. King, do you have any thoughts on
1337 that?

1338 Ms. King. I tend to agree. I think that on balance it's
1339 probably helpful. I think any attempts to provide transparency
1340 are generally useful. I don't think it probably delayed an All
1341 Payer Claims Database unless you were considering that as the
1342 alternative option and went with this one.

1343 I think that an All Payer Claims -- so, in terms of the private
1344 entity tools, I think those tend to be much more useful for the
1345 -- for consumers. Right? And so, United Healthcare they go in,
1346 you type in your name, you get into the system, and it tells you
1347 what your actual, where you are in your deductible, what your
1348 copay would be for different people.

1349 And I think All Payer Claims Databases allow you to use the
1350 information for a lot of different purposes; right? So that's
1351 the, that's sort of the difference. One is very targeted at
1352 individuals, but you also have to be in the plan in order to see
1353 that information.

1354 Mr. Burgess. Sure.

1355 Ms. King. Right? You can't get that information when

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1356 you're choosing your plan. Although Massachusetts I think just
1357 has a law coming down that, that would enable that, for you to
1358 see different prices as though you were in different plans.

1359 Mr. Burgess. Txpricepoint.org you would not have to be in
1360 a plan. I mean, that is a --

1361 Ms. King. No. But it tells you --

1362 Mr. Burgess. -- public hospital provides database.

1363 Ms. King. But it doesn't tell you the price that you would
1364 pay for your insurer.

1365 Mr. Burgess. No, it does not.

1366 Ms. King. Right. So that is very hard to know what to do
1367 with those prices.

1368 Mr. Burgess. So, every time I see that TrueCar ad on T.V.
1369 I wonder why we don't have TrueCar for health care. But then
1370 as someone who had a health savings and account for years and
1371 year and always has paid the highest out-of-pocket costs for
1372 everything, hospital labs included, I was a big believer when
1373 I first heard about Theranos. And I thought, oh man, a cheap
1374 way to get a bunch of blood tests done. I'm all in. Except the
1375 reliability suffers.

1376 Ms. King. Yeah.

1377 Mr. Burgess. So that is the -- there is a caveat there,
1378 I guess. Is that correct, the correct observation?

1379 Mr. Chernew. Yeah. And remember, it's TrueCar, it's not
1380 TrueCarborator; right? And it's TrueCar.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1381 Mr. Burgess. So, I think, Dr. Chernew, I think you mentioned
1382 the alternative payment methods. And going back to when the
1383 Secretary of Labor was Secretary of Health and Human Services
1384 he did a demonstration project, a physician group practice
1385 demonstration project where they dealt with some alternative
1386 payment mechanisms. I think, if I understand the history
1387 correctly, ACOs kind of grew out from there.

1388 But can you, can you speak to that? Is there a way to foster
1389 the development of what perhaps Secretary Leavitt's original idea
1390 was there?

1391 Mr. Chernew. Yeah. And I think, again maybe a little far
1392 afield, Medicare has been very innovative in the whole range of
1393 payment models. But I also can't tell you what the right type
1394 of payment models are. But I think --

1395 Mr. Burgess. Neither can we. But we are learning, I hope.

1396 Mr. Chernew. There you go. But the more we support
1397 alternative payment models, in many ways the better.

1398 One thing that I think does matter is to understand that
1399 the price from the point of view from the physician is different
1400 than the price from the point of view of the patient because the
1401 patient is buying some episode of care. The physician is
1402 delivering a small part of that, the same with the facilities.

1403 So, the more for example supporting bundled payments, which
1404 Medicare is doing, the more you can support that type of thing,
1405 and the more payment moves towards more consumer-oriented sets

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1406 of things that are being purchased, the closer you get to
1407 transparency because then someone will know what does it cost
1408 for a colonoscopy, not what does it cost for the technical
1409 component, the professional component, the anesthesia component,
1410 et cetera, et cetera.

1411 Mr. Burgess. But people still buy on provider as well as
1412 on price. Which just brings me to the final thought, and I will
1413 close my section out.

1414 In the lead-up to the Affordable Care Act there was a lot
1415 of concern about physician-owned hospitals. And in fact,
1416 remember, physician-owned hospitals got whacked in the Affordable
1417 Care Act. Mr. Chairman, I am going to ask unanimous consent to
1418 insert a letter or a article into the record about physician
1419 behavior with physician-owned facilities.

1420 Back in my world it was all about time. I got paid the same
1421 amount, regardless whether the patient went to an ambulatory
1422 surgery center or to a community hospital. The lab processing
1423 from my reimbursement's perspective was identical. But the cost
1424 to the patients was a fixed rate in an ambulatory surgery center,
1425 and the sky's the limit in the community hospital. I am
1426 oversimplifying. But nevertheless, that is I think one of the
1427 pressures that we are going to have to consider as we work through
1428 these.

1429 But, again, I ask unanimous consent to put this article into
1430 the record.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1431 Mr. Harper. Without objection.

1432 [The information follows:]

1433

1434 ***** COMMITTEE INSERT 6*****

1435 Mr. Harper. The gentleman yields back.

1436 The chair now recognize --

1437 Mr. Burgess. I want the gentlelady from Colorado to read
1438 it before she accepts. I thought I had found a way to get you
1439 to read my articles.

1440 Ms. DeGette. I will take your word.

1441 Mr. Burgess. All right. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, I yield
1442 back.

1443 Mr. Harper. And that was on the record by the way.

1444 And the chair will now recognize --

1445 Ms. DeGette. But not under oath.

1446 Mr. Harper. Not under oath.

1447 But the chair will now recognize the gentleman from
1448 California, Mr. Ruiz, for five minutes.

1449 Mr. Ruiz. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

1450 Overall we know transparency is a good thing and leads to
1451 better understandings of market dynamics and better ways to help
1452 everybody come up with good policy that is going to really lead
1453 to a more cost-efficient way of providing better health care for
1454 the American people. However, there are certain things that
1455 transparency is good for and the market really focuses on.

1456 Like, for example, if you had the ability to make the choice,
1457 and knowledge to know the difference between the products in a
1458 situation where you can actually make a decision, and not under
1459 duress, or when you are in a coma, or when you are in cardiac

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1460 arrest or something going into the emergency department, and there
1461 are some things that, that transparency, you know, obviously can
1462 work.

1463 So, in your statement, however, Dr. Chernew, you note in
1464 your testimony that "many studies, including several of my own
1465 and those of my colleagues, find that transparency has minimal,
1466 if any, impact on the market." You go on to explain why
1467 transparency results in only minimal impact on price.

1468 Dr. Chernew, it sounds like the bottom line is that it is
1469 somewhat folly to rely upon transparency as the magic bullet to
1470 bring down health care costs. Is that correct?

1471 Mr. Chernew. Yes.

1472 Mr. Ruiz. Okay. In what situation does transparency work?

1473 Mr. Chernew. When there's more commodity type services,
1474 when they're not as connected to things and you have time to shop
1475 I think transparency works.

1476 I think independent of shopping, transparency works just
1477 to tell people what they would have to pay out of pocket. Just
1478 knowing. So, you're not going to shop, it's just you don't want
1479 to get a bill after the fact that's way higher than you thought.

1480 So, I think transparency is useful. I think it needs to
1481 be coupled with other things.

1482 Mr. Ruiz. But you are saying it is not what we should be
1483 focusing on?

1484 Mr. Chernew. I think there's a lot of reasons why health

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1485 care markets don't function well. Transparency I would put down
1486 on my list for what that's true.

1487 I think it's important, let me say, what I worry about, for
1488 example, is insurance inherently, unlike most products is a pooled
1489 product. I'm in with a lot of other people on the same plan.

1490 I worry that if we allow the benefit packages to deteriorate
1491 to the point where people are paying a lot out of pocket and we
1492 separate that market through a range of things that are going
1493 on that I won't mention -- it might be too partisan, I don't mean
1494 ti to be -- that people have higher out-of-pocket bills because
1495 they won't understand when they bought the insurance plan what
1496 was covered. They'll go to the doctor and they'll realize that
1497 what they thought was insurance wasn't that good. And it's very
1498 hard to make that work well.

1499 Mr. Ruiz. So, do you think that putting too much weight
1500 on transparency to reduce health care costs is a distraction?

1501 Mr. Chernew. I worry that that's the case.

1502 Mr. Ruiz. Okay. You know, I am a doctor. And I know that,
1503 you know, patients rely on doctors' knowledge, and training, and
1504 years of experience to make decisions that will be to the best
1505 benefit for the patients. And I know that it is difficult for
1506 patients to then, if an orthopedic surgeon says I recommend a
1507 titanium type of metal for your knee replacement, that a patient
1508 in general is not going to do the research or have the know-how
1509 in order to determine what kind of equipment they want for their,

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1510 for their knee to make that best judgment.

1511 But I do think that there is some value in transparency.

1512 I think it is just what Dr. Burgess said earlier, you know, it
1513 is insane that one hospital will charge, you know, I don't know,
1514 I'm just making these numbers up, but 2,000 for a colonoscopy.

1515 And then, like, across the city in the same, same region another
1516 hospital charges 10,000. So it is why is that?

1517 And we should understand where are the mechanics that go
1518 into that so that we can identify, in those cases when you do
1519 have the time to choose, which, which studies or which, which
1520 equipment you want where you can have the knowledge and have the
1521 time, and under the situation, to make that possible, I think
1522 we should focus on that.

1523 But, Dr. Chernew, you also mentioned that if the objective
1524 is to meaningfully reduce health care costs, other strategies
1525 such as addressing adverse selection in the individual market
1526 for health care may be more fruitful. Can you, can you expand
1527 on that?

1528 So, if the objective is to lower costs are there ways to
1529 combine transparency initiative with some of these other efforts
1530 to lower costs? Can you, can you go into that?

1531 Mr. Chernew. Well, let me talk about two separate things
1532 very quickly. The first one is transparency is important to
1533 support almost all of the various new benefit design things we
1534 do. It's important for a range of public regulation things.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1535 I think there's a bunch of reasons why transparency matters.
1536 And I think it's unconscionable, some of the stories that I'm
1537 sure your constituents have told you. I think that's a really
1538 big deal.

1539 That said, the biggest problems we have in a lot of health
1540 care markets aren't related to transparency, they're related to
1541 how we hold the market together and how the benefit design packages
1542 play out. So, at Harvard we control exactly the benefit package.
1543 We push everybody into it. It's pooled, it works.

1544 If you allow markets to spin out of control and let people
1545 do various things there's implications of that that differ from
1546 markets for cars, or markets for asparagus, or things like that.

1547 So, figuring out how to address those types of problems so you
1548 don't have individuals that end up in insurance plans where
1549 they're going to be charged a lot out of pocket I think are
1550 important.

1551 Mr. Ruiz. Harvard. Harvard Business School?

1552 Mr. Chernew. Harvard University. Harvard University has
1553 a Benefits Committee that offers benefits for all of the schools.

1554 Mr. Ruiz. Okay.

1555 Mr. Chernew. So, Business, the Medical School, the main
1556 part. And we advise the Provost, for the non-union workers, about
1557 how to deal with our challenges. And we have a lot of challenges.

1558 Mr. Harper. The gentleman yields back.

1559 The chair will now recognize the gentlewoman from Indiana,

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1560 the chair of our Ethics Committee, Ms. Brooks, for five minutes.

1561 Mrs. Brooks. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

1562 And I want to stay on that line of questioning, Dr. Chernew.

1563 Speaking of employers, and you mentioned Harvard specifically,
1564 and even some insurers provide transparency tools to their members
1565 or their employees, and have redesigned plans and networks to
1566 encourage price shopping, can you describe some of the features
1567 of the price transparency tools that are adopted by employers
1568 and insurers, whether it is Harvard or others, and how they differ
1569 from the state transparency initiatives?

1570 Mr. Chernew. Yeah. So, and again Dr. King mentioned, so
1571 if you are in a plan that offers one of these types of transparency
1572 tools and you know you need a service, you can go in and type
1573 the service. Now, that actually sounds easy. But remember, if
1574 you're shopping for a CT scan, there's 50 types of CT scans, and
1575 it depends on what the dyes are, so it's not as easy as you think.

1576 It will aggregate out and try and come up with a number.

1577 It will combine the physician and the hospital. Because you
1578 don't care how much is going to the hospital and how much is going
1579 to the physician, you care totally what are you going to pay --

1580 Mrs. Brooks. Right.

1581 Mr. Chernew. -- for the whole thing. It will know, and
1582 again it won't know perfectly because there's time lags, it will
1583 know within reason where you are in your deductible. So, if you
1584 are over the top of your deductible it will give you a different

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1585 price quote than if you haven't yet spent your deductible.

1586 Most of the public non-insurer-based tools don't have all
1587 that information, so they cannot tell you very accurately what
1588 you would pay. They don't. We know what prices our carriers
1589 have negotiated with all the different providers. But most
1590 public tools don't know -- New Hampshire being an exception --
1591 the prices that different providers have negotiated with
1592 different insurers. And they certainly don't know where you are
1593 in terms of your deductible.

1594 Mrs. Brooks. And do you, are you familiar with a lot of
1595 private tools like what you have just described, and are these
1596 types of tools, whether they are insurers or employers, are they
1597 proving to be effective in changing consumer behavior --

1598 Mr. Chernew. So, the tools --

1599 Mrs. Brooks. -- and reducing steps?

1600 Mr. Chernew. -- are almost always tools that employers
1601 offer but the insurers make. The employers don't do much. They
1602 buy things. So, the insurers are the ones that offer the tools.

1603 Or other, there's a firm Castlight, for example, that's well
1604 known for having these types of tools and selling to employers
1605 who can buy access to them. And they have been, unfortunately,
1606 disappointingly ineffective.

1607 Mrs. Brooks. Why, do you believe?

1608 Mr. Chernew. Well, for one, even the best of them are very
1609 complicated. The people care more about their physician than

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1610 the tool, so they're hesitant to shop. And in many cases the
1611 employers have provided the transparency tools but haven't
1612 designed their benefit packages in ways that make them really
1613 salient. So you get back the same result.

1614 Even if there -- you've mentioned, several people have
1615 mentioned that there's wide variation in prices across markets,
1616 \$2,000 and \$500. But most patients don't pay \$2,000 and \$500
1617 to their employers, most of them only pay -- if you were at Harvard
1618 you'd pay \$30 flat fee no matter where you went to. So the tool
1619 doesn't help you that much.

1620 Mrs. Brooks. Dr. King, would you like to comment on this
1621 private initiatives, private, the private tools?

1622 Ms. King. Yeah. So I would just basically reiterate what
1623 Dr. Chernew said, that they haven't seen the kinds of results
1624 that they would be looking for. And I know that Castlight has
1625 been, is employers basically buy Castlight Health and offer it
1626 to their employees. And they found very low engagement from
1627 employees.

1628 I think a lot of employees don't, they don't want to shop
1629 for providers. They don't necessarily want to shop. They will
1630 shop a little bit for the shoppable services. But they haven't
1631 seen the, like, the overall level of engagement has been about
1632 3 to 6 percent on a lot of those tools.

1633 Mrs. Brooks. Well, and I would like to ask both of you why
1634 do you believe that is the case? Why is it that we have these

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1635 tools, whether it is a private sector, an employer, or at the
1636 state base that states have invested in these, why do we have
1637 such low engagement on this issue?

1638 Ms. King. I, I think that we, we largely have low
1639 engagement, I mean, partly because people aren't incentivized
1640 to use them. If you pay the same price you're not that much
1641 incentivized to use them. But I also think it goes back to this
1642 idea that when you go to your provider and they make a
1643 recommendation for you of which provider to go to for your, you
1644 know, hip surgery, or which, you know, lab to go to. Oh, go to
1645 the lab down the street. It's your unlikely to then, to whip
1646 out your laptop and figure out if there's a cheaper provider
1647 elsewhere.

1648 Also, a lot of times individual providers prefer that their
1649 patients use a particular lab --

1650 Mrs. Brooks. Right.

1651 Ms. King. -- because they know that they get the results
1652 quickly, or it goes right into their EMR, or there are some
1653 synergies within the system.

1654 And so I think that patients are reluctant to go against
1655 their provider's advice or recommendation, which is why you should
1656 try to get this information into the hands of the providers so
1657 that if they think I would recommend five doctors to do your hip
1658 surgery. Oh, two of them are only in -- are in your network.

1659 Let's talk about you'd pay \$500 for this doctor, and you'd pay

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1660 \$200 for this doctor, let's talk about the benefits and detriments
1661 of that. That's what we need.

1662 Mrs. Brooks. And, Dr. Chernew, anything different on that
1663 as to why we have such low rate of use?

1664 Mr. Chernew. Yeah. I, I think that it is a mistake to
1665 believe that consumers fundamentally want to shop. They actually
1666 fundamentally want to pay less out of pocket, and they want things
1667 to be simpler. That's what they really want because of all these
1668 sort of interactions with their physicians.

1669 And so they tend not to want to go find these things out.
1670 You can push at the margins, but as a main view that we're going
1671 to use market forces to fundamentally control our problems I think
1672 is a little optimistic, as much as that pains me to say as an
1673 economist.

1674 Mrs. Brooks. Thank you both. I yield back.

1675 Mr. Harper. The gentlewoman yields back.

1676 The chair will now recognize the gentleman from New York,
1677 Mr. Tonko, for five minutes.

1678 Mr. Tonko. Thank you, Mr. Chair. And welcome to our
1679 guests.

1680 Many states and health care systems have implemented a
1681 variety of programs that are intended to give consumers additional
1682 information about the price of health care services on the theory
1683 that this will allow consumers to make more informed decisions
1684 and perhaps lower their costs. They are listening to your

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1685 concerns there.

1686 But maybe you can develop for us a little better some of
1687 the tools and some of the concerns that we should have.

1688 Academics, including both of you today, have studied these
1689 reforms to see what works, what doesn't work, and where we might
1690 go from here. I would like to spend a few minutes discussing
1691 with our panelists what the academic literature has to say about
1692 these efforts.

1693 Dr. Chernew, in your written testimony you use the example
1694 of shopping for a car to describe why transparency doesn't always
1695 work to bring down the cost of shopping for health care and the
1696 like. Could you briefly describe what makes shopping for health
1697 care different and more complicated than that which we would
1698 utilize for products or services?

1699 Mr. Chernew. Most products or services are bundled in a
1700 way that you care about. So you're not buying the ingredients.
1701 When you go buy a meal you don't price out all the individual
1702 ingredients, it all comes together.

1703 Health care, because of the history of the way in which it
1704 developed, and because the reimbursement system was really
1705 provider focused so you, you know, remember, physicians and
1706 hospitals, they're inputs to providing care. Right? But you
1707 really care about the joint product. And so, that has made it
1708 difficult to simply give prices that have been developed from
1709 sort of a payer perspective to consumers who are purchasing from

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1710 a different perspective. And it, broadly speaking, has been hard
1711 for people to shop in that way. Combine that with insurance
1712 distorting prices, the reliance on physicians, the complexity
1713 of the problem, the salience of the problem altogether has made
1714 it very hard for people to shop.

1715 Mr. Tonko. And, also, you wrote in an August 2017 "Health
1716 Affairs" article that, and I quote, "simply offering a
1717 transparency tool is not sufficient to meaningfully decrease
1718 health care prices or spending."

1719 So, what did you find regarding these transparency tools?
1720 And why were they unable to bring down the prices on their own?

1721 Mr. Chernew. They're often offered with the narrative of
1722 they're going to help make markets work. And because most people
1723 don't use them, because they're complicated, they don't make
1724 markets work that well on their own, and as a result you don't
1725 see prices respond.

1726 Mr. Tonko. So, could you describe what conditions would
1727 be sufficient to meaningfully bring these costs down?

1728 Mr. Chernew. Well, there's bringing costs overall down is
1729 challenging. What's sufficient to how transparency tools work,
1730 which I believe are true in a limited number of cases, is you
1731 need to have services bundled in a way that people can understand.

1732 You need to have benefit designs done in a way that make people
1733 actually feel the cost at the margin. And you need to avoid a
1734 situation in which the physicians that are making the

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1735 recommendations are, for example, owned by a system, so the
1736 physician's going to refer within a system. And once you choose
1737 your primary care doctor you're actually choosing a whole referral
1738 network they use, and it's very hard to get them to work.

1739 So, I think Dr. King and I agree that the margins is all
1740 valuable. There are specific cases. It's really valuable to
1741 let people know what they might have to pay out of pocket. But
1742 as a fundamental question about what could you all do to all of
1743 a sudden use transparency to revolutionize the way that consumers
1744 shop, and therefore to control health care spending, that's a
1745 really tall order.

1746 Mr. Tonko. Thank you.

1747 And, Dr. King, your written testimony discusses the
1748 usefulness of state efforts such as All Payer Claims Databases
1749 to bring down prices for consumers. These databases are intended
1750 to house a comprehensive collection of medical claims data from
1751 both public and private payers on how much they pay for different
1752 kinds of procedures.

1753 How can consumers use that information in these databases
1754 to inform their health care decisions? And what are the
1755 limitations on this, this kind of data?

1756 Ms. King. Thank you. So, basically the consumers wouldn't
1757 use the database themselves. The information that's housed in
1758 the database would then have to get put into a consumer-facing
1759 website like what New Hampshire has on Health Costs. And that

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1760 has been demonstrated to bring down costs a little bit and allow
1761 patients to use it.

1762 So if you have the negotiated rate between a provider and
1763 an insurance company in all of these All Payer Claims Databases,
1764 and all of the, you know, all of the utility, how we utilize health
1765 care, who patients go to, what they charge, what the negotiated
1766 rates are across the state, you could then generate really
1767 meaningful information for patients because you would know which
1768 insurance company they were in and what that insurance company
1769 had negotiated its prices with providers for. And you could use
1770 that to populate consumer-facing websites and consumer-facing
1771 tools that would provide patients with information on their
1772 out-of-pocket costs.

1773 I just want to say that one of the other things that we haven't
1774 really discussed today as a driver of costs that affects
1775 transparency is the fact that a huge majority of our markets for
1776 health care are highly concentrated. And one of the reasons why
1777 we have such a problem with transparency is that you have provider
1778 organizations and provider systems with a large amount of market
1779 power and they can demand to keep their prices secret. They can
1780 negotiate things in ways that drive up costs and then, and then
1781 hinder transparency to find that out.

1782 And so, if you were really looking, I think transparency
1783 is important at the margins. I think it's useful. I think it's
1784 generally a good thing in a capitalist society for people to know

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1785 what they're going to pay. But I also think if we want to talk
1786 about competition and why the markets don't work you need to look
1787 at the markets themselves and figure out that competition is
1788 dwindling and dying because these markets are so consolidated.

1789 Mr. Tonko. Thank you very much. And, Mr. Chair, I yield
1790 back my time.

1791 Mr. Harper. The gentleman yields back.

1792 And the chair will now recognize the gentleman from Georgia,
1793 Mr. Carter, for five minutes.

1794 Mr. Carter. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And thank both of
1795 you for being here.

1796 Dr. King, I am going to let you continue on because you have
1797 hit on the right point, the vertical integration that we are
1798 experiencing right now. What you have is you have a PBM who owns
1799 a pharmacy. Now the PBM and the pharmacy are talking about buying
1800 an insurance company. Now you have got an insurance company,
1801 Cigna, talking about buying the PBM, which also owns the
1802 pharmacies.

1803 The vertical integration and lack of competition is
1804 something. And then they can hide it all throughout that vertical
1805 integration. They don't care where they make it, as long as they
1806 make it. But that is the problem. You, I mean you hit the nail
1807 on the head right there.

1808 Anything else you want to add to that?

1809 Ms. King. I just want, I just want to pile on. So, I --

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1810 Mr. Carter. Sure.

1811 Ms. King. I think that in some, I think that in some
1812 instances we're seeing integration and it's not just vertical;
1813 right? We're seeing horizontal integration. We're seeing
1814 vertical integration. And now we're also starting to see
1815 cross-market integration where hospitals are buying provider
1816 systems in other parts of the state, other, and in other states.
1817 And the more integrated these markets become overall, the less
1818 competition we are able to have.

1819 Mr. Carter. And that is the whole key. Transparency is
1820 eminently important, no question about it. But competition is
1821 the key as well. And being able to see that competition, I mean
1822 we have used the example about buying a car. I mean, you know,
1823 I believe it is New Hampshire who has a database, a website you
1824 can go to to compare medical costs. I mean, that is the kind
1825 of thing we are talking about, and that is what is going to lead
1826 to decreasing health care costs.

1827 Ms. King. Well, that's right. And if there's no, if
1828 there's very little competition in the state, or you have an entity
1829 with an extreme amount of market power, they are able to keep
1830 prices very high, regardless of how transparent you make them.

1831 Mr. Carter. Right.

1832 Ms. King. If you don't have a choice of where to go, they
1833 can charge you whatever they want.

1834 Mr. Carter. Okay. Let me get to my part. First of all,

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1835 Mr. Chairman, I want to ask unanimous consent to submit two
1836 letters, one from the National Community Pharmacists Association
1837 and another from the American Pharmacists Association for the
1838 record.

1839 Mr. Harper. Without objection, so ordered.

1840 [The information follows:]

1841

1842 ***** COMMITTEE INSERT 7*****

1843 Mr. Carter. Thank you very much.

1844 I need to get back very quickly to a question that
1845 Representative Barton asked about the coupons being used in
1846 Medicare Part D. The anti-kickback, as you know, that will
1847 prohibit that from happening. But one thing my colleagues need
1848 to keep in mind is that a lot, most of these coupons are for brand
1849 name drugs. And if you get outside of that formulary it is going
1850 to end up costing taxpayer more.

1851 And every quickly, the reason that happens is because when
1852 a patient goes and meets their deductible, then goes into the
1853 donut, if they increase the costs by buying the ones that are
1854 off the formulary then they get into the catastrophic quickly,
1855 more quickly, which means that the taxpayers are going to be paying
1856 more for their insurance, for that patient's insurance. It is
1857 going to end up actually costing taxpayers more.

1858 So that is one of the reasons why the Medicare Part D CMS
1859 does not allow that to happen in there. So I want to make sure
1860 we, we got that clear.

1861 Representative Griffith mentioned my legislation dealing
1862 with gag clauses. Twenty-two states have implemented this thus
1863 far. We need to implement it at the federal level. You know,
1864 here we are in America with freedom of speech, and over 30 years
1865 of experience in working in pharmacy and I could never tell a
1866 patient, look, if you pay for this out of your pocket it will
1867 only cost you \$7.00, but your copay is going to be \$20.00. And

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1868 that is just ridiculous for us, particularly here in America,
1869 not to be able to do that.

1870 I wanted to talk also about PBMs and their licensure and
1871 registration. A number of states have required PBMs to register
1872 with their insurance commissions. And the most recent one was
1873 Arkansas held a special session. And now they have to -- they
1874 have enacted the Arkansas Pharmacy Benefit Licensure Act where
1875 the state insurance department requires PBMs to license within
1876 the state.

1877 One of the things, also, we talk about pharmacies. The
1878 number one pharmacy in America, CVS, they have more stores.
1879 Walgreens. You know what number three is? Express Scripts with
1880 their mail order pharmacies. Yet, they do not have to register
1881 in each state.

1882 Don't you think they should at least have to register in
1883 each state, the third largest pharmacy chain in America? And
1884 they are nothing but mail order pharmacies. Surely they should
1885 have to register in every state.

1886 Any comment.

1887 Ms. King. I know very little about it but it sounds like
1888 you're right, yes.

1889 Mr. Carter. Okay. I know.

1890 So, anyway, Dr. King, Medicaid managed care organizations,
1891 that is another way that we can attack some of these costs as
1892 well because without having, without having the transparency

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1893 there to see what exactly the PBMs are charging in those, then
1894 we are unable to control costs.

1895 In fact, West Virginia just did away with their managed --
1896 they carved that out and saved \$30 million. In Ohio they saved
1897 \$227 million. In Kentucky they figured their costs would be \$380
1898 million. Why can't we control that on a federal level as well?

1899 We have a number of managed care organization contracts at
1900 the federal level. If we could control those, do you think we
1901 could have -- and had transparency in it, do you think we could
1902 save costs there?

1903 We could. The answer is yes. I'm sorry.

1904 Mr. Harper. The gentleman yields back.

1905 The chair will now recognize the gentlewoman from Illinois,
1906 Ms. Schakowsky, for five minutes.

1907 Ms. Schakowsky. Thank you.

1908 Dr. Chernew, I have never heard a witness, though I am sure
1909 many are thinking of it, that I wish my time were over. And I
1910 want to -- I have been chuckling over that for most of the hearing.

1911 You mentioned the idea that pharmaceutical companies,
1912 manufacturers can charge whatever the market will, will bear.

1913 But the question is, what is the market?

1914 We have a briefing from a Dr. Anderson from Hopkins who said,
1915 for example, Sovaldi, that they decided that all they really
1916 needed to make back the money that they invested in Sovaldi, or
1917 the marketing that they do, they need 20 percent of the market.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1918 So, we are not talking about widgets, we are not talking
1919 about cars, we are talking about illness, life, death. And so
1920 if they charge, which they did, \$86,000 for this cure to Hep C,
1921 all they really care about is that if 20 percent of people who
1922 have this, you know, really awful disease can get cured.

1923 And so it seems to me that we ought to have a better way.
1924 You know, when you say charge whatever they, whatever they want
1925 to make the money they want, this isn't about free markets, this
1926 is about a very segmented market. I just wonder if you would
1927 comment on that?

1928 Mr. Chernew. I wrote in my written testimony that I was
1929 going to avoid pharmaceutical markets because it raises so many
1930 complicated issues. But since asked, I will dip my toe in.

1931 The challenge, and I will use Sovaldi as an example, is
1932 Sovaldi was a truly innovative drug. And all analyses suggest
1933 at least most any value criteria you would have. And although
1934 it may be difficult for people to swallow -- that's not a pill
1935 joke -- but anyway, it turns out that the evidence suggests that
1936 with greater incentives for prescription drug innovation you get
1937 more innovation.

1938 The problem is that statement should not imply that the drug
1939 companies get a blank check. And therein lies the basic problem.

1940 I do not think their goal was simply to make back their R&D
1941 money. Their goal was to make more money.

1942 Ms. Schakowsky. Yeah.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1943 Mr. Chernew. Right? That's the goal in capitalist
1944 societies, to make more money. And in fact they have created
1945 a remarkably good product that for decades will benefit us and
1946 everybody. Right?

1947 Ms. Schakowsky. Not everybody.

1948 Mr. Chernew. The challenge --

1949 Ms. Schakowsky. The people who can pay for it.

1950 Mr. Chernew. No, that's right. So the people who can't
1951 pay for it and don't get it, they're in the same place off they
1952 were before it got invented. So, the challenge is how to manage
1953 the incentives for innovation, which are really important, with
1954 the obvious egregious problems of pricing. Not simply for what
1955 people who pay out of pocket. It's the out-of-pocket comments
1956 that bring everybody here. But the charge, to deal with the
1957 overall total amount of spending, and the prices, and the volume
1958 for all of these drugs.

1959 Ms. Schakowsky. You know what, let me stop because I have
1960 one more --

1961 Mr. Chernew. Thank you.

1962 Ms. Schakowsky. -- one more question about it.

1963 But I think it is worse if you know that there is the cure
1964 right there, that there is a cure right there and you can't get
1965 it. I think in some ways it is worse than thinking there isn't
1966 one.

1967 But, again, about -- okay, so you don't want to talk about

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1968 markets, but I just want to mention this. One argument is that
1969 increased competition or more generic drugs are going to lead
1970 to lower drug prices. But recently Elizabeth Rosenthal described
1971 the bizarre phenomenon economists call sticky pricing where
1972 prices of competing prescription drugs simply rise together with
1973 each new drug that is provided.

1974 So, we have got Novartis, a cancer drug. And Gleevec was
1975 first listed at \$26,000 in the market. And the first generic
1976 was list priced at around \$140,000 annually. And now many drugs
1977 in the same family as Gleevec cost on average \$150,000 per year.

1978 So, we aren't seeing. Again, markets in drugs, very
1979 different. We are seeing an increase. So, this thought that,
1980 you know, competition is going to drive it down and generics will
1981 drive it down, not working always.

1982 Mr. Chernew. Always. I agree.

1983 So, if you look at drugs at 15 years ago we could have been
1984 arguing about Lipitor and a whole series of other blockbuster
1985 drugs. They've all gone generic. We buy them at Harvard,
1986 they're bought as generic. It's a great deal. And, you know,
1987 there's a lot of real advances.

1988 The challenges that are presented through some of those
1989 drugs, through biosimilars, which is a whole different issue,
1990 becomes important, are really, really, really important. And
1991 the issues you're raising I'm incredibly sympathetic with because
1992 the basic problem is we've been very successful at encouraging

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1993 amazing innovation.

1994 We haven't found a good way to make sure that that innovation
1995 is affordable for people. And even if you solve the problem that
1996 people are paying a lot out of pocket, the prices getting passed
1997 through through insurance premiums create a really fundamental
1998 challenge.

1999 Ms. Schakowsky. Okay, but I just want -- and I know my time
2000 is up -- but we are seeing increases in drugs that have been on
2001 the market for decades. They charge what the market will bear,
2002 and that means that the prices have kept going up out of control.

2003 So, I can't let you answer. I am sorry, I am out of time.
2004 And you should be happy.

2005 Mr. Harper. The gentlewoman yields back.

2006 The chair will now recognize the gentleman from
2007 Pennsylvania, Mr. Costello, for five minutes.

2008 Mr. Costello. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

2009 Dr. Chernew, in your written testimony you noted that one
2010 of the many reasons that many transparency initiatives have had
2011 only a minimal impact on the market is because consolidation in
2012 the health care markets limits choice. Consolidation in the
2013 health care industry is something that is of great interest to
2014 this committee. As Chairman Harper mentioned at the beginning
2015 of the hearing, the O&I Subcommittee had a hearing on
2016 consolidation in the health care market last February.

2017 Do you think that there has been too much consolidation in

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

2018 the health care market? And, if so, what impact has it had on
2019 health care costs?

2020 Second piece of the question, how does consolidation limit
2021 the effectiveness of both private and public transparency
2022 initiatives?

2023 Mr. Chernew. Yes, there's too much consolidation and it's
2024 raised the prices and spending.

2025 And the consolidation makes it difficult for transparency
2026 initiatives to work because they fundamentally require choice.

2027 If there's no choice, knowing the price of an office charge
2028 doesn't help you all that much.

2029 The only thing I will say is don't think about transparency
2030 as only working through consumers. Having the policy -- having
2031 the regulators, having the policy commission, having journalists
2032 see the prices can also be helpful. But by and large the more
2033 consolidation, the harder it is to get markets to work and,
2034 therefore, the harder it is to get transparency to work.

2035 Mr. Costello. I have a question for you. But would like
2036 anything to add, Dr. King? You were shaking your head yes before.

2037 Ms. King. Yeah. Well, I'm in vehement agreement with most
2038 of the things he has said today.

2039 So, I think that, I think that also transparency can help
2040 with the consolidation problem because you can actually, if you
2041 have a good All Payer Claims Database you can look and see how
2042 a particular merger or acquisition over time drove up costs or

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

2043 didn't drive up costs.

2044 Did they actually gain the efficiencies they said they were
2045 going to get when they, when they actually merged?

2046 Did they pass it through to consumers? You'd be able to
2047 know that. And you'd be able to then turn around and stop future
2048 consolidation in the markets through that.

2049 So, I think that those work both ways.

2050 Mr. Costello. Dr. King, thank you. In your written
2051 testimony you highlighted how states could use health care claims
2052 data reported to an APCD to examine the drivers of health care
2053 costs over time, the impact of mergers, acquisitions, and other
2054 affiliations on health care price and quality, among other things,
2055 similar to what you just were sharing with us right there.

2056 How would the health care claims data reported to an APCD
2057 give states with an APCD unique insight into the impact of M&As
2058 that states without an APCD would not have?

2059 Ms. King. So, currently because a lot of these private
2060 prices are shrouded in secrecy, the states don't actually -- the
2061 attorney general doesn't know and, you know, other state entities
2062 don't actually have the data to examine how mergers in the past
2063 have affected prices, or how a particular -- they don't have the
2064 ability to project how mergers in the future might affect prices.

2065 And so, if you have this enormous database of health care
2066 prices over time that allows you to look at utilization patterns,
2067 how people went, you know, were funneled to different providers,

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

2068 and the cost, you could then make much better economic projections
2069 about how a merger might affect things in the future. And, also,
2070 you'd be able to look back in the past and see if they kept their
2071 promise.

2072 Mr. Costello. Can you describe the general approaches
2073 states have been taking regarding the pharmaceutical price
2074 transparency bills you have seen?

2075 Ms. King. Yes. So, states have looked at a number of
2076 different things with regard to price to pharmaceuticals this
2077 year. This has been the big topic amongst the states. They have
2078 done everything from a lot of price, pharmaceutical price
2079 disclosure anti-gag clauses this year.

2080 They have also looked at, they've also looked at pricing
2081 reports or requiring pharmaceutical companies to submit reports
2082 at the end of the year, annually or at some other time that
2083 basically describe how much it cost them to produce a drug, what
2084 their overall -- what they spent on development and marketing,
2085 and then what, how they're pricing their drugs, both as an annual
2086 cost, as an individual patient cost.

2087 States have also focused on gag prohibitions and
2088 disclosures, pricing reports. And that's a lot of what we've
2089 seen with respect to pharmaceuticals. And then a lot of PBM
2090 regulation as well, trying to promote transparency amongst the
2091 pharmacy benefit managers.

2092 Mr. Costello. Thank you. I will yield back.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

2093 Mr. Harper. The gentleman yields back.

2094 I want to thank both of you for being here today, giving
2095 us some very valuable insight and information as we tackle this
2096 very important challenge that we have.

2097 So, I want to thank the members that have participated in
2098 today's hearing. And I will remind members that they have 10
2099 business days to submit questions for the record. And should
2100 you receive any written questions, we would ask the witnesses
2101 to respond as quickly as possible to those questions.

2102 The subcommittee is adjourned.

2103 [Whereupon, at 12:02 p.m., the subcommittee was adjourned.]

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com