1	NEAL R. GROSS & CO., INC.
2	RPTS WOJACK
3	HIF249020
4	
5	
6	EXAMINING FEDERAL EFFORTS TO ENSURE QUALITY
7	OF CARE AND RESIDENT SAFETY IN NURSING HOMES
8	THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 6, 2018
9	House of Representatives
10	Subcommittee on Oversight and Investigations
11	Committee on Energy and Commerce
12	Washington, D.C.
13	
14	
15	
16	The subcommittee met, pursuant to call, at 10:15 a.m., in
17	Room 2322 Rayburn House Office Building, Hon. Gregg Harper
18	[chairman of the subcommittee] presiding.
19	Members present: Representatives Harper, Griffith, Burgess,
20	Brooks, Walberg, Walters, Costello, Carter, Walden (ex officio),
21	DeGette, Schakowsky, Castor, Clarke, Ruiz, and Pallone (ex
22	officio).
23	Also present: Representative Bilirakis.
24	Staff present: Jennifer Barblan, Chief Counsel, Oversight
25	and Investigations; Samantha Bopp, Staff Assistant; Lamar Echols,
	1

Counsel, Oversight and Investigations; Ali Fulling, Legislative
Clerk, Oversight and Investigations, Digital Commerce and
Consumer Protection; Christopher Santini, Counsel, Oversight and
Investigations; Jennifer Sherman, Press Secretary; Julie
Babayan, Minority Counsel; Jeff Carroll, Minority Staff Director;
Tiffany Guarascio, Minority Deputy Staff Director and Chief
Health Advisor; Chris Knauer, Minority Oversight Staff Director;
Jourdan Lewis, Minority Staff Assistant and Policy Analyst; Kevin
McAloon, Minority Professional Staff Member; and C.J. Young,
Minority Press Secretary.

Mr. Harper. We will call to order today's subcommittee hearing, Oversight and Investigations, and our hearing today is on Examining Federal Efforts to Ensure Quality of Care and Resident Safety in Nursing Homes. I want to welcome each of our witnesses that are here today, and at this point I am going to recognize myself for our opening statement.

So this a very important subject and the subcommittee continues to work in examining whether the federal government is meeting its obligations to ensure that residents in nursing homes across the country are free from abuse and receiving the quality of care that they deserve and respect.

Protecting our most vulnerable citizens is among the most fundamental responsibilities entrusted to the federal government and it is also a responsibility that we as Americans all share.

The Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, CMS, is the federal agency tasked with ensuring nursing home residents are protected and well cared for, and CMS largely relies on the efforts of State survey agencies to verify that nursing homes are meeting federal standards for quality and safety.

However, reports issued by the Department of Health and Human Services Office of Inspector General and the Government Accountability Office, along with all too frequent press reports, detail horrible cases of abuse and neglect occurring in nursing homes raises questions as to whether CMS is fulfilling its obligations to residents. For example, in 2014, OIG found that

based on its review of more than 650 medical records of Medicare beneficiaries that were receiving care in a nursing home, approximately one-third of residents experienced some type of harm during their stay. According to OIG, nearly 60 percent of this harm was either clearly preventable or likely preventable.

Last year, reports emerged out of Florida of the deaths of at least a dozen residents of the Rehabilitation Center at Hollywood Hills after the facility's air conditioning system failed in the immediate aftermath of Hurricane Irma. According to state regulators, temperatures at the facility reached nearly a hundred degrees and the facility deprived residents of timely medical care despite being located across the street from a fully functioning and functional hospital. CMS described the events at this nursing home as a complete management failure and terminated the facility from the Medicare and Medicaid programs noting that the conditions at the facility constituted an immediate jeopardy to residents' health and safety. Previously, this facility's owner entered into a settlement agreement with the federal government to resolve allegations he and his associates had paid kickbacks and performed medically unnecessary treatments to generate Medicare and Medicaid payments at another Florida healthcare facility in which he had an ownership interest. Despite this history and last year's tragedy at that person's rehabilitation center, we have learned that the facility's owner continues to maintain an ownership interest in at least 11

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

facilities participating in the Medicare and Medicaid programs.

It can't be emphasized enough that it should not take a tragedy like what we have seen at the Rehabilitation Center at Hollywood Hills to make CMS mindful or take action in response of conditions at nursing homes that threaten residents' well-being. However, the committee's oversight and reports issued by OIG and GAO suggest that this isn't necessary the case.

Improving care for vulnerable populations including the care provided to nursing home residents has been identified by OIG as a top management challenge for over a decade. We want to know why this continues to be a top management challenge, what steps CMS is taking to improve efforts to enforce existing regulatory requirements, and how the agency is addressing any gaps in its oversight.

At the same time, we want to recognize the many, and I mean many, nursing homes that are providing their residents with high quality care. In advance of this hearing I checked in with Vanessa Henderson, executive director for the Mississippi Health Care Association, for an update on our facilities after Tropical Storm Gordon made landfall late last night on the Mississippi Gulf Coast. Ms. Henderson received reports every 2 hours throughout the night from 19 nursing homes in nine South Mississippi counties. There were no major issues. They were well prepared.

When Hurricane Katrina devastated the Mississippi Gulf

Coast, now 13 years ago, there was no fatality or major problem at a nursing home in Mississippi. And I am proud of these successes in my home state. What are the best practices being utilized at these facilities that if applied everywhere could yield positive outcomes for nursing home residents?

I look forward to hearing from each member on our panel on ways we can improve our federal oversight of nursing homes to ensure that CMS is protecting seniors from abuse and neglect in nursing homes and using its authority in a fair and efficient manner. I thank you for your testimony today and I now recognize the ranking member of the subcommittee from Colorado, Ms. DeGette, for 5 minutes.

Thank you so much, Mr. Chairman. I quess as Ms. DeGette. proof that this subcommittee often, most often, works in a bipartisan way, my opening statement is pretty much exactly the same opening statement you just made down to the example of the Hollywood Hills tragedy after Hurricane Irma when 14 people died. So I am going to submit my written statement for the record, I just want to make a couple of observations. The first one is some of us have been on this subcommittee for many, many years and those of you who have been here you know that for all of these years we have struggled to address the issue of quality care at nursing homes. Both the IG at HHS and also the GAO have consistently raised issues over the years about how the states and CMS oversee the nursing home industry and every so often we

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

have a real tragedy like this Hollywood Hills tragedy.

But then, you know, you have got to wonder how many more facilities are like this and what are we doing to make a permanent effort. It just seems like we haven't turned the corner to get where we need to be in providing effective oversight in this sector of care. For example, just today, the Inspector General in written testimony mentions a statistic that I find really troubling. Fully one-third of Medicare residents in a skilled nursing home experienced harm from the care that they received and half of those cases were actually preventable.

So we do this over and over again, but yet, yet, one-third of Medicare residents have experienced harm. Now the IG has made recommendations for how to improve these issues. CMS needs to articulate to us today what concrete steps the agency is making to improve this. I also want to know what progress CMS is making on implementing the updated health and safety regulations that were finalized in 2016 after a lengthy rulemaking process.

It took years and a lot of public feedback, but in 2016 CMS did update the federal nursing home regulations to improve planning for resident care, training for staff, and protections against abuse, among other issues. But now as CMS is implementing these new rules, the agency has taken a series of actions that have led consumer groups, state attorneys general, and others to question whether CMS is doing enough to strengthen and enforce federal standards. Here is a couple of examples: Last

year CMS announced that it had imposed a moratorium on the enforcement of many of these regulations. In other words the agency is restraining itself from using some of its most effective enforcement tools against those who violate those new rules designed to protect vulnerable nursing home residents.

I must say CMS has to commit itself to implementing and enforcing its own regulations. That sounds kind of like a ridiculous thing to say but it is true, because as I said the core issue is here that frail and vulnerable people are harmed when nursing homes fail to meet our standards. And I don't think any of us wants to wait until the next natural disaster or other disaster exposes some kind of a deficiency that kills dozens of people.

I want to thank the witnesses for being here today. I want to thank the Inspector General and the GAO for your body of oversight of work on nursing homes, and I hope that we won't be back here again next year or in 5 years to talk about how more people have died. Thanks, and I yield back. Mr. Harper. The gentlewoman yields back.

The chair will now recognize the gentleman from Oregon, the chairman of the full committee, Mr. Walden, for 5 minutes.

The Chairman. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. Thanks for holding this hearing on this topic that is very important to all of us across the country.

I think it is important to put it all in context as well.

According to information released by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, more than three million individuals rely on services provided by nursing homes at some point during every year. And on any given day, 1.4 million Americans reside in more than 15,000 nursing homes across our country and the overwhelming majority of these nursing homes provide high quality, lifesaving care to their residents. We know that too.

I have heard from many seniors and their families in my district about how they or their loved ones are receiving excellent, around-the-clock care at their nursing homes and they go above and beyond. One provider I spoke with recently has a facility down in Redding, California. And when the fires were threatening Redding he chartered buses, had them on the ready with 200 seats, made arrangements, and all of this was happening very, very quickly to be able to move patients, residents to a facility many miles away in Klamath Falls, Oregon, if need be. As it turned out he didn't have to do that evacuation, but they were ready. Unfortunately, this doesn't appear to be the case in all nursing homes.

We all know the discussion that has occurred around what happened at the Rehabilitation Center at Hollywood Hills, Florida, run by Dr. Jack Michel. That tragedy that occurred at that facility during Hurricane Irma was the result of inexcusable management or mismanagement and it resulted in needless loss of life.

2.04

While many facilities in Florida had the right procedures in place and handled the hurricanes well, we need to make sure our federal oversight efforts are effective in detecting low quality, unsafe nursing homes while being mindful to not impose excessive regulatory burdens that in some cases don't help but cost a lot of money and tie up resources. So I think we need to look at that as well, what is working and what is not, to get to the underlying problems we have identified in the OIG and others have.

As Chairman Harper described, CMS is the federal agency responsible for ensuring the safety and quality of care provided to Medicare and Medicaid beneficiaries in nursing homes. CMS enters into these agreements with the states providing that state agencies will inspect nursing homes on CMS' behalf to determine whether the facilities are meeting federal requirements.

And so this is done by the states. However, CMS may not always be effectively overseeing that work that these agencies do on behalf of the federal government. Over the last decade or so, the Department of Health and Human Services Office of Inspector General and Government Accountability Office have both issued reports indicating CMS could improve its oversight of nursing homes.

For example, HHS OIG has examined whether states properly verify that deficiencies identified during nursing home inspections are corrected. In some instances, such as my state

of Oregon, HHS OIG found the state properly verified that facilities corrected deficiencies after they were identified and during inspections.

Several of the reports on this topic, however, HHS OIG has found that state agencies elsewhere did not meet that standard of proper oversight. For example, a report issued this May estimated that in 2016 Nebraska failed to properly verify that deficiencies at nursing homes identified during state inspections were corrected 92 percent of the time. CMS needs to ensure that all state survey agencies are adequately conducting the survey process on their behalf.

We are looking forward to hearing what CMS is doing to improve its oversight of the survey process. We also look forward to hearing from GAO about their work and recommendations, especially their recommendations relating to CMS' oversight of state survey agencies. So the focus of today's hearing is to learn more about what CMS is doing to maintain consistency across the country and guarantee that all states are effectively surveying nursing homes on their behalf to ensure compliance with existing federal requirements.

We also want to know what we can do to help in these efforts.

So it is important that CMS effectively enforce existing requirements for nursing homes to protect and promote safety, especially in extreme cases like what happened at the Rehabilitation Center at Hollywood Hills. And lastly, I want

261 to thank our witnesses for being a part of this important 262 conversation. We very much value and appreciate your testimony. 263 With that Mr. Chair, unless anyone else wants the remainder 264 Dr. Burgess chairs our Subcommittee on Health 265 the balance to you. Mr. Burgess. Well, thank you, Chairman 266 Walden. 267 And I just want to mention that like Representative DeGette, 268 in January of 2006 this subcommittee held a hearing, field 269 hearing, in New Orleans, Louisiana, dealing with just this issue. 270 So this morning it is important to see not just one of the lessons 271 learned but how it is the implementation of those lessons and 272 how really report not just to us, on us, how we are doing in 273 overseeing the oversight that the agency is supposed to provide 274 to the facilities that are taking care of our seniors. 275 So thank you, Mr. Chairman, for doing this hearing and I 276 will yield back. Mr. Harper. The gentleman yields back. The chair will now recognize the ranking member of the full 277 278 committee, Mr. Pallone, for 5 minutes. 279 Mr. Pallone. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Nursing home 280 residents are among our most vulnerable populations who are often 281 unable to care for themselves and require personal attention. 282 Many of us have had loved ones in the care of nursing homes or 283 skilled nursing facilities so we can all appreciate the need to 284 ensure these facilities are providing high quality care.

of the time nursing homes are staffed by compassionate

professionals who want to provide quality care to those who need it and these professionals are strong allies too in our efforts to ensure residents are properly taken care of.

As the Department of Health and Human Services Office of Inspector General points out in his testimony today, nursing homes offer enormous benefit by providing a place of comfort and healing to residents in fragile health, many of whom are insured by Medicaid. The best nursing homes provide excellent care and take seriously their duty to protect their residents.

That said, nursing home quality of care is a longstanding concern and we should always strive to conduct oversight of this sector in an effort to improve the overall quality of care. And over the past several years, HHS's OIG and the Government Accountability Office have both found problems in nursing home delivery of care and federal and state oversight. And that is not to say that we should be suspicious of all nursing homes, rather, certain providers have failed to ensure high quality care.

For example, OIG has found that when incidents of abuse or neglect occur some nursing homes fail to report them as required and GAO has identified gaps in nursing homes' emergency preparedness and response capabilities. We can and must demand better for our loved ones and that is why we must focus our resources to weed out these bad actors so that residents are protected and the rest of the industry is not given a black eye.

And that is where the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid

Services comes in. In exchange for participating in the Medicare/Medicaid programs, nursing homes must comply with federal standards related to health and safety. CMS is charged with overseeing nursing homes' compliance with those standards and the agency has enforcement mechanisms at its disposal. And among those standards are the ability to terminate a facility participation in Medicare and Medicaid if it does not comply, however, OIG and GAO have long raised questions about CMS' oversight of nursing homes.

For instance, OIG notes that CMS does not always ensure that abuse and neglect at skilled nursing facilities are identified and reported, and when a nursing home is cited for deficiency OIG has found that CMS does not always require them to correct the problem. Many of these same issues have been raised for several years so the committee needs to hear what progress CMS is making and what more needs to be done to better ensure quality of care.

CMS also relies on state survey agencies to conduct inspections of nursing homes on CMS' behalf, but some states have been better than others at ensuring high quality care. OIG's audits have revealed that several states fell short in investigating the most serious complaints and many had difficulty meeting CMS' standards. Workforce shortages and inexperienced surveyors at the state level have also led to the understatement of serious care problems. And, hereto, OIG and GAO have found

problems with CMS' oversight of the state agencies. We need to hear what CMS needs to do better or differently to ensure federal requirements are being followed.

And, finally, CMS has yet to finalize and enforce some 2016 regulations to update and strengthen the nursing home standards. These regulations address critical areas such as staff training and protections against abuse, among other issues. However, last year, CMS issued a moratorium on enforcement of many of these regulations. And it is important to hear the input of industry and consumer groups to ensure regulations are done right, but without actually enforcing these rules it is unclear how CMS will ensure the quality and safety of our nation's nursing homes.

So Dr. Goodrich needs to articulate today how CMS is considering the concerns of the industry and consumers while also meeting its responsibility to ensure high quality care in nursing homes. I yield back, Mr., I mean unless anybody else wants the time, but I don't think so. I yield back. Mr. Harper. The gentleman yields back. I ask unanimous consent that the members' written opening statements be made part of the record. Without objection, they will so be entered into the record. I also ask unanimous consent that members of the full committee on Energy and Commerce not on this subcommittee be permitted to participate in today's hearing.

I would now like to introduce our witnesses for today's hearing. Today we have Dr. Kate Goodrich, the Director of the

361 Center for Clinical Standards and Quality, and Chief Medical 362 Officer at the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. 363 welcome you today. Next is Ms. Ruth Ann Dorrill, Regional Inspector General 364 365 at the Office of Inspector General at the U.S. Department of Health 366 and Human Services. Thank you for being here today. 367 And, finally, Mr. John Dicken, Director of Health Care at 368 the U.S. Government Accountability Office. You are each aware that this committee is holding an 369 370 investigative hearing and when doing so has had the practice of 371 taking testimony under oath. Do you have any objection to 372 testifying under oath? Let the record reflect that all three have indicated no. 373 374 The chair then advises you that under the rules of the House 375 and the rules of the committee you are entitled to be accompanied by counsel. Do you desire to be accompanied by counsel during 376 377 your testimony today? 378 All of the witnesses have indicated no. 379 In that case if you would please stand and raise your right 380 hand, I will swear you in. 381 [Witnesses sworn.] 382 Thank you. You may be seated. Mr. Harper. You are now under oath and subject to the penalties set forth in Title 18 383 384 Section 1001 of the United States Code. You may now give a 385 5-minute summary of your written testimony.

And we will begin with you, Dr. Goodrich, and you are recognized for 5. We would ask that you pull the microphone a little closer to you and make sure that the mike is on. And you know the light system is such when it gets to yellow you have 1 minute. Red, the floor will not open up, but do bring it in for a landing, okay. Thank you.

You may begin.

386

387

388

389

390

391

STATEMENT OF KATE GOODRICH, M.D., DIRECTOR, CENTER FOR CLINICAL STANDARDS AND QUALITY, AND CHIEF MEDICAL OFFICER, CMS; RUTH ANN DORRILL, REGIONAL INSPECTOR GENERAL, HHS OIG; AND, JOHN DICKEN, DIRECTOR, HEALTH CARE, GOVERNMENT ACCOUNTABILITY OFFICE (GAO).

STATEMENT OF KATE GOODRICH

Dr. Goodrich. All right. To Chairman Harper, Ranking

Member DeGette, and members of the subcommittee, thank you for
the opportunity to discuss CMS' efforts to oversee nursing homes.

Resident safety is our top priority in nursing homes and all facilities that participate in the Medicare and Medicaid programs. Every nursing home must keep its residents safe and provide high quality care. Monitoring patient safety and quality of care in nursing homes requires coordinated efforts between the federal government and the states.

To participate in Medicare or Medicaid, a nursing home must be certified as meeting numerous statutory and regulatory requirements including those pertaining the health, safety and quality. Compliance with these requirements for participation is verified through annual unannounced, onsite surveys conducted by state survey agencies in each of the 50 states, the District of Columbia, and the U.S. territories. When a state surveyor finds a serious violation of federal regulation they report it to CMS and swift action is taken.

In cases of immediate jeopardy, meaning a facility's

noncompliance has caused or is likely to cause serious injury, harm, or even death we can terminate the facility's participation agreement within as little as 2 days. Civil monetary penalties can also be assessed up to approximately \$20,000 per day or per instance until substantial compliance is achieved. Other remedies could include in-service training or denial of payments.

For deficiencies that do not constitute immediate jeopardy, these deficiencies must be corrected within 6 months or the facility will be terminated from the program. Facilities are also required by law to report any allegation of abuse or neglect to their state survey agency and other appropriate authorities such as law enforcement or adult protective services.

When CMS learns that a nursing home has failed to report or investigate instances of abuse we take immediate action. For example, CMS issued a civil monetary penalty of almost \$350,000 to one nursing home when a state surveyor found they did not properly investigate or prevent additional abuse involving eight residents.

We are always taking steps to enhance our quality and safety oversight efforts. Last fall, surveyors began verifying facility compliance with CMS' updated and improved emergency preparedness requirements. Facilities are now required to address location-specific hazards and responses, must have emergency or standby power systems and ensure they are operational during an emergency, develop additional staff training, and

implement a communications system to contact necessary persons regarding resident care and health status in a timely manner.

In addition, in 2016, CMS updated the nursing home requirements to reflect the substantial advances into theory and practice of service delivery that have been made since 1991 such as ensuring that nursing home staff are properly trained in caring for residents with dementia. Given the number of revisions, CMS has provided a phased-in approach for facilities to meet these new requirements. We are in the second of three implementation phases and we are taking a thoughtful approach to implementation and providing education to providers while holding them accountable for any deficiencies.

Promoting transparency is another key factor to incentivizing quality. By using a five-star quality rating system, our Nursing Home Compare Web site provides residents and their families with an easy way to understand meaningful distinctions between high and low performing facilities on three factors -- health inspections, quality measures, and staffing. In April of this year, we took steps to make staffing data more accurate. The new payroll-based journal data provide unprecedented insight into how facilities are staffed which can be used to analyze how facility staffing relates to quality and patient outcomes.

Under the new systems, facilities reporting 7 or more days in a quarter with no registered nurse hours or whose audits

identify significant inaccuracies between the hours reported and the hours verified will receive a one-star staffing rating which will reduce the facility's overall rating by one star.

CMS greatly appreciates and relies on the work of the Government Accountability Office and the HHS Office of the Inspector General to inform our efforts. We have implemented a number of recommendations in this area and we look forward to additional recommendations to help us continuously improve our programs.

For example, CMS implemented a new survey process last fall that provides standardization and structure to help ensure consistency between surveyors while allowing surveyors the autonomy to make decisions based upon their expertise and judgment. We expect every nursing home to keep its residents safe and provide high quality care. As a practicing physician that makes rounds in the hospital on weekends, many of my patients are frail, elderly nursing home residents, so I am personally deeply committed to the care of these patients.

CMS remains diligent in its duties to monitor nursing homes participating in the Medicare and Medicaid programs across the country and we look forward to continuing to work with Congress, states, facilities, residents, and other stakeholders to make sure the residents we serve are receiving safe and high quality care. I look forward to answering questions you may have. Thank you.

493	[The prepared statement of Dr. Goodrich follows:]
494	
495	**************************************

Mr. Harper. Thank you, Dr. Goodrich.

497

498

The chair will now recognize Ms. Dorrill for 5 minutes for the purposes of your opening statement.

STATEMENT OF RUTH ANN DORRILL

Ms. Dorrill. Good morning, Chairman Harper, Ranking Member DeGette, and other distinguished members of the subcommittee.

I have been visiting nursing homes on behalf of the OIG for 20 years. When you speak with the people who have chosen to spend their professional lives in these settings, they will tell you that nursing home care is incremental. By that I mean that the gains and the losses can be small and around the margins.

Nursing homes can be places of comfort and healing. They can make the difference between someone having 10 more good years or a downward spiral. But it's important to recognize that people who enter nursing homes are at low points at times of crisis. They often have not only an acute condition that landed them there in the first place, but they have many competing comorbidities and complex conditions on top of that.

Many of the facilities as you've said provide excellent care, but an alarming number of residents are subject to unsafe conditions, much of which is preventable with better guidance and government oversight. Our work has found widespread, serious problems in nursing home care and my remarks today will rest on three priority areas -- harm to nursing home residents, emergency preparedness of nursing homes, and the important role of the state agencies.

First, in regard to harm, OIG has expended extensive time

and focus on the problem of resident harm as it's been referenced already today, including harm from medical care known as adverse events. In a national study of hundreds of nursing homes, we found that a third of residents, 33 percent, one in three, were harmed by medical care -- infections, blood clots, aspiration -- and half of this harm, 59 percent, was preventable.

And an important point, one of the interesting things about this study to us was that most of these events weren't big, dramatic events that you think about when you think about harm or adverse events. Most of them were incremental. They were small. They were surrounding the daily, hourly care that's provided by certified nurse assistants and staffing throughout the nursing home.

And there are things that the staff didn't recognize and, in many cases, the family didn't recognize. The same is happening in hospitals. This low level, substandard care harms a tremendous number of people and we've recommended that CMS develop guidance and revise requirements for detecting and preventing this harm, the detection being a key component.

Residents also of course face abuse and neglect. In 2012, we found that only half of nursing homes were reporting allegations of abuse and neglect. And then we went back just last year in 2017 and looked at emergency room records and we found that it was still a substantial problem. There were many cases that were not reported by the nursing homes. We urged CMS

at that time to take immediate action to monitor claims and to enforce against those who fail to report. OIG also works in the law enforcement side with our partners to hold accountable those who victimize residents. Next, on emergency preparedness. So after Hurricane Katrina and other storms in 2005 we went into, we had found in looking at the deficiencies that almost all nursing homes met their emergency provisions. Ninety four percent were in compliance and yet when we visited a sample of homes who were actually affected by the hurricanes, we found that the plans weren't practical and up-to-date. That in many cases the nurses would pull out a pad and pen when they saw the hurricane coming as opposed to looking at the binder on the shelf.

We also found that once the storms hit and in their aftermath that whether the nursing homes evacuated or sheltered in place that they had problems with transportation, with staffing, with supplies, anything that you can imagine. We also found this for wildfires and for flooding.

When we went back, we also were struck by the fact that after additional storms -- Ike, Gustav in 2009-2010 -- we found essentially the same thing, no improvement besides additional guidance by CMS. We recommended that CMS develop targeted guidance in requirements and as Dr. Goodrich said state agencies began assessing homes for these requirements last November.

Finally, I want to further emphasize the critical role of the state agencies in citing deficiencies when homes aren't up

to snuff. In recent work, we found that seven of nine states did not consistently verify that homes actually corrected the deficiencies that the states had cited. In another study, we found that states weren't enforcing very critical core components, care and discharge planning, which are very important to patient outcomes. We recommended the states strengthen those procedures. And the report was in 2013, the recommendations were implemented just a few months ago in June of 2018.

In closing, the through line here is that while CMS has taken steps to create a framework for improvement, all progress will lie in the execution on the part of CMS, on the part of the state agencies, and on the part of the nursing homes. This means focused education and accountability from CMS and also staying alert to the impact of changes. Are the requirements understood, the new requirements by inspectors and homes are they practical? Do they improve care? None of that can really be assumed and the consequences are great. OIG is recommending that CMS do more to protect nursing home residents and we are committed to that as well. We have ongoing work assessing a number of areas and we thank you for your ongoing leadership in this area and for the opportunity today.

[The prepared statement of Ms. Dorrill follows:]

597 | ********** INSERT 2*******

598

Mr. Harper. Thank you, Ms. Dorrill.

599

We will now recognize Mr. Dicken for 5 minutes for the

600

purposes of his opening statement. Thank you.

STATEMENT OF JOHN DICKEN

Mr. Dicken. Chairman Harper, Ranking Member DeGette, and members of the subcommittee, I'm pleased to be here today to discuss GAO's body of work on nursing home quality and the Center for Medicaid and Medicaid Services oversight of nursing homes.

For many years, GAO has reported on problems in nursing home quality and weaknesses in CMS' oversight. As early as 1998, GAO reported that despite federal and state oversight, certain California nursing homes were not sufficiently monitored to guarantee the safety and welfare of their residents. In the intervening 2 decades across more than two dozen reports, GAO has consistently found shortcomings in the care that some nursing home residents received and in federal and state oversight of nursing homes.

In response to identified weaknesses, CMS and state survey agencies have made a number of changes in their oversight.

Inspection protocols have been updated, enforcement tools have been revised, and consumers have been provided more information to compare nursing homes. Yet, we continue to see mixed results in indicators intended to assess the quality of care. Further, we lack full assurance of these indicators including information made available to consumers are consistently based on accurate data and we remain concerned that the prevalence of serious care problems remains unacceptably high.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

In my remaining time I'd like to briefly summarize key takeaways from GAO reports issued in 2015 and 2016 that examine trends in nursing home quality, information made available to consumers for comparing nursing homes, and changes CMS had made to its oversight activities. I will also note CMS' responses to recommendations we made.

First, we found that data on nursing home quality showed mixed results. We found an increase in reported consumer complaints through 2014, suggesting that consumers' concerns about nursing home quality increased. In contrast, trends in care deficiencies, nurse staffing levels, and clinical quality indicators through 2014 indicate potential improvement.

Second, we found data issues complicated the ability to assess quality trends. For example, at that time CMS allowed states to use different survey methodologies to measure deficiencies in nursing home care. GAO recommended CMS implement a standardized survey methodology across states and in November 2017 CMS completed national implementation. Further, GAO recommended CMS implement a plan for ongoing auditing of quality data that had been self-reported by nursing homes. The agency concurred and has begun auditing staffing data that now relies on payroll-based reporting, but CMS does not have a plan to audit certain other quality data on a continuing basis.

Third, in the 2016 report we found CMS did not systematically prioritize recommended changes to improve its Nursing Home

Compare Web site. In several factors it limited consumers' ability to use CMS' five-star rating system. CMS agreed with these recommendations and earlier this year completed actions establishing a process to prioritize website improvements and adding explanatory information about the five-star system. But CMS has not yet acted on other recommendations including providing national comparison information that could help consumers better make distinctions between nursing homes.

Fourth, CMS had modified certain oversight activities at the time of our 2015 report and those steps have continued. Some modifications expanded activities such as creating new training for state surveys on unnecessary medication use, others reduced existing activities. For example, CMS reduced the scope of federal monitoring surveys which may decrease CMS' ability to monitor whether state survey agencies understate serious care deficiencies. Similarly, CMS reduced the number of homes designated as special focus facilities which may limit its ability to monitor homes with poor performance. GAO recommended CMS monitor the effects of these modifications and CMS indicates it is beginning to take steps to do so.

In closing, addressing the long-term concerns that nursing residents receive unacceptable care requires sustained federal and state commitment. We maintain the importance of monitoring to help CMS better understand how oversight modifications affect nursing home quality and to improve its oversight given limited

chairman Harper, Ranking Member DeGette, and members of the subcommittee, this concludes my prepared statement. I'd be pleased to answer any questions that you may have.

[The prepared statement of Mr. Dicken follows:]

*********INSERT 3******

Mr. Harper. Thank you, Mr. Dicken.

This is now the members' opportunity to ask questions of each of you to learn more about this very important issue, so I will recognize myself for 5 minutes.

Ms. Dorrill, HHS OIG has identified improving care for vulnerable populations including the care provided to individuals in nursing homes as a top management challenge for a decade.

Could you expand on this and tell us why ensuring nursing home residents receive the proper standard of care continues to be such a longstanding challenge for HHS and specifically CMS?

Ms. Dorrill. Yes, thank you for the question. It certainly is true that we have considered this a top management challenge for years and we would love to have that removed from the list. But unfortunately the problems remain. And I think it is important to note that although so many of these problems are longstanding that we are in a different place in time so the heavy lift with revising recommendations that has been done, when I said in my statement that we have a framework I think that's correct. And so we are at a different place than we were when we cited those TMCs over the years.

Mr. Harper. Is that a better place?

Ms. Dorrill. Yes. I think it's a first step, absolutely.

And that but the proof will be in the execution of that, that sometimes a requirement and the actions of the homes just like emergency planning can be miles apart. And so, but that first

step was an enormous one and an important one. And so we would hope as we see execution over the next couple of years that we might be able to eliminate this concern from our top management challenges.

Mr. Harper. Do you see now that you and CMS are all on the same page?

Ms. Dorrill. It's a great question, yes and no. Yes, on some factors we feel that in respect to our adverse events the harm from medical care that CMS has been proactive in they pulled us into the process of providing that guidance based on our expertise and have laid out very explicit instructions for nursing homes and surveyors. In other areas I wouldn't grade them as highly.

Mr. Harper. Mr. Dicken, I would like to ask you a similar question. Given GAO's substantial body of work examining federal efforts related to nursing home quality of care, have any issues stood out to you as being long-term challenges for CMS?

Mr. Dicken. Yeah, thank you. And I think as you note that we do have a long-term body of work and many of those same types of issues have occurred. We are pleased that over the years CMS has implemented many of the recommendations we've had and made a number of changes. Certainly we've seen improvements in things like training of surveyors, a more standardized methodology for surveyors. We do continue to see that there's important need to make sure that information that CMS is receiving is accurate

and that they're using it for assessing states consistently.

And very important that as there are a number of changes occurring over the years that CMS and others continue to monitor to see what the effects of those changes actually are, both in some of the improvements and the enhancements that have been made as well as some of the reductions in oversight that have been made.

Mr. Harper. All right. Let me just follow up on that just a little bit if I can. Are there any aspects of CMS' efforts relating to nursing homes that GAO's work may have touched on would you believe merit additional attention?

Mr. Dicken. Well, we do still have a number of open recommendations that CMS has taken some steps in, one, in trying to make sure the information's more accurate. I think Dr. Goodrich mentioned that they have now much more verifiable information on staffing and are using that to more thoroughly look at and use inspections of staffing.

There are other areas still though, however, where they still need to make sure that getting accurate information and of monitoring those effects.

Mr. Harper. And, Dr. Goodrich, if I can ask you, you know, a question. Obviously in my opening statement I mentioned the terrible tragedy in Florida at Hollywood Hills at the Rehabilitation Center. And I know CMS terminated the facility from Medicare and Medicaid and has, you know, obviously recognized

758 how horrible that is.

The owner of the facility still has an ownership interest in 11 other facilities. Under CMS' current authority, is there anything preventing him from opening a new or additional nursing home facility?

Dr. Goodrich. So thank you for the question. The tragedy at Hollywood Hills was just that, devastating tragedy that should never have happened. As has been said before, it was a complete management failure. As I understand the facts of this case, there's nothing in Medicare that prevents Dr. Michel -- if I'm saying his name right --

Mr. Harper. Yes.

Dr. Goodrich. -- from having ownership interest in Medicare facilities. Medicare can only bar an individual who has been convicted of a felony or who is on the OIG exclusion list.

Mr. Harper. In light of Dr. Michel's history, do you believe you need additional tools that can restrict based upon something less than a criminal conviction?

Dr. Goodrich. So this is not my exact area, but I am aware that CMS issued a proposed rule in 2016 to further enhance our program integrity abilities related to this area. We received a number of comments on that rule and we are currently considering them in terms of how to move forward.

Mr. Harper. Thank you, Dr. Goodrich.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

783 The chair will now recognize Ranking Member DeGette for 5 784 minutes. 785 Ms. DeGette. Thank you. 786 Ms. Dorrill mentioned that updating the recommendations is 787 going to be the first step to trying to solve this problem. 788 as I mentioned in my opening statement, in 2016 CMS issued 789 regulations that updated the federal health and safety rules for 790 nursing homes. 791 I know, Dr. Goodrich that CMS is now in the process of 792 implementing those regulations. I think the one you just 793 referred to is probably one of them. You said that in your 794 testimony these changes are the first comprehensive updates of 795 the nursing home regulations since 1991; is that right? 796 Goodrich. 797 That is correct. Dr. Goodrich. Sorry. 798 Ms. DeGette. And so I am assuming that a lot has changed 799 in the industry that would necessitate an update to those rules 800 and I would assume that the 2016 regulations were designed in 801 part to reflect the advancements and improve how the industry 802 provides quality care to nursing home residents; is that correct? 803 Dr. Goodrich. Yes, that is correct. 804 And as I said in my opening statement, since Ms. DeGette. 805 the rules have been finalized CMS has taken several actions that 806 could delay some of them or roll them back altogether. 807 of all, the rules were designed to be implemented in phases, but

808 not all the phases have been implemented yet. 809 Second, CMS now has issued a moratorium on enforcing some 810 of those rules, and, finally, last year CMS launched a review 811 of nursing home regulations to or requirements to determine 812 whether any of them placed procedural burdens on facilities. 813 So it sounds like maybe some of these proposed rules will never 814 be implemented; is that correct? 815 Dr. Goodrich. We are currently in the process as you 816 mentioned of implementing the rule that we finalized in 2016. We are on target for implementing all three of the phases and 817 818 that is underway now. 819 Ms. DeGette. Okay. And what is your timeframe for 820 implementing all of the phases? 821 So phase 1 was implemented shortly after the Dr. Goodrich. 822 publication of the final rule in 2016. This was really the things 823 that nursing homes were already doing or were very simple to 824 achieve. 825 Ms. DeGette. Okay. 826 Dr. Goodrich. Phase 2, we began implementation and 827 surveying and enforcing on November 28th of 2017, so that is 828 underway now. We've surveyed about 829 Ms. DeGette. It has been about a year. 830 Dr. Goodrich. It's been about a year and phase 3 begins 831 in November of 2019. 832 Ms. DeGette. And how long will that take?

833 Dr. Goodrich. So nursing homes are expected to be compliant with the phase 3 requirements by November of 2019. So at that 834 835 time that will be the expectation. 836 Ms. DeGette. Okay. And so let me just ask the question 837 again. Do you anticipate that all of the 2016 rules will be 838 implemented? 839 Dr. Goodrich. Yes, we are on track to implement the 2016 840 final rule. 841 Ms. DeGette. Okay. Now I want to ask you a question about 842 a CMS proposal that might prohibit nursing home residents from 843 being able to bring a lawsuit. There is a rule that bans 844 pre-dispute arbitration agreements and CMS has signaled it may 845 In other words CMS is proposing to remove what I 846 consider to be a consumer protection rule that was designed to 847 make sure that nursing home residents could go to court or could 848 join other people in lawsuits to settle grievances and that they wouldn't be forced into arbitration. 849 I know a lot of groups like the AARP have expressed concerns 850 851 about this proposed change. What is the status of that? 852 CMS intend to do that and why? 853 Dr. Goodrich. So as you mentioned as part of the 2016 final 854 rule we did impose a ban on pre-dispute arbitration. 855 Ms. DeGette. Yes. 856 Shortly thereafter, Department of Health and Dr. Goodrich. 857 Human Services was sued for an injunction, a preliminary and

859 pre-dispute arbitration. The court granted a preliminary 860 injunction in November of 2016, so we currently cannot enforce 861 what we finalized 862 Ms. DeGette. Did by court order? Dr. Goodrich. 863 Yes. 864 Ms. DeGette. And what is the status of that lawsuit, do 865 you know? I'm not certain of the status but the 866 Dr. Goodrich. 867 injunction is still in place so we are not able to enforce. 868 Ms. DeGette. If you could get us the status of that lawsuit 869 that would be 870 Dr. Goodrich. Certainly. 871 very helpful to us because my view and Ms. DeGette. 872 I think Congresswoman Schakowsky would really agree with me about 873 this as one of the most effective ways to address if we see rampant 874 nursing home abuses is when patients can bring class actions against some of these bad actors. And, you know, these families 875 876 they are going into nursing homes, they are being asked to sign 877 these arbitration agreements. They are so desperate to get the 878 health -- as I think all of you have said, these are families 879 in crisis many times and so they just sign it and then they have 880 signed away their legal rights. So we will do everything we can, I think, to make sure that 881 882 we can enforce that 2016 rule that people don't have to be forced

permanent injunction to stop CMS from enforcing that ban on

883 to sign arbitration agreements. With that I yield back. The gentlewoman yields back. 884 Mr. Harper. 885 The chair will now recognize the gentleman from Oregon, the 886 chair of the full committee, Mr. Walden, for 5 minutes. And I want to thank 887 The Chairman. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 888 our witnesses. We have another hearing going on downstairs and 889 so some of us have to bounce back and forth. 890 Dr. Goodrich, a September 2017 data brief issued by the OIG 891 indicated that there was a significant amount of variation with 892 respect to how state survey agencies classified the complaints 893 they received. For example, data compiled by the OIG showed that 894 in 2015 there were three states that prioritized complaints as 895 being immediate jeopardy at least 40 percent of the time, while 896 eight states did not designate any of their complaints as 897 immediate jeopardy. 898 Can you explain why there seems to be such a variation in 899 how states prioritize complaints and what is CMS doing to ensure 900 that complaints and deficiencies are addressed in a more 901 consistent manner? 902 Dr. Goodrich. Yes, thank you for the question. So, first, 903 I want to say we very much appreciate the work of the OIG and 904 the GAO in the oversight of our programs. They really help to 905 make our programs better and we have concurred with the vast majority of their recommendations particularly on this issue 906 907 around state service oversight, state agency oversight.

So we are undertaking actively a number of actions to address exactly these recommendations. So number one, CMS regional offices do meet quarterly with the state survey agencies to discuss issues, look at trends and how they're performing, any concerns that they may have. We also recently undertook an effort to really overhaul our federal oversight surveys.

We are required to conduct federal oversight surveys of about five percent of state surveys or at least five state surveys and we've been doing this for awhile, but we've undertaken an effort beginning in April of this year to revise that process in response to what we learned from the OIG as well as the GAO. So that's underway now as well.

We also give monthly feedback reports to the state survey agencies that we began in April of this year which allow them to understand where their own deficiencies are, where there may be patterns of inconsistencies or where they're not appropriately citing deficiencies as they should. And this has really been made possible by the new standardized software-based survey process that we implemented last fall across the country.

The Chairman. Ah, okay.

Dr. Goodrich. And then finally we are in the process right now of really overhauling the State Performance Standards System. This is a system that we've had underway for awhile, but again in response to the recommendations from the OIG and the GAO we began an effort again in April of this year to evaluate this entire

program to identify ways to improve it. It's a very large-scale effort, will take at least a year to do but is well underway.

And it's really focused on improving the efficiency and the effectiveness of measuring and improving state performance.

The Chairman. Right.

Dr. Goodrich. So we're very happy that we have these recommendations and that we're moving forward on them.

The Chairman. Good, thank you. You know, admittedly, this is old, but my mother spent her last few months in a nursing home in our hometown 28 years ago. And I spent a lot of time in and out as you do with a parent and I was always struck by how much time the people that were giving health care had to spend on paperwork. And they would be off in the cafeteria and I went over, and I was in state legislature at the time, and I said what is all this, and just reams of paper, paper, paper.

And I thought at some point, here, as public policy people we want what everybody wants is quality safe care especially for this vulnerable and difficult fragile population and sometimes government just overreacts and says we need a new rule, we need a new regulation, we need another something which in the end eats up the resource that is hard to get.

It is hard to, as we all know there are medical shortages in terms of nurses and aides and everybody else and it just struck me that would my mother have been better off with less reporting and paperwork and somebody that actually was checking on her more

often. Do you know what I mean? And we have got to have both, it is finding this right balance. But boy, I hope somebody is looking at just the layer, a layer, a layer we tend to add on to address a single problem that may occur in Florida and so we think we have to do this everywhere.

And looks at are there some things that we could peel back that would actually allow improved quality of care and then what are the real management tools we need and make sure they are being enforced effectively in this process. It is hard, I know, but I have seen it firsthand. My parents, both my parents and my mother-in-law and over the years and, you know, you realize it is a difficult population and very fragile medically. Things happen and mistakes are made and there are some bad actors.

And so I just hope as you all are doing your work somebody is looking at that angle as well so the measurements and the tools for enforcement are effective but make sense too. So, Mr. Chairman, I yield back.

Mr. Harper. The gentleman yields back. The chair will now recognize the gentlewoman from Florida, Ms. Castor, for 5 minutes.

Ms. Castor. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I think this investigation by the committee is very important on nursing home resident care and the quality of our skilled nursing centers across the country and I appreciate the focus on emergency preparedness. It has not been a year since Hurricane Irma swept through and I think it is important for us to go through what

CMS is doing, what states are doing. One thing that should not be done has become clear here as was reported by the AP earlier this year. As Hurricane Irma bore down on Florida, Governor Rick Scott gave out his cell phone number during a conference call with administrators of the state's nursing homes and assisted living facilities. He told them to contact him if they ran into problems and he would try to get help.

So they did 120 times according to phone records released earlier this year, not last year. Nearly all the calls went directly to voice mail before being returned. The Associated Press reached 29 of the callers and found that in numerous cases the Governor's offer to personally intervene may have slowed efforts to get help and fostered unrealistic and potentially dangerous expectations that Scott could resolve problems.

Irma knocked out power across much of Florida as its strongest winds swept from Key West to Jacksonville, so most of the skilled nursing centers asked for restoration of electricity. But Florida is served by private electric companies and municipal utilities and none are directed by the state, so the Governor's office could only request that particular nursing homes be given priority.

Twelve patients later died of overheating at a nursing home that called Scott's cell phone three times. Its administrators say Scott's staff didn't get them help restoring the air conditioning but we know it was a significant management failure

1008 as well by the owners of Hollywood Hills. This cannot be the 1009 answer for emergency preparedness. 1010 So I understand now there are new requirements that went 1011 into effect in November of 2016. CMS is now surveying states. 1012 That began last year. What have we found? Are the states 1013 following through? I will let you begin, Doctor. 1014 Absolutely. Thank you for the question. 1015 As you mentioned, we did finalize the emergency preparedness rule 1016 in November of 2016. This applied to all Medicare-certified facilities certainly including long-term care facilities or 1017 1018 We began verifying that compliance in November 1019 of 2017. 1020 So far we have surveyed about 75 percent of facilities. 1021 We anticipate we will have surveyed across the country a hundred 1022 percent of facilities by February of 2019. As you noted, there 1023 is a need for proper communications systems when there is a 1024 disaster and one of the components of the emergency preparedness 1025 rule that facilities are now required to adhere to is to develop 1026 and maintain communications systems to contact appropriate staff 1027 and authorities. 1028 So are you finding now in the surveys that they 1029 are adhering to the new requirements? 1030 So we are finding currently that there have Dr. Goodrich. 1031 been some providers that have been cited for noncompliance so

we are working with them to bring them into compliance rapidly.

1033 That is an area that they are required to adhere to. Currently, 1034 we are not finding that that is one of the most commonly cited 1035 deficiencies, but it is something that we are surveying for 1036 actively. 1037 Ms. Castor. Thank you. I mean states have a critical role 1038 here and I am concerned with certain states not following through 1039 with requirements. For instance, OIG's audits have found that 1040 some states fell short in investigating the most serious 1041 complaints in nursing homes. Ms. Dorrill, what are the 1042 nature of these complaints and what should we expect the states 1043 to do in response? 1044 Ms. Dorrill. The complaints ran across the board and then 1045 half of them were associated with high priority or immediate 1046 jeopardy, so serious complaints. And so I think the issue at 1047 hand is that states have to be held accountable. Dr. Goodrich 1048 talked a bit about that system and I think it's critical to all 1049 these pieces coming together that the states are understanding 1050 the new requirements and effectively enforcing those in the homes. 1051 Ms. Castor. Do you believe CMS is holding states 1052 accountable when they do not follow through with their 1053 responsibilities? 1054 So much of this is new, we'll certainly be Ms. Dorrill. 1055 looking at it. But so much of the new requirement in the guidance 1056 is just new within the last, you know, 9 months and so we don't

know but we certainly have pointed out weaknesses. And we think

that it's a two-pronged approach. It's education and it's also ensuring that there's some kind of accountability on the part of the states to ensure that they follow through.

Ms. Castor. Thank you. I yield back.

Mr. Harper. The gentlewoman yields back. The chair will now recognize the gentleman from Virginia, the vice chairman of the subcommittee, for 5 minutes.

Mr. Griffith. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. I greatly appreciate it.

Dr. Goodrich, my colleagues, Congresswoman Black,
Congressman Adrian Smith, Lujan and Crowley and I recently
introduced the Reducing Unnecessary Senior Hospitalization Act
of 2018 which seeks to improve quality in nursing homes by
providing quality acute care at patients' bedsides via telehealth
instead of transferring them to the hospital. By CMS' own
calculations, two-thirds of hospital transfers are avoidable
leading to increased costs to Medicare and negatively impacting
health outcomes and quality of care. What are your thoughts
on the potential for complementing current nursing home staff
with emergency trained first responders utilizing telehealth to
connect physician specialists, i.e., emergency physicians that
might not otherwise be available to this patient population?

Dr. Goodrich. So thank you for that question and letting me know about this pending legislation. So we do understand that as you mentioned transfers to the hospital that's, you know,

that's a very disrupting event for a nursing home patient and many of them are avoidable. This is something we actually measure as part of our quality reporting programs so we're certainly aware that there's a significant level of admissions to a hospital.

So we would be very interested and willing to provide technical assistance to you and your staff on this legislation at your convenience.

Mr. Griffith. Well, I appreciate that very much and thank you. You know, I am really excited by telemedicine.

Representing a fairly rural district, I can tell you that one of my small nursing home chains has implemented wound care by using telemedicine, so they have a wound care specialist who is available.

And one of their nurses will go in and see the patient who may have a bedsore or some other kind of injury and they are looking at through a pair of glasses that has a camera on it and the wound specialist wherever they are in the United States can see that wound, get a color picture, be able to then to tell the nursing home staff what needs to be done to make sure that that wound is being treated properly and taken care of. So I am really excited about telemedicine as a whole.

Let me go to your payroll-based journal for staffing, because I do think that sometimes there may be some confusion. And while we recognize that we want the staffing to be there so you all can use it as a tool, you mentioned it in your statement, Mr.

Dicken mentioned in his that there was, you know, the self-reporting hadn't worked because there was a difference.

But I think that may be a little unfair to CMS and to the nursing homes affected, to some of them. Not the bad actors but people that are really trying, because am I not correct that it is a slightly different standard? In self-reporting if you had a salaried employee who worked 50-55 hours a week they got to count that extra time, but under your report which I have no quarrel with, I am just saying they are different, you only count those folks at a maximum of 40 hours of being on the floor.

Likewise, if you have an LPN who is doing supervisory work, they don't get credit for their supervisory time where an RN would. Again no quarrel with the change, but just saying that to say that the old reporting system was intentionally underreporting might not be fair since it is really apples to oranges. Wouldn't you agree with that?

Dr. Goodrich. The previous reporting system was essentially a two-week snapshot that the nursing homes completed on a form during their recertification survey. The current system as you mentioned is based upon daily staffing levels of numerous different types of staff that the nursing homes have to report quarterly to CMS. And certainly as we were standing that up we had to make certain decisions around ensuring that what is reported is auditable back to the payroll so that it could be as it is required by law so that it could be as accurate as

possible.

So the situations you mentioned around a salaried employee, yes, we only count the 40 hours a week that they would be working.

Mr. Griffith. And I don't have any quarrel with that but to say that there was understaffing previously when you are using different metrics wouldn't really be fair to CMS or to some of the nursing homes. Wouldn't that be fair to say?

Dr. Goodrich. I would say it's very difficult to compare the two.

Mr. Griffith. Difficult to compare, okay.

The Commonwealth of Virginia partnering with healthcare providers developed a long-term care mutual aid plan which is a voluntary agreement among participating nursing homes that they will share supplies, resources, and house residents from other facilities if a serious need arises. We heard Chairman Walden say earlier that one of his nursing homes or a small chain had a facility in California and was looking to move patients to Oregon. This is actually a statewide system. Are you familiar with this type of plan and do you think it will work and do you think other states will adopt it?

Dr. Goodrich. I am not familiar with this kind of plan but we certainly would be interested to learn more and again our staff would be glad to follow up with you on this.

Mr. Griffith. Very good. Thank you so much.

I yield back, Mr. Chairman.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1158 Mr. Harper. The gentleman yields back. The chair will now 1159 recognize the gentleman from California, Mr. Ruiz, for 5 minutes. 1160 Mr. Ruiz. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Taking care of seniors 1161 has been a big priority for me as a physician. I am an emergency 1162 medicine doctor, Dr. Goodrich, and now as a Member of Congress 1163 advocating for them here. And when a loved one is placed in the 1164 care of a nursing home, we trust and expect that they will receive 1165 high quality care and as we know many nursing homes do exactly 1166 that. But it is also clear from years of reports from OIG and 1167 GAO that there are problematic providers out there. 1168 Ms. Dorrill, your office did groundbreaking work that 1169 identified instances of adverse events in nursing homes and you 1170 found that one in three Medicare beneficiaries experienced harm 1171 during their stay. So what kind of adverse events did these 1172 residents experience, can you elaborate on those? 1173 Ms. Dorrill. Yes, thank you for the question. 1174 And that's actually a part of our message is ranged the gamut. 1175 that we found that nursing homes were focusing on just a small 1176 number of events, falls with injury, for example, and pressure 1177 ulcers, and they were excluding a broad range of events that were 1178 already happening that went unnoticed as harm. Things like blood 1179 clots and dehydration that can seem like subtle 1180 That they didn't identify and allowed it to Mr. Ruiz.

persist for a time.

medication, et cetera?

1181

1182

How about medical errors, giving the wrong

It really

1183	Ms. Dorrill. Fourteen percent of our events involve medical
1184	error. When a lot of people think about adverse events they think
1185	it's all medical error. But one of the things that we've tried
1186	to promulgate is this notion that adverse events can occur from
1187	general substandard care. It's not really a mistake, it's just
1188	not doing the right thing.
1189	Mr. Ruiz. So you say that half of these were preventable.
1190	Can you give me some examples of those that were not preventable
1191	that
1192	Ms. Dorrill. Yes. So, for example, if someone was giver
1193	a medication and they were allergic to that and had a reaction
1194	but no one knew that they were allergic that was not information
1195	that the physician could have acted upon.
1196	Mr. Ruiz. And so are these different adverse events not
1197	on the state agencies' survey lists? Why are they not looking
1198	for these?
1199	Ms. Dorrill. I think that there's been a revolution and
1200	this is true for hospitals too in the whole notion of adverse
1201	events. And CMS has changed its hospitals provisions as well
1202	that I think there was just a narrow focus on a small number of
1203	events and people weren't thinking about harm more broadly.
1204	Mr. Ruiz. So they weren't.
1205	Ms. Dorrill. No.
1206	Mr. Ruiz. They weren't looking for these different types
1207	of adverse events.

1208 Ms. Dorrill. That's correct. 1209 So I would like to turn to another quality of 1210 In your recent reporting, OIG again identified care concern. 1211 Medicare beneficiaries in nursing homes who suffered harm, this 1212 time from abuse and neglect, where still OIG found that, quote, 1213 a significant percentage of these incidents may not have been 1214 reported to law enforcement. 1215 So I find this very troubling and so did you, or OIG, enough 1216 to issue an early alert to CMS about the findings. What are some 1217 of the immediate actions CMS can take to address these 1218 vulnerabilities? 1219 Ms. Dorrill. Thank you. We first requested that they do 1220 what we did which is it's possible to look in the claims and find out a lot of these things are claims associated with abuse and 1221 1222 neglect and that we suggested that CMS do that to monitor the 1223 situation. And then, secondly, we also suggested that they 1224 enhance their pursuit of the authority to be able to give remedies 1225 when these events were not reported. 1226 Dr. Goodrich, what has the agency taken, what Mr. Ruiz. 1227 actions has the agency taken to address this finding? 1228 Dr. Goodrich. So regarding the recommendation to look in 1229 the claims for emergency room services and matching those claims to skilled nursing facilities, that is something that we are 1230 currently exploring the feasibility of doing. 1231 1232 You haven't started it but you are just looking Mr. Ruiz.

1233 | into it.

Dr. Goodrich. We're exploring whether or not that's feasible to do to be able to have that information to the surveyors.

Mr. Ruiz. Well, by law, as an emergency physician if somebody reports any suspicion of abuse or neglect that has to go into the medical record and that has to be reported to the county officials and APS and all that so that would be a good place to start.

I have another question in terms of empowering the clients and consumers and also their families. Is there any requirement that when a patient gets or a person gets admitted to a nursing home during the orientation that they are given an understanding of their rights, of quality measures, resources, to understand more about what those quality measures are and also a way to report any concerns to a third party like an agency or CMS, is that a requirement, part of your requirements for CMS so that they know that and is that being implemented properly?

Dr. Goodrich. Yes. So yes, that is a requirement as part of our requirements for participation that residents or their, and their families or their surrogates be informed of their rights as soon as they are admitted into a nursing facility and that they are informed of their rights to file complaints with the state survey agency or with law enforcement.

Mr. Ruiz. Are they given the information on how to do that?

1258 Dr. Goodrich. Yeah, it's supposed to be posted in the 1259 nursing home. Sorry, I'm not familiar with the details. Mr. Ruiz. Yes, see that is the difference that Ms. Dorrill 1260 1261 It is either posted or you have something in writing, 1262 but the true understanding and the implementation of that 1263 information is a different story. 1264 So do we know if it is being conducted in a way where during 1265 the orientation they are being explained on how to file a 1266 complaint? 1267 Dr. Goodrich. Yes. As part of the admission process in 1268 addition to everything about the plan of care in clinical care, 1269 one of our conditions or requirements for participation is around 1270 patient rights and being informed of those rights. 1271 Mr. Ruiz. Thank you. 1272 The gentleman yields back. The chair will now Mr. Harper. 1273 recognize the gentlewoman from Indiana, Mrs. Brooks, for 5 1274 minutes. 1275 Mrs. Brooks. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank you for 1276 holding this very important hearing. 1277 Ms. Dorrill, I would like as Chairman Harper talked about 1278 in his opening statement, I want to focus a little bit on my line 1279 of questioning regarding the owner of the facility where the 12 1280 residents died in the aftermath of Hurricane Irma, the Hollywood 1281 Because it is my understanding that Dr. Michel is 1282 that how we say his name, or Michel? Is it Michel? Michel

had been the subject of wrongdoing in the past including settling with the Department of Justice long ago, corporate integrity agreement, after being implicated in a scheme to receive kickbacks for providing unnecessary medical treatment to elderly residents and that was the '06 timeframe.

Can you please explain -- and I am a former U.S. Attorney so I have worked with HHS OIG. Can you explain what tools are available to you to exclude facility owners from owning nursing homes if obviously OIG had determined and there was a settlement and so forth, but they were involved in participating in this unlawful conduct or fraud, can you go into deeper detail about exclusion process?

Ms. Dorrill. Yes, just to say though, you know, I'm not in the Counsel's Office. I'm not an investigator but I'll do my best, that OIG has a number of tools at our disposal and this it's critical to us. It's the main part of our work that we hold wrongdoers accountable. And so I think the important thing to remember is that those tools are at our disposal and that it depends on the specific facts and circumstances of the case what direction we go.

But we certainly have the exclusion authority. We also have tools such as under the False Claims Act we have the ability to impose civil monetary penalties. We also have hundreds of criminal investigators who help their law enforcement partners to investigate criminal cases. So it's a broad range of activity

and core to our mission.

Mrs. Brooks. Can you talk a little bit though about the exclusion authority tool and how long the process takes, who ultimately makes the decision as to when a provider is on the exclusion list?

Ms. Dorrill. So for those who may not be familiar and again I'm not in the Counsel's Office, but the OIG can exclude individuals and entities from federal programs such as Medicare and Medicaid for various types of conduct set forth in statute including false claims. The primary effect of that exclusion is it will no longer pay for services and we maintain a database with all that information publicly. OIG has certainly excluded nursing home providers. We recently excluded a 13-facility nursing home chain. We have something like 70,000 excluded providers now, something like 1,600 just this fiscal year alone. So I don't know if that fully answers your question.

Mrs. Brooks. It doesn't require though a criminal conviction then for a person to be excluded or an entity to be excluded?

Ms. Dorrill. I'll need to take that question, I'd be so happy to, back to my Counsel's Office to make sure that I can give you accurate information there.

Mrs. Brooks. I think we would like to know more information about the exclusion process from Counsel's Office and from your office particularly relative to, you know, not only we had that

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

incident, but as I understand there are other incidents involving this particular provider let alone the Hollywood Hills incident. So I am interested in knowing how long the process takes, who makes the final decisions, what are the categories that a person can be excluded.

Then I would like to ask both you and Dr. Goodrich a little bit more about the emergency preparedness issues. We are reauthorizing what is called PAHPA, Pandemic All-Hazards

Preparedness Act, and we are including in that a provision to have the National Academy of Medicine do an overview of emergency preparedness by hospitals but also long-term care facilities.

And because as I am hearing you both say that while there might be plans in place that doesn't necessarily mean the execution of those plans happen.

And is that any -- do you believe there needs to be more attention to this emergency preparedness that we are not doing enough? Dr. Goodrich?

Dr. Goodrich. Thank you. Obviously this is, you know, a huge priority for us especially given the events of last year. So as we've mentioned we are in the process, in the early process of implementing that regulation and surveying facilities for that. So as you're working, doing your work on this area we'd be more than happy to give you technical assistance and talk through these issues with you. But we are early in the process and I think learning how it is going.

1358 Mrs. Brooks. Okay, thank you. 1359 Ms. Dorrill, anything further before my time is expired? 1360 No, just asserting that we found significant Ms. Dorrill. 1361 problems with the emergency planning and appreciate your focus 1362 on that areas. 1363 Mrs. Brooks. Thank you. I yield back. 1364 Mr. Harper. The gentlewoman yields back. The chair will 1365 now recognize the gentlewoman from Illinois, Ms. Schakowsky, for 1366 5 minutes. 1367 Ms. Schakowsky. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 1368 If I sound a little impatient about this focus on nursing 1369 home and safety it is because I have been working on this issue 1370 since the mid-80s, including when I was in the state legislature 1371 in Illinois and ever since I have been here in Congress. 1372 are some provisions in the Affordable Care Act that deal with 1373 nursing homes that I was successful in getting into the 1374 But I don't know how many GAO reports there have legislation. 1375 I don't know how many reports from oversight committees 1376 there have been about these persistent problems. 1377 And as we enter into this age where more, you know, the aging 1378 of America, the graying of America, more and more people needing 1379 long-term care including nursing homes, it is hard for me to hear 1380 words like, this is an important first step. I mean we need to 1381 be making last steps now. We need to be getting at the heart 1382 of the problem.

1383 Let me ask you, Dr. Goodrich, who has the primary 1384 responsibility to make sure that nursing home quality standards 1385 are met, states or CMS? And is it the policy of the Trump 1386 administration to shift more of the responsibility to the states? 1387 Dr. Goodrich. So it is a shared responsibility between the 1388 states and CMS. We promulgate the regulations and then we oversee 1389 the state survey agencies in their implementation of the surveys 1390 of the nursing homes and the implementation of those regulations. 1391 And as I --1392 Ms. Schakowsky. Are we seeing more of a shift towards states 1393 or is this always standard? 1394 Dr. Goodrich. Our process for overseeing health and safety 1395 for nursing homes remains the same. It hasn't changed. Ιt 1396 remains a partnership in the way that I just described. 1397 Ms. Schakowsky. What was the rationale behind no longer 1398 imposing financial penalties for each day of a violation? 1399 Couldn't that be seen as a weakening of a commitment to 1400 enforcement? 1401 Dr. Goodrich. Specifically related to the civil monetary 1402 penalties what we were seeing over the last few years and what 1403 had been, I think, also recognized by others was that there was 1404 quite a bit of variation in how civil monetary penalties were 1405 being applied across the country. In some areas not being applied 1406 enough when they should have been and in other areas being applied 1407 in situations when actually should have had different enforcement

remedies applied.

So we sought to make that process more standardized and more uniform so that there was consistency across the country in the correct application of civil monetary penalties. And so last year what we did was we worked with the regional offices and we developed a civil monetary penalty tool so that survey agencies and our regional offices could go and use that tool which has essentially an algorithm in it to ensure that regions are consistently and accurately applying civil monetary penalties.

Ms. Schakowsky. Except that I am asking about the penalties then, not the monitoring, the penalties, no longer imposing financial penalties for each day.

Dr. Goodrich. So we do still impose financial penalties for each day, so per day penalties depending upon the circumstance. And the number of those penalties has actually risen over the last 4 years. In 2014 we had just over 1,100 per day civil monetary penalties and in 2017 we had almost 2,000 per day.

Ms. Schakowsky. So let me ask you this. Do the nursing home advocates support these changes?

Dr. Goodrich. We have certainly worked with and been transparent about our intents here related to --

Ms. Schakowsky. That is kind of a yes or no.

Dr. Goodrich. I would have to ask the nursing home advocates. We certainly have had discussions with them about

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1433 this. We have seen 1434 Ms. Schakowsky. My understanding is no. Let me also, I 1435 want to get to a Human Rights Watch report found that in an average 1436 week nursing facilities in the United States administer powerful 1437 anti-psychotropic drugs in over 179,000 people who don't need 1438 them. I ask unanimous consent to enter that report into the 1439 record. 1440 Mr. Harper. Without objection. 1441 [The information follows:] 1442 *********COMMITTEE INSERT 4******* 1443

1444 Ms. Schakowsky. These drugs are often given without 1445 informed consent. This is after a 2011 OIG report that found 1446 rampant overuse of these anti-psychotic drugs. 1447 So, Dr. Goodrich, what actions are CMS taking to address 1448 the high rate of these drugs and used 7 years after that OIG report? 1449 Dr. Goodrich. So we would completely agree that this has 1450 been a very significant quality and safety issue within nursing 1451 That is why in 2011 in partnership with a number of homes. 1452 stakeholders we launched the National Partnership to Improve Dementia Care in Nursing Homes which was a holistic effort around 1453 1454 dementia care, but definitely had a very serious focus around 1455 reducing inappropriate use of anti-psychotics in nursing homes. We have seen over that time period from 2011 to early 2017 1456 1457 a 34 percent reduction in the inappropriate use of anti-psychotics 1458 and we are now focusing Ms. Schakowsky. So two-thirds still remains. 1459 1460 Dr. Goodrich. So there is still overuse. That is true. 1461 And there are particular nursing homes in the country who have 1462 not made the kinds of improvements that we would hope. And so 1463 we have set a new goal to focus on those facilities that are still 1464 overusing to unacceptable extent. 1465 Ms. Schakowsky. Thank you. 1466 The gentlewoman yields back. Mr. Harper. The chair will 1467 now recognize the gentlewoman from California, Mrs. Walters, for 1468 5 minutes.

Mrs. Walters. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Federal regulations enumerate a limited number of circumstances under which a nursing facility or skilled nursing facility may transfer or discharge a resident against their will. Under federal law, a nursing facility or skilled nursing facility must also readmit residents who may temporarily leave for a hospitalization.

However, claims that nursing home residents are being dumped or denied readmission appears to be a growing concern.

For example, according to press reports, the California State Long-term Care Ombudsman received more than 1,500 complaints in 2016 alleging that residents have been improperly discharged or evicted from nursing homes in California. This is a 73 percent increase from the number of complaints received since 2011. The Illinois State Ombudsman has stated that such complaints have more than doubled since 2011.

Dr. Goodrich, does CMS view involuntary discharges of nursing home residents or denials of readmission as a significant problem?

Dr. Goodrich. Yes. This is something that we have also heard reports about happening and it is something that we're concerned about absolutely.

Mrs. Walters. When nursing homes residents are involuntarily discharged from or denied readmission to a nursing home after a hospital stay, where do they typically end up and how are they cared for?

1494 Dr. Goodrich. So I think that's variable and that is 1495 something that we are trying to explore a little further to 1496 understand what's happening on the ground with these residents. 1497 So certainly where they end up if that's your question can be 1498 quite variable. It can be, you know, with a family member and 1499 another facility is often where they will end up going as well. 1500 Mrs. Walters. Are you guys trying to do any sort of analysis 1501 on this to find out exactly where they are ending up? 1502 Dr. Goodrich. I'd be happy to get back to you with the answer 1503 to the question to how we're taking a look at that. I'm not sure 1504 of the specifics. 1505 Mrs. Walters. Did you want to add something? 1506 Ms. Dorrill. We have, we're currently underway on this 1507 exact issue. I share your concern and we have a study that will 1508 be coming out shortly that will be of interest to you. 1509 Mrs. Walters. Okay, thank you. 1510 Federal law also requires states provide nursing home 1511 residents, who allege they were improperly discharged or 1512 transferred, with a hearing and, if appropriate, provide for 1513 residents a readmission to the nursing home if they prevail. 1514 However, it has been alleged that California is failing to enforce its own hearing decisions in instances where decisions have been 1515 rendered in favor of residents. 1516 In a 2012 letter to the California Department of Public 1517

Health, Center for Healthcare Quality, CMS stated that while it

1519 could not advise California what particular state agency should 1520 enforce the hearing decisions, as that is for the states to decide, 1521 CMS regulations are clear that the state agency must promptly 1522 make corrective actions. CMS reiterated California's obligation to enforce its hearing decisions in a letter sent on August 31st, 1523 2017. 1524 1525 Dr. Goodrich, how does CMS verify that states are fulfilling 1526 their legal obligations to adjudicate and enforce hearing 1527 decisions related to improper nurse home discharges or transfers? So this is a topic with which I'm not terribly 1528 Dr. Goodrich. 1529 familiar of the specifics of the California case, but we'd be 1530 very happy to take a look at it and get back to you with responses 1531 to that. 1532 Mrs. Walters. Okay, so then I don't know if you can answer 1533 these two questions but I will ask you. Does CMS know whether 1534 California is meeting its legal obligations to enforce these 1535 decisions? 1536 I'm not personally aware but we will get back 1537 to you with that. 1538 Okay, then I have one more. Does CMS know Mrs. Walters. 1539 of or have reason to believe other states may be failing to enforce 1540 their hearing decisions? 1541 I think that's something we certainly would Dr. Goodrich. 1542 be concerned about and would be happy to get back to you with 1543 responses.

1544 Mrs. Walters. Okay, if you guys could follow up 1545 Dr. Goodrich. We will. 1546 and get back to the committee on that Mrs. Walters. 1547 we would really appreciate it. 1548 Dr. Goodrich. Of course. 1549 Thank you and I yield back the balance of Mrs. Walters. 1550 my time. 1551 The gentlewoman yields back. Mr. Harper. I will now 1552 recognize the vice chairman of the subcommittee, Mr. Griffith, 1553 for the purposes of a follow-up question. 1554 Mr. Griffith. Yeah, and I think that Ms. Schakowsky and I might be on the same side, we might not be, but it deals with 1555 1556 the daily fines and so forth. Because I am aware of a situation, 1557 so I am glad you are looking at it so we can get these algorithms where they make sense because you want to punish people for bad 1558 1559 acts. 1560 But I am aware of a situation where coffee was spilled. Something should have been said but 1561 There was an incident. 1562 somehow the fine ended up being between a million and two million 1563 The patient never went to the hospital. No serious dollars. 1564 Clearly something needed to be done, but it seemed 1565 that maybe the old algorithm was a little out of whack if you 1566 end up with a million to two million dollar penalty for spilled 1567 coffee and no hospitalization.

Dr. Goodrich. So I'm not familiar with that particular

incident, but I think that is potentially an example where there was again as I mentioned before we weren't always seeing consistent application of the civil monetary penalties in both directions. And so that's why we really have been trying to standardize that.

Mr. Griffith. And I appreciate that and hope that you all get that all worked out, but agree that there ought to be penalties and there ought to be something that the nursing homes can know that this is what we are supposed to do, and if there is a problem the penalty will be something that is equal to or in the vein of what ought to be happening.

Thank you, yield back.

Mr. Harper. The chair will now recognize Ms. Schakowsky for the purposes of a follow-up question.

Ms. Schakowsky. So in terms of CMS enforcement I wondered how you are using these new -- we have been talking somewhat about the payroll staffing data reported by nursing homes to enforce the requirements that each facility have a registered nurse on duty at least 8 hours every day. Let me just state my preference. I mean I think most people who put a person in a nursing home would be shocked that there is not a nurse, a registered nurse 24/7, you know, when they get the bill for the month that there is not a nurse there.

I have a piece of legislation I have introduced, Put a Nurse in a Nursing Home. But I am just wondering how you are following

up on that.

1594

1595

1596

1597

1598

1599

1600

1601

1602

1603

1604

1605

1606

1607

1608

1609

1610

1611

1612

1613

1614

1615

1616

1617

1618

Absolutely. Thank you for bringing that up. Dr. Goodrich. We would agree that the new payroll-based journal system gives us really unprecedented insight into staffing within nursing And as you mentioned, some of the things that we have discovered since we started requiring the reporting of those data is exactly what you mentioned, is that there are some nursing homes that do not have a registered nurse as required by our regulations for 8 hours a day, 7 days a week. And I think even more concerning is that we see fluctuations in some nursing homes, again a minority but it's there, where that those deficiencies in nurse staffing are more common on the weekends than they are on the weekdays. And I can't think of any clinical reason why that should be different on a Saturday than on a Tuesday.

So that is something that we are concerned about and right now we're taking two actions related to that. I will caveat that by saying this is early, we're exploring the data and we're thinking ahead about other ways in which we can use these data better. So number one, one thing we have already done is in the five-star rating system nursing homes that do not have nurse staffing as appropriate for at least 7 days out of a quarter, their star rating goes down to one star and that affects the staffing star rating and that affects that overall star rating as well.

1619 We are also looking at ways in which we could incorporate 1620 the findings that I just mentioned about the fluctuations and 1621 the lack of nursing as required by regulation further into the 1622 star rating system. The second thing that we're doing is that 1623 we are embedding the data, the staffing data into our survey 1624 software which will then allow the state surveyors when they go 1625 onsite to do their investigations to have that information around 1626 staffing for that nursing home that they are in so that they can 1627 look for quality issues that may be related to staffing based upon the data they have right there in their hand. 1628 1629 So those are two ways in which we're, for now, initially 1630 using these data, but we'll continue to explore other ways. 1631 Ms. Schakowsky. Okay, and any of the other two witnesses 1632 want to say anything on this topic? I don't know. 1633 Ms. Dorrill. I just wanted to say that we have work underway 1634 now on the payroll-based journal and we plan to look at the 1635 accuracy of the data and CMS' use of it at this early 1636 implementation. 1637 Ms. Schakowsky. Okay. I would really like to see that 1638 after you complete your investigation of that issue. So good, 1639 thank you very much. I yield back. 1640 The chair will now recognize the gentleman, Mr. Harper. 1641 in celebration of his birthday, the gentleman from Georgia, Mr. 1642 Carter. 1643 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I appreciate you Mr. Carter.

1644 sharing that with everyone. And I do appreciate it very much. 1645 We didn't ask what year. Mr. Harper. 1646 You can't thank me for that as well, yeah. Mr. Carter. 1647 Well, thank all of you for being here. Full disclosure, 1648 I am currently the only pharmacist serving in Congress. 1649 am I a pharmacist, but I was also a consultant pharmacist and 1650 my expertise and my career was spent in institutional pharmacy 1651 I have gone through federal inspections, state in nursing homes. 1652 inspections, so this is something that I am very familiar with. And I have to tell you I was blessed to be in a number of 1653 1654 good nursing homes that provided quality care that really cared 1655 about the patients and sometimes I could be frustrated by some 1656 of the regulations. And I just want to encourage you, a couple 1657 First of all, you know, it is important and it is important to have a registered nurse 8 hours a day. 1658 1659 important to make sure that rules and regulations are followed, 1660 but sometimes we get caught up in the cookie cutter approach that 1661 one size fits all. 1662 And I just want to encourage you and I say that because I 1663 have seen it firsthand. I have seen how nursing homes struggle 1664 and they struggle to find good quality help. They don't pay very 1665 high, they can't afford to. It is difficult at times. That is 1666 no excuse, you still have to have quality care and as I say I

was very blessed to be in facilities that provided quality care.

I think that you have

1667

1668

I am sorry I had another hearing,

but we have already talked about the payroll-based journal and about the fact that salaried employees, and trust me, I have seen a salaried, a DNS who has, you know, is registered as 40 hours seeing a more 60 or 80 hours a week. So that is kind of a misnomer and I hope you take that into consideration.

And then whenever, you know, you are talking about a 30-minute lunch break, I have seen them, you know, take 5 minutes to cram something in their mouth and go on and continue on. have also seen it as you well know, and I know I am the preacher preaching to the choir here, but nursing homes can fall apart I have been in a nursing home in the morning and it quickly. was, you know, in top shape and then by the afternoon and just because of the patient population it can really fall apart very quickly. But anyway, having said that I will tell you that I am concerned particularly the federal inspectors as it relates to the state inspectors. I have seen the state inspectors sometimes try to do too much because the federal inspectors are Generally what happens is that you would always following them. know if the fire inspector came and then probably the surveyors, the state surveyors were coming next because the fire inspector would always come first and then the state surveyors would come.

And the federal surveyors would come after the state surveyors in order to see how well the state surveyors had done and sometimes I felt like they were putting undue pressure on some of the state inspectors. Not that they didn't need it at

1669

1670

1671

1672

1673

1674

1675

1676

1677

1678

1679

1680

1681

1682

1683

1684

1685

1686

1687

1688

1689

1690

1691

1692

1695 the checks and balances in that and I understand that. 1696 I wanted to ask you and I will ask Dr. Goodrich, you, this question about some of the potential complexity for providers 1697 1698 that have that the regulations. As I understand it, there has 1699 been a temporary moratorium placed on some of the 194 regulations Just to clarify, how 1700 as a result of the stakeholder feedback. 1701 many of the 194 regulations had this moratorium placed on them? 1702 Dr. Goodrich. Eight. 1703 Mr. Carter. Eight of them. And out of those eight did any 1704 of those have to do with neglect or with abuse? 1705 They did not. Dr. Goodrich. 1706 Mr. Carter. They did not, okay. Good, they should not and And, finally, do facilities still have to 1707 I appreciate that. 1708 enforce these eight regulations and have a plan in place to fix 1709 them if they are noncompliant? 1710 Absolutely. That's our expectation, yes. Dr. Goodrich. 1711 That is your expectation, good. 1712 know, I have seen the burden that can be placed on these facilities 1713 and again no one is accepting and I am certainly not advocating 1714 that they shouldn't have quality care. This is a very feeble, 1715 if you will, population that needs this help. But I just want 1716 to make sure we have balance here. I want you to understand that 1717 I have worked side by side with these people in the nursing homes 1718 and they are good people who truly -- for the most part.

times, they did, and it is important. It is important to have

Now like every profession you have bad actors and you have to get rid of those bad actors and to a certain extent, to a large extent that is your responsibility and the responsibility of the state surveyors. We need to get those bad actors out. They need to be brought to justice, if you will. But for the most part, I want you -- I just feel like I need to express to you the true quality work that many of these facilities provide and that many of these employees provide. And, Mr. Chairman, I will yield.

Mr. Harper. The gentleman yields back. The chair will now recognize the gentleman from Florida, Mr. Billirakis, for 5 minutes.

Mr. Bilirakis. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I appreciate it. Thanks for holding this hearing, so very important.

As you know, Mr. Chairman, last year we had Irma that hit Florida. The many hardworking staff of our nursing homes and assisted living facilities prepared for the hurricane, 862 facilities evacuated, over 2,000 facilities lost power in the state of Florida. They were tested by the storm and the vast majority passed. Again those folks were doing the Lord's work and we do appreciate them so very much.

Yet, in every group there are bad actors as my colleague just said. We had the Rehabilitation Center at Hollywood Hills fail to take the proper measures to protect their residents and as a result 12 people died from heat exposure despite having a hospital across the street from the facility. These deaths were

1754

1755

1756

1757

1758

1759

1760

1761

1762

1763

1764

1765

1766

1767

1768

100 percent preventable.

One of the concerns that have is how many facilities are not in compliance with the emergency rule. Dr. Goodrich, I believe that CMS began compliance surveys last year. Do we know how many facilities are currently not in compliance with the emergency rule? That is my first

Certainly. So we are about 75 percent of the way through surveying all facilities nationally for the emergency preparedness requirements. We will have completed surveys for a hundred percent of facilities by February of 2019. While we are finding that the majority of facilities are in compliance or come into compliance quickly, we have had some citations for noncompliance that are intended to swiftly bring these facilities into compliance. So we have had about 2,300 facilities or so, so far, be cited for noncompliance that then would have to implement a corrective action plan in order to come into compliance.

Mr. Bilirakis. So 2,300 out of how many?

There's a total of about 15,600 nursing homes Dr. Goodrich. but again they haven't all been surveyed yet.

Mr. Bilirakis. Right, so but the majority of them have been surveyed.

Dr. Goodrich. Seventy five percent about.

Mr. Bilirakis. Okay, thank you. The rehab center had their

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

provider agreement terminated. This is the one that I was speaking of in Hollywood, Florida. It was terminated by CMS. Despite this, the owner of the rehab center still has an ownership stake in 11 other facilities that participate in the Medicare program. These facilities continue to operate despite the tragedy that occurred last year and the previous allegations that the Department of Justice made against the owner regarding providing unnecessary medical treatment to seniors.

Dr. Goodrich, given your experience at CMS, are you surprised by this that there are so many, he is operating so many other facilities? And yes and is he being monitored? Can you maybe expand on that, please?

Dr. Goodrich. Certainly. So for any Medicare-certified facility of any type they are required to undergo surveys just like nursing homes do, so whatever type of facility an owner may have an ownership interest in. So they have to undergo periodic recertification surveys in the situation of nursing homes, those are annual. And then there's complaint surveys that can take place if somebody files a quality of care complaint.

So any facility no matter what type that is Medicare-certified would have to undergo these surveys as well.

Mr. Bilirakis. Okay, can you maybe get back to me on whether these other 12 facilities that this person owns follow the emergency rule? Can you give me that information? I know you can't, more than likely you don't have it with you now.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1794 Dr. Goodrich. What I do know is that the other facilities 1795 owned by this owner have undergone the standard recertification 1796 surveys. As it relates specifically to emergency preparedness 1797 we will have to get back to you on that. 1798 Mr. Bilirakis. Please get back to me on that. I appreciate 1799 Again, Doctor, I know the state is trying to pull the rehab it. 1800 center's owners licenses, but I am told it is tied up in the court 1801 system at the moment. I know I don't have a lot of time, so can 1802 CMS terminate the provider agreements with the various facilities 1803 that he has an ownership stake in? Do you have the ability to 1804 do that? As I understand it, Medicare has the ability 1805 Dr. Goodrich. 1806 to bar an individual from owning other facilities under two One is if they have a felony conviction and the 1807 circumstances. 1808 second is if they're on the OIG exclusion list. 1809 Mr. Bilirakis. Okay, very good. 1810 Well, thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thanks for allowing me to 1811 sit in and thanks for holding this hearing. I appreciate it. 1812 Mr. Harper. The gentleman yields back. 1813 Just a little quick follow-up to you, Ms. Dorrill, and to 1814 Both HHS OIG and GAO have found situations where 1815 these allegations of abuse or neglect or substandard care they 1816 have been reported but state survey agencies failed to investigate 1817 those claims in a timely manner. CMS reserves immediate jeopardy 1818 classifications for situations that have caused or are likely

1819 to cause a serious injury, harm, or death to a resident and require 1820 such a claim to be investigated within 2 days. 1821 So, Ms. Dorrill and Mr. Dicken, when state survey agencies 1822 fail to conduct those timely investigations especially in cases 1823 of immediate jeopardy, does that place nursing home residents 1824 at greater risk? 1825 Certainly as we've looked at the complaint 1826 investigation processes we've seen that states have sometimes 1827 been challenged to meet timeframes better at the immediate 1828 jeopardy types of issues that you raise. We did see, however, 1829 that as states are not timely it's much more difficult for states 1830 to be able to substantiate allegations and there are higher 1831 substantiation when they are meeting timely frameworks. So it 1832 is important to have a timely and complete complaint 1833 investigation. 1834 Mr. Harper. All right. Well, let me follow up on that. 1835 So does this failure also potentially allow facilities which 1836 may have in fact harmed a resident to go unpunished and perhaps 1837 give a false impression that they are providing a better standard 1838 of care than they actually are? 1839 Well, certainly to the extent that the 1840 complaints are not investigated or not investigated in a timely 1841 manner that as you know can make it hard to substantiate. 1842 Certainly there are other processes that can go in and identify

that as part of the standard survey process, but that is a real

1844 concern that if they are not being substantiated and because of 1845 not timely reviews. 1846 Thank you. Mr. Harper. 1847 Ms. Dorrill, anything you would like to add to that? 1848 Ms. Dorrill. Just to reiterate how important timeliness 1849 is in terms of substantiation. We did find that there were only 1850 a handful of states who had substantial problems with that to 1851 the extent that that's helpful. 1852 I want to thank each of you for being here. Mr. Harper. Our concern is the care and well-being of the residents of any 1853 1854 of these facilities. They are the loved ones of many families 1855 that care greatly about what happens. You have a great 1856 responsibility. We thank you for being here today. I also want to remind members that they have 10 business 1857 1858 days to submit questions for the record, and should you receive 1859 any of those as witnesses from today we would appreciate your 1860 response as promptly as possible to that. With that the 1861 subcommittee is adjourned. 1862 [Whereupon, at 12:00 p.m., the subcommittee was adjourned.]