Congress of the United States Washington, DC 20515

March 28, 2018

The Honorable Gene L. Dodaro Comptroller General U.S. Government Accountability Office 441 G Street, NW Washington, DC 20548

Dear Comptroller General Dodaro:

We are writing to you in light of troubling reports of reassignments of members of the Senior Executive Service (SES). Reports have suggested the Department of the Interior reassigned as many as 33 members of the SES, prompting concerns of political motivations and a review by the Department's Office of Inspector General. We are similarly concerned reassignments at other agencies² may be based on political considerations.

Congress created the SES program to enhance the workforce at federal agencies by developing a group of professionals to ensure delivery of high quality service to the public. We are concerned that mismanagement of this program could lead to premature retirements, lower morale within the federal workforce, higher costs for the department, and discourage talented professionals from entering the SES.

According to Office of Personnel Management (OPM) regulations, agencies cannot involuntarily reassign SES employees within 120 days of the appointment of a new agency head.³ Similarly, agencies cannot reassign SES employees before the career executive's most immediate supervisor who is a non-career appointee with the proper authority makes an initial appraisal of the career appointee's performance.⁴ The intent of this moratorium is to provide a 'get acquainted' period to allow the new agency head and non-career appointees to get to know the career senior executives and their skills and expertise. However, after 120 days, subject to notification and other requirements, new agency leaders and non-career appointees are free to reassign career appointees to any position for which they are qualified.

¹ *Interior's 'unusual' transfer of senior executives spurs official probe*, Washington Post (Sept. 12, 2017).

² Letter from Sen. Debbie Stabenow, Sen. Chris Van Hollen, Sen. Kirsten Gillibrand, Sen. Sherrod Brown, Sen. Robert Casey, Sen. Joe Donnelly, Sen. Michael Bennet, Sen. Heidi Heitkamp, Sen. Amy Klobuchar, and Sen. Patrick Leahy, to U.S. Department of Agriculture Principal Deputy General Counsel Stephen Vaden (Dec. 21, 2017).

³ 5 CFR § 317.901.

⁴ 5 CFR § 317.901.

The Honorable Gene L. Dodaro March 28, 2018 Page 2

We are concerned about reports of agencies reassigning SES staff in a manner that is inconsistent with the purposes of the SES program, and which will impair the ability of agencies to implement programs in accordance with laws and Congressional intent. We, therefore, request that GAO complete an accounting of the movement of career SES staff at selected agencies paying particular attention to:

- 1. The number of career SES positions at each agency, including the number of potential positions, the number that were filled as of January 19, 2017, and the number that are currently filled.
- 2. The number of reassignments of career SES staff that have occurred since January 19, 2017, and specific information about each of the reassignments including:
 - a. Timing of reassignment;
 - b. SES staff's length of service in the original position;
 - c. A description of the original position of the person reassigned and of the newly assigned position for each person reassigned (e.g. job title, agency program or office assignment, and general description of duties);
 - d. Geographic location of the original assignment and the new assignment, and whether relocation is required to accept the new assignment;
 - e. Whether the reassignment was either voluntary or requested by the career SES staff or was an involuntary reassignment;
 - f. The response of the SES staff to the reassignment;
 - g. Whether SES staff who rejected the reassignment resigned from the SES program and accepted a non-SES position at the agency, resigned from the agency, or resigned from federal service, and, to the extent information is available from exit surveys and other available data, what the factors were affecting their decision;
 - h. The department's criteria in selecting individuals for reassignment or relocation; and
 - i. The department's criteria in selecting positions and/or locations to which the reassigned employees are to be moved.
- 3. The total estimated costs associated with redirections and relocations, including:

The Honorable Gene L. Dodaro March 28, 2018 Page 3

- a. Any costs borne by the department to pay for changes in duty station for employees; and
- b. How the reassignment of employees who are only a year or less from their planned retirement date furthers goals of efficiency.
- 4. Whether the reassignments are having a disparate impact on SES employees, because they belong to a protected class.

Your review will assist us in our oversight of one of the most valuable assets of the federal government – its workforce. Please do not hesitate to contact us with any questions or concerns with this request.

Sincerely,

Frank Pallone, Jr. Ranking Member

House Committee on Energy

and Commerce

Elijah E. Cummings

Ranking Member

House Committee on Oversight and Government Reform

Raúl M. Grijalva Ranking Member

House Committee

on Natural Resources

Thomas R. Carper

Ranking Member

Senate Committee on Environment

and Public Works

Maria Cantwell

Ranking Member

Senate Committee on Energy

and Natural Resources

Claire McCaskill

Ranking Member

Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs The Honorable Gene L. Dodaro March 28, 2018 Page 4

Tom Udall

Vice Chairman

Senate Committee on Indian Affairs

om blace

Heidi Heitkamp Ranking Member

Subcommittee on Regulatory Affairs

and Federal Management

Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs