ONE HUNDRED SIXTEENTH CONGRESS

Congress of the United States

House of Representatives

COMMITTEE ON ENERGY AND COMMERCE

2125 RAYBURN HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING WASHINGTON, DC 20515-6115

Majority (202) 225–2927 Minority (202) 225–3641

November 21, 2019

Donald Vaccaro
Co-Founder and CEO
TicketNetwork
75 Gerber Road East
South Windsor, CT 06074

Dear Mr. Vaccaro:

Pursuant to Rules X and XI of the U.S. House of Representatives, the Committee on Energy and Commerce is investigating practices in the live event ticketing industry. We write to request information regarding the ticketing policies and practices of TicketNetwork. The Committee, which has broad jurisdiction over consumer protection issues, is concerned about potentially unfair and deceptive practices occurring in the primary and secondary ticket marketplace, many of which have been documented in consumer complaints, press stories, and government reports.

The Committee has taken a number of key actions to protect consumers in the live event ticketing marketplace. These actions include the introduction and passage of important legislation designed to help level the playing field by ensuring fairness and transparency for consumers. In 2016, the Committee, and subsequently the House of Representatives, passed H.R. 5104, the "Better On-line Ticket Sales At of 2016," which prohibits the use of computer software to purchase tickets by circumventing security measures of ticketing websites; the Senate companion to this legislation became law in December 2016. In September 2016, the Committee sent a bipartisan request to the U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO)

¹ See H.R. 5104, 114th Cong. (2016) and S. 3183, 114th Cong. (2016). In June 2019, Chairman Pallone and Congressman Pascrell reintroduced H.R. 3248, the "Better Oversight of Secondary Sales and Accountability in Concert Ticketing Act of 2019," which establishes, in part, transparency requirements for ticket availability, speculative tickets, fees, and "white-label" websites. See H.R. 3248.

Mr. Donald Vaccaro November 21, 2019 Page 2

asking that GAO initiate a study of the ticketing industry and its impact on consumers.² GAO published the resulting report in April 2018.³ In an effort to further protect consumers and promote transparency, in July 2018, the then-Ranking Member of the Committee also sent a letter to the Federal Trade Commission (FTC), requesting the agency examine a host of consumer protection issues regarding this marketplace.⁴ In June 2019, the FTC held a workshop where industry representatives, consumer groups, and other stakeholders discussed and raised various concerns about the current state of the ticketing industry. As part of this workshop, the FTC elicited public input in advance of the workshop and received close to 7,000 comments, including comments critical of the ticketing industry.⁵

Nonetheless, despite ongoing bipartisan efforts by the Committee, as well as federal agency action to better understand the current ticketing marketplace, consumers still face a host of troubling practices and trends in the ticketing industry. Many of these issues relate to a lack of transparency and fairness, which places purchasers at an unfair advantage when attempting to buy tickets in the current marketplace. Specifically, these practices and trends include: 1) high, hidden fees; 2) speculative tickets that harm unknowing consumers; and 3) "white-label" ticket websites that employ practices designed to deceive consumers.

1) Consumers continue to be confronted by high, hidden fees. Both primary ticket sellers and resale sites often add fees on top of the ticket price.⁶ The type and amount of fees can

² Letter from Rep. Fred Upton, Chairman, House Committee on Energy and Commerce, Rep. Frank Pallone, Jr., Ranking Member, House Committee on Energy and Commerce, and Rep. Bill Pascrell, Jr. to Gene L. Dodaro, Comptroller General of the United States (Sept. 12, 2016).

³ Government Accountability Office, *Event Ticket Sales: Market Characteristics and Consumer Protection Issues* (Apr. 2018) (GAO-18-347).

⁴ In July 2018, then-Ranking Member Pallone and Congressman Pascrell sent a letter to FTC Chairman Joseph Simons calling on the FTC to "act against deceptive and unfair practices" in the live events marketplace and address the consumer protection issues highlighted in a 2018 GAO report. *See* Letter from Rep. Frank Pallone, Jr., Ranking Member, House Committee on Energy and Commerce, and Rep. Bill Pascrell, Jr., to Joseph Simons, Chairman, Federal Trade Commission (July 20, 2018).

⁵ See Federal Trade Commission, Online Event Tickets Workshop (www.ftc.gov/news-events/events-calendar/2019/03/online-event-tickets). See also Federal Trade Commission, FTC to Hold Workshop Examining Online Event Ticket Sales (Oct. 4, 2018) (press release).

⁶ Tickets for concerts, theater, and sporting events can be purchased—typically online—from the original seller (primary market) or a reseller (secondary market). Government Accountability Office, *Event Ticket Sales: Market Characteristics and Consumer Protection Issues* (Apr. 2018) (GAO-18-347).

Mr. Donald Vaccaro November 21, 2019 Page 3

vary by transaction, and may include a facility fee, service fee, and processing fee.⁷ As part of the review requested by the Committee, GAO found that fees associated with a ticket purchase averaged 27 percent of the ticket's face value on the primary market, and averaged 31 percent of the list price on the secondary market.⁸

These fees are often not disclosed until the end of the transaction, which may mislead consumers about the total cost and frustrate their ability to accurately compare prices. In addition, companies have made it difficult for consumers to learn more about these fees. For example, as part of its audit, GAO noted that companies failed to prominently display fees and, in some instances, required consumers to create or log in to accounts to learn about the fees charged. In

2) Speculative tickets continue to harm unknowing consumers. Despite efforts to address speculative tickets, we are concerned that there continues to be a lack of transparency around the sale of these tickets. According to GAO, a speculative ticket refers to instances in which brokers have put tickets on a secondary ticket exchange before having possession of such tickets, at times without informing the purchaser of the speculative nature of the ticket.¹¹

These practices harm consumers in instances when they do not receive the tickets they purchased or receive tickets that differ from the ticket or seat advertised. Even if the consumer receives a refund for the ticket price, they may have already incurred other non-reimbursable costs to attend the event, such as travel or hotel expenses.

3) White-label websites may employ practices that are designed to deceive consumers. GAO found that consumers face various deceptive practices in the ticketing marketplace, including the use of white-label websites in the secondary marketplace. Some white-label websites employ deceptive marketing practices to appear as legitimate event venue websites, including using images of the venue or the venue's name in the website domain names. As a result, consumers may believe they are using the official venue website, when in fact they are using websites unaffiliated with the venue. To compound the problem, GAO found that the white-label websites it reviewed typically charge substantially higher prices and fees

⁷ *Id.*

⁸ *Id*.

⁹ *Id.*

¹⁰ *Id*.

¹¹ Id.

¹² *Id*.

¹³ *Id*.

Mr. Donald Vaccaro November 21, 2019 Page 4

than the official venue website. 14 Although white-label websites conduct their own marketing and advertising and set their own prices and fees, these websites connect to affiliated ticket resale exchanges for ticket inventory and services. 15

As the Committee continues to examine the ongoing consumer protection issues in the primary and secondary ticketing marketplace, we request you provide a response to the attached questions and schedule a briefing as soon as possible, but no later than December 12, 2019. An attachment to this letter provides additional information about responding to the Committee's request.

If you have any questions and to schedule the briefing, please contact Mohammad Aslami, Jon Monger, or Anna Yu from the Majority staff at (202) 225-2927 or Brittany Havens or Bijan Koohmaraie from the Minority staff at (202) 225-3641. Thank you in advance for your time and cooperation.

Sincerely,

Frank Pallone. Chairman

Chair

Subcommittee on Oversight and Investigations

Jan Schakowsky

Subcommittee on Consumer Protection and Commerce

Greg Walden Ranking Member

Brett Guthrie

Ranking Member

Subcommittee on Oversight and Investigations

Cathy McMorris Rodgers

Ranking Member

Subcommittee on Consumer

Protection and Commerce

¹⁴ *Id*.

¹⁵ *Id*.

DOCUMENT AND INFORMATION REQUEST

To assist the Committee in its investigation, please respond to the following questions by providing the requested documents and information:

- 1. Please provide a list of all primary market ticket platforms and secondary market ticket exchanges owned or operated by your company, and the total number of tickets sold on each platform or exchange in 2018.
- 2. What fees does your company charge per ticket sale, and how are those fees calculated? Please provide a copy of any policies related to how your company sets and calculates fees.
- 3. How much revenue did your company generate from ticket fees in calendar years 2016, 2017, and 2018? Please provide this figure for each of your company's primary (if applicable) and secondary ticket sales platforms.
- 4. At what point during the purchase process does your company make the consumer aware of the type and amount of ancillary fees charged?
 - a. Where and how is this information communicated to consumers?
 - b. Since January 1, 2016, has any federal, state, or local entity or individual taken legal action against your company regarding the lack of "all-in" pricing? If so, please provide a list of such actions, the claim alleged in each action, and, if applicable, the results of any such action.
- 5. Does your company sell ticket insurance, or contract with or otherwise permit a third-party to sell ticket insurance on your platform or website? If so, please explain the ticket insurance that your company offers, including whether the ticket insurance is a set price or calculated based on the cost of the ticket, and what the ticket insurance covers.
- 6. Does your company permit the sale of speculative tickets on your company's platform?
 - a. What disclosure does your company make to alert consumers of the speculative nature of the ticket and how and when in the purchase process is this disclosure made?
 - b. What actions has your company taken to address speculative tickets sold on your company's platform, including legal or other actions taken to enforce your company's speculative tickets policy?

- c. In the past year, have any speculative tickets been sold on your company's platform(s)?
- d. Please provide all policies related to the sale of speculative tickets on your company's platform.
- 7. Does your company work with, own, or operate any platform and/or website that make any representation of affiliation or endorsement to a venue, team, or artist, when in fact no formal affiliation or representation exists (also known as white-label websites)? If yes, please provide a list of these websites and explain:
 - a. How your company coordinates with any such websites, including the sharing of technology and/or sales inventory.
 - b. If and how your company notifies consumers that the website and/or platform is not endorsed or affiliated with the venue, team, or artist represented on your company's properties.
 - c. For 2016, 2017, and 2018, what percentage of your company's total revenue is derived from white-label websites as that term is described above?
- 8. What protocols are in place to ensure that tickets resold on your company's platform(s) were not originally purchased using bots or fictitious accounts? What analysis has your company completed to determine the effectiveness of these protocols?