AMENDMENT TO THE AMENDMENT IN THE NATURE OF A SUBSTITUTE TO H.R. 2646 OFFERED BY M__.

Add at the appropriate place the following:

1	SEC SENSE OF CONGRESS THAT MEDICAID EXPAN-
2	SION IS THE MOST IMPORTANT MEASURE TO
3	EXPAND ACCESS TO MENTAL HEALTH AND
4	ADDICTION TREATMENT, AND THAT STATES'
5	FAILURE TO EXPAND MEDICAID PREVENTS
6	LOW-INCOME INDIVIDUALS FROM GETTING
7	BEHAVIORAL HEALTH CARE.
8	(a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds the following:
9	(1) The Medicaid program under title XIX of
10	the Social Security Act is the largest payor of behav-
11	ioral health services in the United States.
12	(2) Research has shown that—
13	(A) low-income individuals disproportion-
14	ately experience behavioral health conditions
15	and may have difficulty accessing care; and
16	(B) according to the Kaiser Family Foun-
17	dation, mental illness is more than twice as
18	prevalent among individuals receiving medical
19	assistance under the Medicaid program as it is

1 in the general population, and roughly 49 per-2 cent of the individuals receiving such medical 3 assistance who have disabilities also have a psychiatric illness. 4 5 (3) According to the Centers for Medicare & 6 Medicaid Services, since 20 States that have, as of 7 the date of the enactment of this Act, declined to 8 provide medical assistance to individuals described in 9 subclause (VIII) of section 1902(a)(10)(A)(i) of 10 such Act (42 U.S.C. 1396a(a)(10)(A)(i)) pursuant 11 to the State plan under such title (or under a waiver 12 of the plan approved under section 1115 of such Act 13 (42 U.S.C. 1315)), millions of uninsured adults are 14 left with mental health and substance use conditions 15 and are unable to obtain coverage to treat such conditions. 16 17 (4) In 2014, According to the American Mental 18 Counselors Association, Health an estimated 19 570,000 adults over the age of 18 and under the age 20 of 64 who have been diagnosed with serious mental 21 illness, serious psychological stress, or substance use 22 disorders sought, but were unable to receive care, for 23 such conditions because they resided in States that 24 did not elect to provide the medical assistance de-25 scribed in paragraph (3), for which the Federal Gov-

1	ernment would have paid the State 100 percent of
2	the State's costs for treatment of such disorders.
3	(5) An estimated 350,000 adults with serious
4	mental illness, serious psychological stress, or sub-
5	stance use disorders residing in States that did pro-
6	vide the medical assistance described in paragraph
7	(3) were able to get treatment in such States.
8	(6) According to a study published in June
9	2015 by the Comptroller General of the United
10	States—
11	(A) States that have chosen to provide the
12	medical assistance described in paragraph (3)
13	are better able to provide for treatment for low-
14	income individuals suffering from mental health
15	and addiction than States that did not so
16	choose;
17	(B) with respect to States that have cho-
18	sen to provide such medical assistance, behav-
19	ioral health officials reported that the provision
20	of such medical assistance increased the quality
21	and availability of treatment options to low-in-
22	come individuals; and
23	(C) with respect to States that did not
24	choose to provide such medical assistance, State
25	behavioral health agencies have been forced to

1	add uninsured individuals to waiting lists and
2	send them away without receiving care.
3	(7) With respect to any financial burden on
4	States that have made the election to provide med-
5	ical assistance described in paragraph (3)—
6	(A) studies have shown that such election
7	does not impose substantial financial burdens
8	on States;
9	(B) the Congressional Budget Office has
10	estimate that such election will add very little to
11	what States would have spent on carrying out
12	the Medicaid program, because the Federal
13	Government will bear nearly 93 percent of the
14	State's costs incurred as a result of such elec-
15	tion over the first 9 years of implementation of
16	that election;
17	(C) the additional cost to States in imple-
18	menting such election represents a mere 2.8
19	percent increase in what the States would have
20	expended to carry out the Medicaid program
21	during the period beginning in 2014 and ending
22	in 2022;
23	(D) such 2.8 percent increase significantly
24	overstates the net impact on State budgets, be-
25	cause it does not reflect the savings that State

1	and local governments will realize in other
2	health care spending on uninsured individuals;
3	(E) the Urban Institute has estimated that
4	overall State savings with respect to such elec-
5	tion will total between \$26,000,000,000 and
6	\$52,000,000,000 over the period consisting of
7	2014 through 2019; and
8	(F) the Lewin Group estimates that State
9	and local government will save
10	\$101,000,000,000 in expenditures for uncom-
11	pensated care as a result of such election.
12	(b) Sense of Congress.—It is the Sense of Con-
13	gress that—
14	(1) the expansion of the Medicaid program
15	under title XIX of the Social Security Act pursuant
16	to subclause (VIII) of section 1902(a)(10)(A)(i) of
17	such Act (42 U.S.C. 1396a(a)(10)(A)(i)) is the sin-
18	gle most important vehicle to expand access to men-
19	tal health and addiction treatment services;
20	(2) States that do not elect to provide medical
20	(2) States that do not elect to provide medical
	assistance to individuals described in such subclause
21 22	
21	assistance to individuals described in such subclause

1	come individuals from accessing and receiving such
2	services; and
3	(3) all States should elect to provide medical as-
4	sistance, as described in paragraph (2), in accord-
5	ance with the amendments made by title II of the
6	Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (Public
7	Law 111–148) to title XIX of the Social Security
8	Act.

